

response to Plaintiff Vote.org’s Motion for Summary Judgment and Memorandum of Law in Support (ECF No. 111) (the “Vote.org MSJ”).

I.

SUMMARY OF THE PARTIES’ CONTENTIONS IN THE PENDING MOTIONS

Plaintiff brings suit pursuant to Section 1971 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B) (“the Act”). Plaintiff moves for summary judgment on Counts I and II of its Complaint, and asks the Court to enjoin enforcement of Section 14 of Texas House Bill HB 3107, 87 Leg., Reg. Sess. (Tex. 2021), which amended Texas Election Code § 13.143(d-2). *See* Vote.org MSJ at 1. Intervenor-Defendants Lupe Torres and Terrie Pendley jointly move for summary judgment on the grounds that the Act does not provide Plaintiff with a cause of action because the Act does not provide for a private right of action, the Complaint lacks an allegation of race-based discrimination and, in the alternative, the “wet signature” requirement of Texas’s new legislation is material to determining a prospective voter’s eligibility and does not deny any person the right to vote. Intervenor-Defendants Lupe Torres’ and Terrie Pendley’s Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 109) (the “Torres-Pendley MSJ”) at 3. Intervenor-Defendants Ken Paxton and Defendant Remi Garza move for summary judgment on the grounds presented in the Torres-Pendley MSJ, and further on the grounds that Plaintiff lacks standing to assert the claims of parties who are not members of Plaintiff’s organization and, further, that the challenged statute does not violate the Act’s materiality provision or unlawfully burden the right to vote. Intervenor-Defendant Ken Paxton & Defendant Remi Garza’s Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 108) (the “Paxton-Garza MSJ”) at 1-2.

Plaintiff brings suit against Defendant Elfant “for the manner in which he enforces the Wet Signature Rule,” referring to Section 14 of Texas House Bill HB 3107. Complaint (ECF No. 1) ¶¶ 20, 22, 24 & 40. Plaintiff seeks summary judgment against Defendant Elfant, and the other

Defendants, and requests the Court to enjoin enforcement of the “Wet Signature Rule” contained in HB 3107.

Defendant Elfant denies that he has violated any state or federal laws or rights secured under the United States Constitution in conducting his activities as the Travis County Tax Assessor-Collector. *See* Defendant Bruce Elfant’s Original Answer to Plaintiff’s Complaint (ECF No. 29) at ¶¶ 39-40 & 45-47.

II.

RESPONSE

Defendant Elfant takes no position on the competing claims and positions advanced in the pending summary judgment motions. Defendant’s position has always been that his office will comply with federal and state laws governing voter registration, including the law as determined by this Court or on appellate review. Defendant Elfant believes that that the competing summary judgment motions sufficiently present the legal issues that the Court must resolve. Accordingly, Defendant Elfant respectfully declines to proffer his own brief, as it would not assist the Court in its task of determining the pure issues of law at issue in Plaintiff’s summary judgment motion or in the competing summary judgment motions, but would instead serve only to increase the costs of litigation to all parties.

///

Respectfully submitted,

DELIA GARZA
County Attorney, Travis County
P. O. Box 1748
Austin, TX 78767
Telephone: (512) 854-9415
Facsimile: (512) 854-4808

By: /s/ Cynthia W. Veidt
SHERINE E. THOMAS
State Bar No. 00794734
Sherine.thomas@traviscountytexas.gov
LESLIE W. DIPPEL
State Bar No. 00796472
leslie.dippel@traviscountytexas.gov
CYNTHIA W. VEIDT
State Bar No. 24028092
cynthia.veidt@traviscountytexas.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
BRUCE ELFANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned counsel, hereby certify that on April 22, 2022, I caused to be electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas using the CM/ECF electronic case filing system of the Court.

/s/ Cynthia W. Veidt
CYNTHIA W. VEIDT
Assistant County Attorney