

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE STATE OF GEORGIA; *et al.*,

Defendants,

THE REPUBLICAN NATIONAL  
COMMITTEE; *et al.*,

Intervenor-Defendants.

Civil Action No.  
1:21-cv-2575-JPB

THE NEW GEORGIA PROJECT, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, in his official  
capacity as the Georgia Secretary of State, *et*  
*al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.  
1:21-cv-01229-JPB

GEORGIA STATE CONFERENCE OF THE  
NAACP, *et al.*,

Civil Action No.  
1:21-cv-01259-JPB

Plaintiffs,

v.

BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, in his official capacity as the Secretary of State for the State of Georgia, *et al.*,

Defendants.

SIXTH DISTRICT OF THE AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BRIAN KEMP, Governor of the State of Georgia, in his official capacity, *et al.*,

Defendants.

ASIAN AMERICANS ADVANCING JUSTICE-ATLANTA, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, in his official capacity as the Georgia Secretary of State, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.  
1:21-cv-01284-JPB

Civil Action No.  
1:21-cv-01333-JPB

THE CONCERNED BLACK CLERGY OF  
METROPOLITAN ATLANTA, INC., *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, in his official  
capacity as the Georgia Secretary of State, *et  
al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.  
1:21-cv-01728-JPB

**MULTIPLE PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO STATE DEFENDANTS'  
STATEMENT REGARDING CONSOLIDATION**

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

In response to this Court’s order on December 9, 2021, the United States, The New Georgia Project, Georgia State Conference of the NAACP, Sixth District of the African Methodist Episcopal Church, Asian Americans Advancing Justice-Atlanta, and The Concerned Black Clergy of Metropolitan Atlanta (together, “Plaintiffs”) respond to certain matters raised in Defendants’ statement regarding consolidation. *See* State Def.’s Consolidated Statement [“Def. Br.”], *United States v. Georgia*, No. 1:21-cv-2575 (N.D. Ga. Dec. 14, 2021), ECF No. 71. Plaintiffs note that they support consolidation for discovery purposes only and ask the Court to address later whether to consolidate for purposes of trial. Plaintiffs are committed to working together to streamline discovery, including coordinating expert witnesses and drafting joint written discovery requests where possible. However, Defendants’ “options for streamlining discovery” raised in their December 14, 2021 statement are improper, premature, unrealistic, and would greatly prejudice Plaintiffs’ ability to make their case.<sup>1</sup>

**I. ARGUMENT**

Plaintiffs have already begun, and will continue, to work together to ensure that discovery and motions practice will not involve unnecessary duplication.

---

<sup>1</sup> A chart provided by Defendants, *see* Def. Br. at 2-3, contains multiple errors and mischaracterizations regarding claims brought by the various Plaintiffs.

However, Defendants’ proposed limitations on fact and expert discovery—requested prior to all parties holding a Rule 26(f) conference—as well as Defendants’ unprecedented proposal for a bellwether trial in a voting rights case, would prejudice Plaintiffs’ fair opportunity to develop their case. “[T]he trial judge should be most cautious . . . to make sure that the rights of the parties are not prejudiced by the order of consolidation under the facts and circumstances of the particular case.” *Diamond Power Int’l, Inc. v. Davidson*, No. 1:04-cv-0091, 2007 WL 4373128, at \*2 (N.D. Ga. Dec. 10, 2007) (quoting *Dupont v. S. Pac. Co.*, 366 F.2d 193, 196 (5th Cir. 1966)); see also Charles Wright and Arthur Miller, *Proceedings in Consolidated Cases*, 9A Fed. Prac. & Proc. Civ. § 2385 (3d ed.) (2021). Indeed, many Plaintiff parties have not yet had a Rule 26(f) conference.

First, a large amount of discovery is in *Defendants’* control, including data that confirms the impact of the changes made by SB 202 on various racial and ethnic groups and people with disabilities. The fact that Defendants seek to limit discovery because 2022 is an election year downplays the fact that the State of Georgia chose to pass a law that *addresses elections* and will have multiple negative effects on the ability of minority and other voters to participate in the electoral process.

Second, discussion of trial structure is premature and, regardless, bellwether trials are not appropriate in Voting Rights Act litigation nor in Plaintiffs’

constitutional undue burden claims. The *Arlington Heights* and totality of the circumstances analyses in Section 2 litigation, as well as constitutional undue burden analyses, require an extensive, case-specific, and cumulative factual record, which is particularly ill-suited for the test case approach of a bellwether trial. *See* Def. Br. at 9. Plaintiffs challenge individual harms of SB 202 as well as compounding and cascading cumulative harms because of these provisions. As this Court recognized, the State Defendants’ approach of “address[ing] the challenged provisions individually rather than in connection with the specified counts of the Amended Complaint[s] \* \* \* analyzes the challenged provisions out of context and does not account for Plaintiffs’ contention that the challenged provisions also collectively violate the law.” Order, *Sixth District of the AME Church v. Kemp*, No. 1:21-cv-1284 (N.D. Ga. Dec. 9, 2021), ECF 110 at 16, n.8; *see also id.* at 25, 38. Courts have regularly been able to successfully and efficiently conduct Section 2 litigation with multiple parties, claims, and legal provisions at issue.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> *E.g.*, *Veasey v. Perry*, 71 F. Supp. 3d 627 (S.D. Tex. 2014), *aff’d in part, vacated in part, remanded sub nom. Veasey v. Abbott*, 796 F.3d 487 (5th Cir. 2015), *on reh’g en banc*, 830 F.3d 216 (5th Cir. 2016), *and aff’d in part, vacated in part, rev’d in part sub nom. Veasey v. Abbott*, 830 F.3d 216 (5th Cir. 2016); *N. Carolina State Conf. of the NAACP v. McCrory*, 182 F. Supp. 3d 320 (M.D.N.C. 2016), *rev’d and remanded sub nom. N. Carolina State Conf. of NAACP v. McCrory*, 831 F.3d 204 (4th Cir. 2016); *see also* Joint Motion to Consolidate,

Third, Defendants' proposed limitations on fact and expert discovery are improper and premature prior to a Rule 26(f) conference. Plaintiffs each allege related but differing claims regarding SB 202, and retain unique interests in this litigation, including that of the United States to ensure that states comply with federal law. Thus, although Plaintiffs are amenable to proposals such as joint discovery requests in many situations, coordinating on deposition schedules, and accessing a central repository for discovery documents, the Plaintiffs would oppose limitations in a consolidation order that would hamper their ability to vigorously pursue their claims.<sup>3</sup> As Defendants themselves noted, Voting Rights Act and constitutional litigation requires the development of an extensive, case-specific factual record, including the testimony of senior state officials. *See* Def. Br. at 7-8; *see also, e.g., Abbott v. Perez*, 138 S. Ct. 2305, 2324-25 (2018); *LULAC v. Roscoe I.S.D.*, 123 F.3d 843, 846 (5th Cir. 1997). Discovery will likely include large

---

*League of Women Voters of Florida v. Lee*, Nos. 4:21-cv-186, 4:21-cv-187, 4:21-cv-201, 4:21-cv-242 (N.D. Fla. Nov. 30, 2021), ECF No. 334.

<sup>3</sup> Contrary to Defendants' assertion, bellwether treatment would not promote efficiency, as "[bellwether] trials are not binding on other litigants in the group. The outcomes can be used by the parties to assist in settlement, but the parties can also ignore these results and insist on an individual trial." Alexandra D. Lahav, *Bellwether Trials*, 76 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 576, 581 (2008) (citing *In re Chevron U.S.A., Inc.*, 109 F.3d 1016, 1019 (5th Cir. 1997)). Defendants' citation to Eldon E. Fallon et. al., *Bellwether Trials in Multidistrict Litigation*, 82 Tul. L. Rev. 2323, 2325 (2008), is inapposite, as this is not multi-district litigation.

amounts of data within the control of the state and county officials, an examination of the legislative proceedings (including the statements and motivations of legislators), and other evidence.<sup>4</sup>

Likewise, the Defendants' proposed limitation on the number and type of expert witnesses ignores the complex nature of Section 2 litigation and the importance of a variety of expert evidence thereto. If consolidated, Plaintiffs here commit to coordinating to avoid unnecessary duplication in expert discovery. Again, discussion of the number and type of experts should be left in the first instance for the parties to negotiate in a Rule 26(f) conference.<sup>5</sup>

## II. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set out above, the Plaintiff groups on this pleading request that this Court decline to impose any of the "options for streamlining discovery" recommended by Defendants.

---

<sup>4</sup> Notably, the two cases the State cites as examples of efficient and expeditious discovery, *Rose v. Raffensperger* (1:20-cv-02921-SDG) and *Georgia Coalition for the People's Agenda v. Raffensperger* (1:18-cv-04727-ELR), are inapposite to this litigation. Neither was a consolidated case nor involved court-ordered limits to discovery; rather, the court approved the parties' joint discovery plan in both cases without significant modification.

<sup>5</sup> Plaintiffs will recommend a discovery schedule in their 26(f) discussions with Defendants. Plaintiffs anticipate requesting a six-month discovery period, with briefing scheduled for a preliminary injunction on some claims ahead of the May 2022 federal primary, and another preliminary injunction regarding more fact-intensive claims ahead of the November 2022 general election.



Date: December 17, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

KURT R. ERSKINE  
United States Attorney  
Northern District of Georgia

PAMELA S. KARLAN  
Principal Deputy Assistant  
Attorney General

/s/ Aileen Bell Hughes  
AILEEN BELL HUGHES  
Georgia Bar No. 375505  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
Office of the United States Attorney  
600 U.S. Courthouse  
75 Ted Turner Drive, SW  
Atlanta, GA 30303  
Phone: (404) 581-6000  
Fax: (404) 581-6181

/s/ Rachel R. Evans  
T. CHRISTIAN HERREN, JR.  
JOHN A. RUSS IV  
JASMYN G. RICHARDSON  
RACHEL R. EVANS  
ERNEST A. MCFARLAND  
MAURA EILEEN O'CONNOR  
ELIZABETH M. RYAN  
Attorneys, Voting Section  
Civil Rights Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
4 Constitution Square  
150 M Street NE, Room 8.923  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
Phone: (800) 253-3931  
Fax: (202) 307-3961  
john.russ@usdoj.gov  
jasmyn.richardson@usdoj.gov

*Attorneys for the United States of  
America*

/s/ Uzoma N. Nkwonta  
Uzoma N. Nkwonta\*  
Jacob D. Shelly\*  
Jyoti Jasrasaria\*  
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP  
10 G St. NE, Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20002  
Telephone: (202) 968-4490  
unkwonta@elias.law

Halsey G. Knapp, Jr.  
Georgia Bar No. 425320  
Joyce Gist Lewis  
Georgia Bar No. 296261  
Adam M. Sparks  
Georgia Bar No. 341578  
KREVOLIN & HORST, LLC  
1201 W. Peachtree St., NW

[jshelly@elias.law](mailto:jshelly@elias.law)  
[jjasrasaria@elias.law](mailto:jjasrasaria@elias.law)

One Atlantic Center, Suite 3250  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
Telephone: (404) 888-9700  
Facsimile: (404) 888-9577  
[hknapp@khlawfirm.com](mailto:hknapp@khlawfirm.com)  
[jlewis@khlwafirm.com](mailto:jlewis@khlwafirm.com)  
[sparks@khlawfirm.com](mailto:sparks@khlawfirm.com)

*\*Admitted pro hac vice  
Counsel for Plaintiffs The New Georgia  
Project, et al.*

/s/ Nancy G. Abudu

Nancy G. Abudu (Bar 001471)  
[nancy.abudu@splcenter.org](mailto:nancy.abudu@splcenter.org)  
Pichaya Poy Winichakul (Bar 246858)  
[poy.winichakul@splcenter.org](mailto:poy.winichakul@splcenter.org)  
SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW  
CENTER  
P.O. Box 1287  
Decatur, Georgia 30031-1287  
Telephone: (404) 521-6700  
Facsimile: (404) 221-5857

/s/ Sean J. Young

Sean J. Young (Bar 790399)  
[syoung@acluga.org](mailto:syoung@acluga.org)  
Rahul Garabadu (Bar 553777)  
[rgarabadu@acluga.org](mailto:rgarabadu@acluga.org)  
ACLU FOUNDATION OF GEORGIA,  
INC.  
P.O. Box 77208  
Atlanta, Georgia 30357  
Telephone: (678) 981-5295  
Facsimile: (770) 303-0060

/s/ Adam S. Sieff

Adam S. Sieff (pro hac vice)  
[adam sieff@dwt.com](mailto:adam sieff@dwt.com)  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
865 South Figueroa Street, 24th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90017-2566  
Telephone: (213) 633-6800  
Facsimile: (213) 633-6899

/s/ Sophia Lin Lakin

Sophia Lin Lakin (pro hac vice)  
[slakin@aclu.org](mailto:slakin@aclu.org)  
Davin M. Rosborough (pro hac vice)  
[drosborough@aclu.org](mailto:drosborough@aclu.org)  
Jonathan Topaz (pro hac vice)  
[jtopaz@aclu.org](mailto:jtopaz@aclu.org)  
ACLU FOUNDATION  
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
Telephone: (212) 519-7836  
Facsimile: (212) 549-2539

David M. Gossett (pro hac vice)  
[davidgossett@dwt.com](mailto:davidgossett@dwt.com)  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
1301 K Street NW, Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20005-7048  
Telephone: (202) 973-4288  
Facsimile: (202) 973-4499

Susan P. Mizner (pro hac vice)  
[smizner@aclu.org](mailto:smizner@aclu.org)  
ACLU FOUNDATION, INC.

Matthew Jedreski (pro hac vice)  
*mjedreski@dwt.com*  
Grace Thompson (pro hac vice)  
*gracethompson@dwt.com*  
Brittni Hamilton (pro hac vice  
forthcoming)  
*brittnihamilton@dwt.com*  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300  
Seattle, Washington 98104-1610  
Telephone: (206) 622-3150  
Facsimile: (206) 757-7700

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Georgia Muslim Voter Project, Women*  
*Watch Afrika, Latino Community Fund*  
*Georgia, and The Arc of the United*  
*States*

*/s/ Bryan L. Sells*  
Bryan L. Sells  
Georgia Bar No. 635562  
The Law Office of Bryan Sells, LLC  
PO Box 5493  
Atlanta, Georgia 31107  
Tel: (404) 480-4212  
Email: *bryan@bryansellsllaw.com*

Jon Greenbaum\*  
Ezra D. Rosenberg\*  
Julie M. Houk\*  
*jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org*  
*erosenberg@lawyerscommittee.org*  
*jhouk@lawyerscommittee.org*  
Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights  
Under Law  
1500 K Street NW, Suite 900  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 662-8600

39 Drumm Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 343-0781

Brian Dimmick (pro hac vice)  
*bdimmick@aclu.org*  
ACLU FOUNDATION, INC.  
915 15th Street NW  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 731-2395

*/s/ Leah C. Aden*  
Leah C. Aden (pro hac vice)  
*laden@naacpldf.org*  
John S. Cusick (pro hac vice)  
*jcusick@naacpldf.org*  
NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE AND  
EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC.  
40 Rector Street, 5th Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
Telephone: (212) 965-2200  
Facsimile: (212) 226-7592

*/s/ Debo P. Adegbile*  
Debo P. Adegbile (pro hac vice)  
*debo.adegbile@wilmerhale.com*  
Ilya Feldsherov (pro hac vice)  
*ilya.feldsherov@wilmerhale.com*  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, New York 10007  
Telephone: (212) 230-8800  
Facsimile: (212) 230-8888

George P. Varghese (pro hac vice)  
*george.varghese@wilmerhale.com*  
Stephanie Lin (pro hac vice)  
*stephanie.lin@wilmerhale.com*  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING

Facsimile: (202) 783-0857

Vilia Hayes\*  
Neil Oxford\*  
Gregory Farrell\*  
Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP  
One Battery Park Plaza  
New York, New York 10004-1482  
Telephone: (212) 837-6000  
Facsimile: (212) 422-4726

*\*Admitted pro hac vice  
Counsel for Plaintiffs The Georgia  
State Conference of the NAACP, et al.*

HALE AND DORR LLP  
60 State Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02109  
Telephone: (617) 526-6000  
Facsimile: (617) 526-5000

Tania Faransso (pro hac vice)  
*tania.faransso@wilmerhale.com*  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
1875 Pennsylvania Ave. NW  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Telephone: (202) 663-6000  
Facsimile: (202) 663-6363

Nana Wilberforce (pro hac vice)  
*nana.wilberforce@wilmerhale.com*  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2400  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 443-5300  
Facsimile: (213) 443-5400

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sixth District of  
the African Methodist Episcopal  
Church, Delta Sigma Theta Sorority,  
Georgia ADAPT, Georgia Advocacy  
Office, and Southern Christian  
Leadership Conference*

/s/ Phi Nguyen  
PHI NGUYEN  
(Georgia Bar No. 578019)  
**ASIAN AMERICANS  
ADVANCING JUSTICE-ATLANTA**  
5680 Oakbrook Parkway, Suite 148  
Norcross, Georgia 30093  
404 585 8446 (Telephone)  
404 890 5690 (Facsimile)

/s/ Niyati Shah  
NIYATI SHAH\*  
TERRY AO MINNIS\*  
**ASIAN AMERICANS ADVANCING  
JUSTICE-AAJC**  
1620 L Street, NW, Suite 1050  
Washington, DC 20036  
202 815 1098 (Telephone)  
202 296 2318 (Facsimile)

*pnguyen@advancingjustice-atlanta.org*

*nshah@advancingjustice-aajc.org*  
*tminnis@advancingjustice-aajc.org*

*/s/ Eileen Ma*

---

EILEEN MA\*  
**ASIAN AMERICANS  
ADVANCING JUSTICE-ASIAN  
LAW CAUCUS**  
55 Columbus Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
415 896 1701 (Telephone)  
415 896 1702 (Facsimile)  
*eileenm@advancingjustice-alc.org*

*/s/ R. Adam Lauridsen*

---

LEO L. LAM\*  
R. ADAM LAURIDSEN\*  
CONNIE P. SUNG\*  
CANDICE MAI KHANH NGUYEN\*  
LUIS G. HOYOS\*  
RYLEE KERCHER OLM\*  
**KEKER, VAN NEST AND PETERS  
LLP**  
633 Battery Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809  
415 391 5400 (Telephone)  
415 397 7188 (Facsimile)  
*llam@keker.com*  
*alauridsen@keker.com*  
*csung@keker.com*  
*enguyen@keker.com*  
*lhoyos@keker.com*  
*rolm@keker.com*

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Asian Americans  
Advancing Justice, et al.*

*\*Admitted pro hac vice*

*°Not admitted in D.C.*

/s/ Kurt Kastorf

KURT KASTORF  
Kastorf Law, LLC  
1387 Iverson Street, N.E., Suite 100  
Atlanta, GA 30307  
Telephone: 404-900-0330  
[kurt@kastorflaw.com](mailto:kurt@kastorflaw.com)

/s/ Judith Browne Dianis

JUDITH BROWNE DIANIS\*  
GILDA R. DANIELS  
Georgia Bar No. 762762  
JORGE VASQUEZ\*  
ESPERANZA SEGARRA\*  
SABRINA KHAN\*  
JESS UNGER\*  
Advancement Project  
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 850  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: (202) 728-9557  
[Jbrowne@advancementproject.org](mailto:Jbrowne@advancementproject.org)  
[Gdaniels@advancementproject.org](mailto:Gdaniels@advancementproject.org)  
[Jvasquez@advancementproject.org](mailto:Jvasquez@advancementproject.org)  
[Esegarra@advancementproject.org](mailto:Esegarra@advancementproject.org)  
[Skhan@advancementproject.org](mailto:Skhan@advancementproject.org)  
[Junger@advancementproject.org](mailto:Junger@advancementproject.org)

/s/ Clifford J. Zatz

CLIFFORD J. ZATZ\*  
NKECHI KANU\*\*  
WILLIAM TUCKER\*  
Crowell & Moring LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004  
Telephone: (202) 624-2500  
[CZatz@crowell.com](mailto:CZatz@crowell.com)  
[NKanu@crowell.com](mailto:NKanu@crowell.com)  
[WTucker@crowell.com](mailto:WTucker@crowell.com)

/s/ Chahira Solh

CHAHIRA SOLH\*  
Crowell & Moring LLP  
3 Park Plaza, 20th Floor  
Irvine, CA 92614  
Telephone: (949) 263-8400  
[CSolh@crowell.com](mailto:CSolh@crowell.com)

/s/ Warrington Parker

WARRINGTON PARKER\*  
Crowell & Moring LLP  
3 Embarcadero Center, 26th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 986-2827  
[WParker@crowell.com](mailto:WParker@crowell.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
The Concerned Black Clergy of  
Metropolitan Atlanta, et al.  
\*Admitted pro hac vice  
\*\*Application for admission pro hac  
vice filed*

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULE 7.1(D)**

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(D), I certify that the foregoing document was prepared in Times New Roman 14-point font in compliance with Local Rule 5.1(C).

/s/ Rachel R. Evans

RACHEL R. EVANS

Attorney, Voting Section

Civil Rights Division

U.S. Department of Justice

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 17, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing with the clerk of the court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of this filing to counsel of record.

/s/ Rachel R. Evans

RACHEL R. EVANS

Attorney, Voting Section

Civil Rights Division

U.S. Department of Justice

RETRIEVED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET.COM