Case 124378 CLERK OF THE APPELLATE COURTS Filed 2022 Aug 16 PM 4:13

No. 22-124378-S

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF KANSAS, LOUD LIGHT, KANSAS APPLESEED CENTER FOR LAW AND JUSTICE, INC., and TOPEKA INDEPENDENT LIVING RESOURCE CENTER,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

vs.

SCOTT SCHWAB, in his official capacity as Kansas Secretary of State, and DEREK SCHMIDT, in his official capacity as Kansas Attorney General,

Defendants-Appellees.

NOTICE OF ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY

To the Court:

Pursuant to Rule 6.09, Plaintifis-Appellants (hereinafter, Plaintiffs) notify the Court of additional authority supporting Plaintiffs' argument that the Court of Appeals erred in dismissing their claims for lack of standing. (Petition at 7-13).

In *Texas State LULAC v. Elfant*, No. 1:21-CV-546-LY (W.D. Tex. Aug. 2, 2022), the court held that plaintiffs, organizations committed to educating and registering voters in Texas, had organizational standing to challenge a Texas election law. In reaching this conclusion, the court found that "[t]he threat of prosecution is particularly fraught where the State has publicly declared one of its key priorities to be 'to investigate and prosecute." *Id.* at 11. "By helping Texans register to vote," the legal liability plaintiffs faced had a "'chilling effect' on [the organizations'] core political speech." *Id.* at 11-12. Put simply, the law posed a "direct harm[]" to the organizations' "First Amendment right to advise voters without threat of prosecution." *Id.* at 14.

The same is true here. Out of fear of prosecution, Plaintiffs have severely curtailed or ceased to conduct voter registration activities—harms that are neither "routine" nor "conjecture." (Petition at 11). The threat of prosecution is also "particularly fraught" because, in response to the Douglas County District Attorney's announcement that she would not prosecute the types of activities Plaintiffs engage in, the Attorney General publicly declared his intent to prosecute any "election crimes." (R. II, 291, 293). Accordingly, the Court of Appeals erred in concluding that Plaintiffs' fear of prosecution was not justified. (Petition 7-8).

Respectfully submitted, this 16th day of August, 2022.

Pedro L. Irigonegaray Pedro L. Irigonegaray (#08079) Nicole Revenaugh (#25482) Jason Zavadil (#26808) J. Bo Turney (#26375) **IRIGONEGARAY, TURNEY,** & REVENAUGH LLP 1535 S.W. 29th Street Topeka, KS 66611 (785) 267-6115 pedro@itrlaw.com nicole@itrlaw.com jason@itrlaw.com bo@itrlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiffs Elisabeth C. Frost* Henry J. Brewster* RETREVED FROM DEMOCI Mollie A. DiBrell** Marisa A. O'Gara** ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP 10 G Street NE, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20002 (202) 968-4513 efost@elias.law hbrewster@elias.law mdibrell@elias.law mogara@elias.law

> Counsel for Loud Light, Kansas Appleseed Center for Law and Justice, and Topeka Independent Living Resource Center

David Anstaett* **PERKINS COIE LLP** 35 East Main Street, Suite 201 Madison, WI 53703 (608) 663-5408 <u>danstaett@perkinscoie.com</u>

Counsel for League of Women Voters of Kansas

*Appearing Pro Hac Vice **Pro Hac Vice application pending

REPREVED FROM DEMOCRACY DOCKET, COM

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of this Notice of Additional Authority was electronically transmitted via the Court's electronic filing system, to the following:

> Brad Schlozman Hinkle Law Firm 1617 North Waterfront Parkway, Suite 400 Wichita, KS 67206-6639

> Scott Schillings Hinkle Law Firm 1617 North Waterfront Parkway, Suite 400 Wichita, KS 67206-6639

Hinkle Law Firm 1617 North Waterfront Parkway, Suite 400 Wichita, KS 67206-6639 Brant M. Laue Solicitor General 120 SW 10th Ave, Room 200 Topeka, KS 66612-1597

/s/ Pedro L. Irigonegaray Pedro L. Irigonegaray (#08079)