## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

LISA HUNTER, JACOB ZABEL, JENNIFER OH, JOHN PERSA, GERALDINE SCHERTZ, and KATHLEEN QUALHEIM,

Plaintiffs,

and

BILLIE JOHNSON, ERIC O'KEEFE, ED PERKINS, and RONALD ZAHN,

Intervenor-Plaintiffs,

v.

MARGE BOSTELMANN, JULIE M. GLANCEY, ANN S. JACOBS, DEAN KNUDSON, ROBERT F. SPINDELL, JR., and MARK L. THOMSEN, in their official capacities as members of the Wisconsin Elections Commission,

Defendants,

and

21-cv-512-jdp-ajs-eec

WISCONSIN LEGISLATURE,

Intervenor-Defendant,

and

CONGRESSMEN GLENN GROTHMAN, MIKE GALLAGHER, BRYAN STEIL, TOM TIFFANY, and SCOTT FITZGERALD,

Intervenor-Defendants,

and

GOVERNOR TONY EVERS,

Intervenor-Defendant.

BLACK LEADERS ORGANIZING FOR COMMUNITIES, VOCES DE LA FRONTERA, the LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF WISCONSIN, CINDY FALLONA, LAUREN STEPHENSON, and REBECCA ALWIN,

Plaintiffs.

v.

MARGE BOSTELMANN, JULIE M. GLANCEY, ANN S. JACOBS, DEAN KNUDSON, ROBERT F. SPINDELL, JR., and MARK L. THOMSEN, in their official capacities as members of the Wisconsin Elections Commission, and MEAGAN WOLFE, in her official capacity as the administrator of the Wisconsin Elections Commission.

21-cv-534-jdp-ajs-eec

Defendants.

## JOHNSON INTERVENOR-PLAINTUFFS' OPPOSITION TO THE CONGRESSMEN INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

Intervenor-Defendants Congressmen Glenn Grothman, Mike Gallagher, Bryan Steil, Tom Tiffany, and Scott Fitzgerald have moved to dismiss the Johnson Intervenor-Plaintiffs' complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and failure to state a claim. ECF No. 105:2. That motion should be denied.

The Johnson Intervenor-Plaintiffs are Wisconsin voters who live in malapportioned districts and who intervened in this case to protect their rights to an undiluted vote and to the state forum in which they have chosen to lodge their own redistricting complaint. The Congressmen argue that the Johnson Intervenor-Plaintiffs' complaint should be dismissed under *Growe v. Emison* 507 U.S. 25 (1993) and the abstention doctrine of *Burford v. Sun Oil Co.*, 319 U.S. 315 (1943). ECF No. 105:3.

The Congressmen made the same set of arguments in an earlier motion to dismiss the Hunter Plaintiffs' complaint, see ECF No. 30-2, 30-3, a motion which this Court denied, see ECF No. 60:9. The Congressmen have provided no reason why, if the other complaints in this suit are not dismissed, the Johnson Intervenor-Plaintiffs' complaint should be, thereby denying the Intervenor-Plaintiffs the ability to protect their interests while this federal litigation proceeds.

The Congressmen point out that, since this Court denied their motion to dismiss, the Wisconsin Supreme Court has assumed jurisdiction of the Johnson Intervenor-Plaintiffs' original action. But in response to the Wisconsin Supreme Court's decision, this Court stayed federal proceedings through November 5, 2021. See ECF No. 103.

On November 5, unless all three branches of state government have affirmatively demonstrated that they cannot or will not undertake the creation of a new set of district maps, this Court should either continue to stay this case or should dismiss it. But it should treat the claims of the Plaintiffs and the Intervenor-Plaintiffs equally. See, e.g., ECF No. 91:1 (Congressmen's statement that the Wisconsin Supreme Court's decision to accept jurisdiction of a redistricting case means this entire federal case should be dismissed).

Dated this 19th day of October, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

WISCONSIN INSTITUTE FOR LAW & LIBERTY Attorneys for Intervenor-Plaintiffs

## /s/ Anthony LoCoco

Richard M. Esenberg, WI Bar No. 1005622 414-727-6367; rick@will-law.org Anthony LoCoco, WI Bar No. 1101773 414-727-7419; alococo@will-law.org Lucas Vebber, WI Bar No. 1067543 414-727-7415; lucas@will-law.org 330 East Kilbourn Ave. Suite 725 Milwaukee, WI 53202 414-727-9455; FAX: 414-727-6385

PRETRIENED FROM DEMOCRACY DOCKET. COM