1084 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION 3 FAIR FIGHT, INC., JOHN DOE, 4 VOLUME 5 - A.M. SESSION AND JANE DOE PLAINTIFFS, 5) DOCKET NO. 2:20-CV-0302-SCJ -VS-6 TRUE THE VOTE, INC., CATHERINE 7 ENGELBRECHT, DEREK SOMERVILLE, MARK DAVIS, MARK WILLIAMS, RON 8 JOHNSON, JAMES COOPER, AND JOHN DOES 1-10, 9 DEFENDANTS. 10 TRANSCRIPT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT PROCEEDINGS 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEVE C. JONES UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 12 THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2023 13 14 **APPEARANCES:** 15 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS: 16 ALLEGRA J. LAWRENCE-HARDY, ESQ. CHRISTINA ASHLEY FORD, ESQ. 17 LESLIE J. BRYAN, ESQ. MARCOS MOCINE-MC QUEEN, ESQ. 18 UZOMA NKWONTA, ESQ. TINA MENG MORRISON, ESQ. 19 JACOB SHELLY, ESQ. MICHELLE L. MC CLAFFERTY, ESQ. 20 21 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS: 22 CAMERON POWELL, ESQ. MICHAEL JOHN WYNNE, ESQ. 23 JAMES CULLEN EVANS, ESQ. 24

```
1
    APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):
 2
    ON BEHALF OF INTERVENOR (USA):
 3
      DANA PAIKOWSKY, ESQ.
 4
      JENNIFER J. YUN, ESQ.
      TIM MELLETT, ESQ.
 5
      AILEEN BELL HUGHES, ESQ.
 6
 7
                  RETAILUED FROM DEMOCRACYDOCKET. COM
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
           VIOLA S. ZBOROWSKI, RDR, FAPR, CMR, CRR, RPR, CRC
22
        OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER TO THE HONORABLE STEVE C. JONES
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
23
                             ATLANTA, GEORGIA
                               404-215-1479
24
                    VIOLA ZBOROWSKI@GAND.USCOURTS.GOV
25
```

1 (HELD IN OPEN COURT AT 9 A.M.) 2 THE COURT: Good morning. You-all can be seated. 3 Anything I need -- we need to deal with before we 4 start with the next witness? 5 MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Good morning. 7 MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: We have an issue that has come 8 to our attention that we think the Court might want to 9 address -- us to address in chambers, if that is permissible 10 to Your Honor and opposing counsel. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, yeah, 12 Ms. Conklin, take them to my conference room in my 13 office, the conference room. 14 MR. WYNNE: Could I say one thing, so I don't --15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 MR. WYNNE: Ron Johnson, a defendant in this case, is 17 in New York City. He has a tight work schedule. And so I was 18 going to ask leave to allow him to appear by Zoom when we get 19 to him probably tomorrow. 20 MR. NKWONTA: No objection. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. WYNNE: Thank you. 23 THE COURT: All right. Just whoever for the 24 plaintiffs you bring and whoever from the defendants, 25 Ms. Conklin will lead you-all in there and Ms. Wright will be

```
1
    be in there with you-all.
 2
             MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT: Is this something for the record?
 4
             MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Your Honor, you may want to when
 5
    we return. We just want to get some direction from the Court.
 6
             THE COURT:
                         All right.
 7
             (Recess from 9:05 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.)
 8
             THE COURT:
                        Please be seated.
 9
             I need everyone that's not an attorney in this case
    or a party to this case to step out in the hall briefly.
10
11
             If you're with the Department of Justice and you're
12
    on their staff, you can stay in. But if you're not an
13
    attorney in this case, or a paralegal in this case, or a
14
    party, you need to step out into the hall.
15
             (Audience left the courtroom.)
16
             THE COURT Ms. Engelbrecht, I ask you please come to
17
    the stand, please.
18
             Ms. Engelbrecht, you can be seated. I remind you,
19
    you're still under oath. You took an oath yesterday. I gave
20
    you an oath.
21
             THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
22
             THE COURT: You're still under oath.
23
             I have a couple of questions to ask you, and before
24
    you answer any of them, please think about what I'm asking
    you.
```

1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 THE COURT: It's very important. 3 Were you -- was it explained to you by your 4 attorneys, or one of your attorneys, that you were not 5 supposed to talk to anyone about what's happening in this 6 courtroom or tell anybody what you testified about or what 7 anybody else testified about? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. My understanding was the 9 particulars of what was happening in this courtroom, yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm not going to ask you, 11 because I don't know what attorney explained it to you, but 12 one of your attorneys did explain that to you? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. And you have been here in the 14 15 courtroom since it started last Thursday; correct? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 THE COURT: One week ago from today. 18 At any point in time have you discussed with anybody, 19 anyone, about what the testimony was in this case? What 20 anyone did in this case? Or what's happening in this 21 courtroom? 22 THE WITNESS: Other than my attorneys, no, sir. I 23 mean, I was asked -- you know, a long day, you know, who's --24 that kind of thing, but that was -- that was it.

THE COURT: You participated in a podcast I think

```
Monday night.
 1
 2
             THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
 3
             THE COURT: Before that podcast, did you discuss
 4
    anything in particular about this case with anyone?
 5
             THE WITNESS:
                           No.
                                Just that I had not testified that
 6
    day.
 7
             THE COURT: After the podcast, did you get into any
 8
    particulars about this case with anyone?
 9
             THE WITNESS: No, sir.
10
             THE COURT: It's my understanding you're testifying
11
    to me today here under oath that you have not -- you have
12
    followed the rules, you have not discussed this case with
13
    anyone other than your lawyers, you have not told anyone about
14
    what's occurring in this case, what any witness testified to
15
    in this case, or what even happened here in the courtroom?
16
             THE WITNESS: No, sir. Other than to say like a long
17
    day or going long or whatever.
18
             THE COURT: Yeah, that's understandable.
19
             Okay.
                    Thank you.
20
             THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21
             THE COURT: You can step down.
22
             THE WITNESS: Sir, I'm sorry for the
23
    misunderstanding.
24
             THE COURT:
                         No. You don't owe me any apology.
25
             THE WITNESS: I just -- I'm sorry for that.
```

THE COURT: No, no apology needed.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

21

23

24 25

Ms. Lawrence-Hardy, Mr. Wynne, at this point in time I'm going to just take this under advertisement until Mr. Gregg Phillips testifies tomorrow. File your brief, it'll be under seal. You will also file your brief as well.

Can both of you-all have your briefs filed by noon tomorrow?

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. WYNNE: And just for clarification, Your Honor, if we decide in consultation with our client that Mr. Phillips for other reasons will not be called as a witness, we don't have too much to brief. And so I'm asking if there's anything else?

You don't have to file a brief at all. THE COURT: You have the option.

> MR. WYNNE Okay.

THE COURT: Ms. Lawrence-Hardy asked me if I still want her to file a brief and I told her yes. I give you the same opportunity.

Now, one thing I will say, I prefer that you-all have no further conversation with Gregg Phillips. When he gets here tomorrow, just have him stay outside. As of right now, I don't want you-all, neither side, to have any conversation with Gregg Phillips. I understand if you know Mr. Phillips, if he contacts you, just say, the judge says I can't talk to

1 you about even the weather just right now, okay? 2 MR. WYNNE: I may, Your Honor, have to talk to him 3 about a little logistics, make sure he has a suit and things 4 like that, so just logistics. 5 THE COURT: I thought you told me he already said 6 he's going to put his suit on to come. 7 MR. WYNNE: Well, that was a little unclear. Ι 8 actually offered to -- he could borrow mine, but he's a little 9 too muscular, so... THE COURT: I don't need him in a suit and tie. 10 11 MR. WYNNE: Okay. 12 THE COURT: He can come here in a warmup suit as far 13 as I'm concerned. As long as he has clothes on, all right? 14 Okay. I'll let my legal assistant handle MR. WYNNE: 15 logistics. 16 THE COURT Yeah, yeah. 17 MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Your Honor, if we might ask, if 18 they know Mr. Phillips is not going to testify, there's no 19 need for us to expend the resources of dealing with that 20 issue. It feels a little unfair that they are -- it seems 21 like they decided that sometime time ago and now we're back 22 and forth on that. 23 Is there a time today they can let us know?

MR. WYNNE: We can decide after lunch after I talk with my client. I want to make sure the landscape has not

1 changed. 2 THE COURT: Can you tell them by 2 o'clock this 3 afternoon? 4 MR. WYNNE: Oh, yes, certainly, Your Honor. 5 MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Thank you Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is there anything else 7 from the plaintiffs? 8 MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, before the plaintiffs rest, 9 we have a decent amount of exhibit cleanup we need to do. 10 THE COURT: Well, I'm talking about logistics 11 matters. 12 This is all from us on this MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: 13 particular issue, Your Honor. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: All right. Anything along these logistic 15 matters from the defendants? MR. WYNNE No. Just one thing I want to make sure 16 17 didn't get lost in the discussion is we need to have the Zoom 18 set up for Mr. Ron Johnson if we get to him, you know, today. 19 THE COURT: And they've already agreed to that and we 20 will definitely have -- Ms. Wright's back today so we can get 21 that done. 22 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. WYNNE: 23 MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Your Honor, do you want me to 24 invite --25 THE COURT: Yeah. Somebody has to tell everybody

1 they can come back in. 2 (The audience comes back to the courtroom.) 3 THE COURT: Okay. You can go ahead, sir. 4 MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, we're finished with our 5 witnesses, but we have some exhibit cleanup and some exhibits 6 to move in. I think it would be best if we tabled that for 7 tomorrow, and -- and have defendants proceed with their 8 witnesses and do the cleanup when we have a break, when we have a little bit more clarity as to the witness lineup. 10 THE COURT: Well, let me ask the defendants this, 11 because if you're resting, you just want to kind of get all your exhibits in before you rest. Is there any argument, 12 13 objection about any particular exhibits? 14 MR. NKWONTA: There are. I believe there may be some 15 objections. We have not received any objections. We've just 16 been told that there are. 17 THE COURT: Which exhibits, sir, are you asking to 18 move in? 19 MR. NKWONTA: I can read them into the record if that 20 would be helpful. 21 THE COURT: Yeah. 22 MR. NKWONTA: Okay. 23 MR. WYNNE: Yeah, I can jot them down. 24 MR. NKWONTA: Exhibits 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, 17, 19, My understanding is 22 and 45 are still under 23.

advisement.

THE COURT: 22 is in. 45 is under advisement. 22 is in over objection.

MR. NKWONTA: 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42 -- sorry -- 42 is already in.

THE COURT: 42 is in.

MR. NKWONTA: 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55 through 70, all of those, 55 through 70. 71 and 72. 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 81 through 89. 93 is the full deposition transcript of Mr. Joseph Martin. I believe that was admitted by the Court but wanted to get clarity.

THE COURT: I think it was.

MR. NKWONTA: I think the Court requested that to be admitted after --

THE COURT: Yeah, that's in. That's in.

MR. NKWONTA: 94, which are the specific Joseph Martin deposition designations. 95 --

THE COURT: 94 should be in as well. I specifically asked to put 94 -- the transcript. And in Texas, any e-mails that went along with Joseph Martin's testimony be put into evidence.

MR. NKWONTA: All right. And so that's -- and 95.

And 95 is a -- and we have the binders here. 95 are the excerpts, the impeachment experts from the testimony of Ms. Catherine Engelbrecht, the deposition transcript

1 impeachment excerpts. 2 96, deposition designations for James Copper. 3 97, deposition designations for Mark Davis. 4 98, also deposition designations for Mark Davis' 5 second deposition. 6 99, Engelbrecht deposition designations. 7 100, Halsworth deposition designations. 8 101, Johnson deposition designations. 9 And we are also going to file those final 10 designations on the docket, not the actual transcript but the 11 list, along with the full Martin transcript we'll add as an 12 attachment, per the Court's instructions yesterday. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. NKWONTA: And then 101, Ron Johnson deposition 15 designations. 16 102, Phillips' deposition designations. 17 103, Derek Somerville first transcript designations. 18 104, Derek Somerville's second transcript 19 designations. 20 And 105, Mark Williams' deposition designation. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Wynne, it's a lot, but if you 22 can tell me your position on some of them or all of them. 23 MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, this -- going on with our 24 case today, allowing these in, painstaking as it would be, 25 would really handicap us, because rulings on these will have a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

great bearing on the questions that we ask our witnesses, Mr. Somerville, Mr. Davis. And, you know, if they're not in evidence, we don't want to inadvertently create a foundation to admit them, nor do we want to waste time, you know, refuting things that aren't even going to come up. And that's -- I would ask them to do it in their case-in-chief. Moreover, we've got designations from Phillips that complicates matters. That's on the side. THE COURT: They're getting ready to rest. that's why they're bringing it up now. My understanding, you're getting ready to rest. MR. NKWONTA: Yes. That's why I --THE COURT: That's why they're bringing it up now. That's why I told them, let's address it now. MR. WYNNE: Yeah. THE COURT: Well, but my question is, what -- which one if any of these you're objecting to, are you objecting to all of them, some of them, none of them? MR. WYNNE: I'm objecting now to all of them, because, you know, we had to defer. I could not make relevance or foundation until we started. THE COURT: Okay. We'll go through them one by one We'll go through them one by one. Let's start with No. 2. MR. NKWONTA: No. 2, Your Honor, that is the True the

 Vote OpSec invoice, invoice prepared by Mr. Gregg Phillips for the Georgia --

THE COURT: Hold on.

All right.

MR. WYNNE: No objection.

THE COURT: First off, it's probably going to take us an hour to hour and a half or more to go through each one of these. I'm prepared to do that. I have nowhere to be. I've already told people I'm prepared to be here all week next week.

Now, we can simplify this so you can start getting to your case as quick as possible. If you would just sit with your co-counsel and look at the ones that you really have objections to and we deal with those.

Let me say this to all of you-all. None of you-all are first-year people right out of law school. I know the history on every one of you-all. You're experienced lawyers. You've tried cases before.

But what we're doing now is something I would expect of somebody that just graduated last year out of law school trying their first case.

You don't have to get along. You don't have to love each other. But as lawyers, you are professionals. Let me say that again. As lawyers, you are professional. And lawyers as professionals, above all other professions, know

how to deal with matters that's in the law. You don't have to 2 love each other. You don't have to be friends. But you're 3 professionals. 4 And if there is something here you know you're not 5 really going to object to or you're not really going to offer, 6 don't waste time and resources. Get it done. So we can get 7 to the meat of the matter. 8 I'm going to step out for 15 minutes. When I come 9 back in, all the ones you-all are not agreed on we're going to 10 do one by one. 11 Now, when I became district court judge, one of my 12 colleagues told me, Ms. Lawrence-Hardy and Mr. Wynne, you 13 know, I really like to let the lawyers go home before 14 6 o'clock. But when I was procedural court judge I had no 15 problem keeping lawyers in court until 10 or 11:00. I can put 16 that hat back on very quickly and be here tonight. 17 I'll be back in this room at 11:30. 18 Does anybody not hear what I'm saying? 19 Thank you-all. 20 (A break was taken from 11:17 a.m. to 11:32 a.m.) 21 THE COURT: You-all can be seated. 22 All right. Where are we at? 23 MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, I think we've made 24 significant progress.

THE COURT: All right.

1 MR. NKWONTA: Right now as we sit where we left off, 2 the exhibits that defendants currently object to are 19, 20, 3 23, 54, 61 --4 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Could you slow down, please? 5 MR. NKWONTA: Sure. Do you want me to start --6 THE COURT: 19, 20, 23, 54, 61. 7 MR. NKWONTA: 66, 86, 88, 89, and 90. 8 THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Wynne, those are the 9 ones you have objections to? 10 MR. WYNNE: A couple of clarifications. 11 On 29, no objection if for optional completeness the 12 rest of the string in that post is included. I think we've 13 come to that understanding. 14 MR. NKWONTA: Yes, that's correct. So we'll have a 15 new version of 29 with the additional comments. MR. WYNNE: And 83 and 87, subject to the 16 17 representation - we're fine -- of counsel of what they are. 18 Our clients are not sure that they are what they purport to 19 be, but for now we're not going to object, since I think that 20 goes to the weight. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So here's my 22 understanding of what is coming in without objection. Please 23 listen closely. I'm going to go through it slow. 24 No. 2 comes in without objection. 25 No. 3 comes in without objection.

```
1
              4 comes in without objection.
 2
              5 without objection.
 3
             6 without objection.
 4
              No objections to No. 7.
 5
              No objections to No. 9.
 6
              11, 12, 13, 14, no objections.
 7
              17, 18, no objection.
 8
              There is an objection to 19 and 20.
 9
              22 I've already admitted in over objection.
10
             23, there's an objection -- there is an objection to
11
    23.
12
              24, no objections.
             29 and 30, no objections.
13
14
             31, no objections.
15
              33 and 34, no objections.
16
              36, no objections.
17
              38, 39, 40, 41, no objections.
18
             And the Court owes you-all a ruling on 45.
19
              43, 44, no objections.
20
              46 and 47, no objections.
21
              48, no objections.
22
              51 and 52 and 53, no objections.
23
             And 50, no objections.
24
              There is --
25
             MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, they did not offer -- they
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
did not list 50 as one of the ones that they were offering
earlier, and we certainly do have an objection to 50.
                    All right. 50 is on the list.
         THE COURT:
         Are you not offering 50?
         MR. NKWONTA:
                       No. And, Your Honor, maybe we can do
this after you go through the list, but --
         THE COURT:
                     No. Let's do it right now. Let's do it
right now.
         A simple question: Are you offering 50 or not?
         MR. NKWONTA:
                       I am not.
                                  When I read off the list
initially, I left off a few exhibits.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay.
                            50 is off.
         51, 52 and 53, no objections.
         54 there is an objection.
         55, 56, 57, 58, 59, and 60 are no objections.
         60, there is no objection, but there is an objection
to 61.
         62, 63, 64, and 65, no objections.
         Of course they have objections to 66.
         There are no objections from 67 through 85; is that
correct? Other than the ones I already have in over
objections, like 73 I admitted over objections. But the ones
that I have not ruled on, I have no objection from 67 --
                     I have a statement. There were some of
         MR. WYNNE:
those numbers that he did not offer, so we didn't scrutinize
```

```
1
    here.
 2
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Let's deal with those, which ones
 3
    you did not offer? Let's just keep going.
 4
             67, I have no objections.
 5
             68, no objections.
 6
             69, no objections.
 7
             70, no objections.
 8
             If we get to one you're not offering, let me know.
 9
             71 and 72, no objections.
10
             74, no objections.
11
             75, no objections.
12
             76, no objections.
13
             77, no objections.
14
             78, no objections.
15
             79, no objections.
16
             81, 82 and 83, no objections.
17
             84 and 85, no objections.
18
             There is an objection to 86.
19
             No objections to 87.
20
             But there is an objection to 88.
21
             There is an objection to 89 and 90.
22
                         Your Honor, I'm sorry, but there was an
             MR. WYNNE:
23
    objection to 87.
24
             THE COURT: I have 87 down.
                                           So 87 there is an
    objection.
```

```
1
             MR. WYNNE: We've resolved 83 for the moment.
 2
    I guess for entirety.
 3
             THE COURT: All right. Well, my understanding there
 4
    are no objections to 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100,
 5
    101, 102, 103, 104, and 105.
 6
             MR. WYNNE: Right. I want to make clear that there
 7
    is an objection to No. 90.
 8
             THE COURT: 90 -- I had 90 down. I have you down
 9
    objecting to 89 and 90.
10
             MR. WYNNE: Okay.
11
                                So the ones that I have you down
             THE COURT: Okay.
    for objections to: 19, 20, 23, 54, 61, 66, 86, 87, 88, 89,
12
13
    and 90.
14
             And that the plaintiffs are not offering No. 50.
15
             MR. NKWONTA: We're also not offering No. 48, Your
16
    Honor.
17
             THE COURT: All right. 48 is not being offered.
18
             MR. NKWONTA: And we are also not offering Nos. 11 to
19
    14.
20
             And we're not offering No. 9.
21
             MR. POWELL: What about 8?
22
             MR. NKWONTA: 8 is already admitted.
23
             MR. POWELL: And 15 and 16?
24
             MR. NKWONTA: 15 and 16 are already admitted.
25
             THE COURT: So 9, 11, 12, 13, and 14 are not being
```

1 offered, along with No. 50. 2 MR. NKWONTA: No. 18 is not being offered either. 3 THE COURT: Anything else not being offered? 4 MR. NKWONTA: No. 24. And that's it. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Now I'm prepared to hear argument 6 starting with No. 19. 7 Why are you offering it? Why should I allow you to 8 put it in? And then the defendant can argue why it should not 9 be accepted. MR. NKWONTA: No. 19 is an article about alleged 10 11 votes by non-citizens in 2016, an allegation made by Mr. Gregg 12 Phillips. We believe because of the article and because of 13 the public nature of it, the Court can take judicial notice 14 that that allegation was made and publicized. 15 THE COURT: I don't have a copy of 19 up here. 16 hold on. I can look up. I was looking at the white notebook. 17 I want to make sure I'm looking at the right 19. 18 starts off: A silent fact-check: Did 3 million undocumented 19 immigrants vote in this year's election? Is that the one? 20 MR. NKWONTA: Correct. And we're not admitting it 21 for the purpose of the fact check. We're admitting it for --22 and request the Court to take judicial notice that the 23 statement was made and that the -- and that it was publicized. 24 It was made public.

THE COURT: I don't see the value. If it's not

offered for the truthfulness of the matter that the statement was made, isn't that saying I'm accepting that it was made?

MR. NKWONTA: So the truth of the matter would be to accept it for -- to accept and agree that 3 million non-citizens voted. We're not asking the Court to accept it for the truth of the statement. We actually don't believe 3 million non-citizens voted.

But we do want the Court to take judicial notice that this was in the news in 2016 and that it was -- it was a statement put in the news by Mr. Gregg Phillips in 2016, regardless of its truth.

THE COURT: Well, I guess Gregg Phillips so far -the only thing I know about Gregg Phillips I heard this
morning. So he hasn't testified, he's not a defendant in this
case. Why should I care what he says?

MR. NKWONTA: If Mr. Phillips -- if it turns out -- and I have to admit I still don't have full clarity as to what Mr. Phillips' status is, but if he does not testify or offer any evidence in this case, then we would withdraw.

THE COURT: Right now I'm not allowing it in. Gregg Phillips takes this stand and I allow him to testify, then I'll allow you to question him about it. And if he admits that he said this, it comes in. But right now it's not coming in.

MR. NKWONTA: Thank you, Your Honor.

3

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. WYNNE: For the record, do I say foundation, relevance, hearsay, it's incomplete and you've got a bunch of links we don't know what they are.

> THE COURT: All right. Let's talk about No. 20.

MR. NKWONTA: No. 20 is along the same lines. It is a transcript of an interview that Mr. Gregg Phillips gave to CNN.

THE COURT: Same ruling. If he takes the stand and he tells me about it, I might change my ruling. But right now that is not coming in.

23.

23 is the LRS 990 form for Time For a MR. NKWONTA: And that IRS 99 form, the public version, identifies the officers of Time For a Hero. You may recall, that was the organization behind Exhibit 22.

MR. WYNNE Objection, relevance.

THE COURT: What's the relevancy of this document?

MR. NKWONTA: Well, it establishes and draws a link definitively between Ms. Engelbrecht and Mr. Phillips and Time For a Hero. It also does so with a particular time frame because of the reporting required in the IRS 990 forms.

And the relevance of their connection to Time For a Hero, you may recall, Your Honor, yesterday the questioning about Exhibit 45, the tweet, and the similarities between the slogans and the avatar image with what was posted on the Time

```
1
    For a Hero Facebook page.
 2
             THE COURT: What do you have to say?
 3
             MR. WYNNE: Yeah, I think that --
 4
             THE COURT: Ms. Engelbrecht is an officer on here.
 5
    It's listed.
 6
             MR. WYNNE: And I think it's attenuated, including
 7
    how Time For a Hero ties in to the facts of this case.
 8
    also concerned that, to address it, it complicates the Gregg
 9
    Phillips issue. So I'd ask this one, like the others, to be
10
    tabled until that's resolved.
11
             THE COURT:
                         It's in over objection.
12
             54.
13
             MR. NKWONTA:
                           54 is a -- is a table prepared by
14
    Mr. Phillips who created that challenge list. And it's a
15
    table that sorts -- appears to sort voters by race and party.
16
             MR. WYNNE: Objection, hearsay.
17
                         It's out unless Mr. Phillips testifies
             THE COURT:
18
    tomorrow and I can see how it's relevant.
19
             61.
20
             MR. NKWONTA: 61 is a list of voters that were
21
    challenged -- or not a list of individual voters, but a count.
22
             THE COURT: A counting.
23
             MR. NKWONTA: And this was produced by defendants, by
24
    Mr. Mark Williams. So this is within his files.
25
             MR. WYNNE: Objection, you know, relevance.
```

Mr. Williams I guess can testify about it, but right now it's hearsay.

MR. NKWONTA: It's highly relevant. It's a party -THE COURT: I need a foundation -- I need a
foundation for No. 61. All I have is a bunch of numbers and
names.

MR. NKWONTA: Well, it's a party admission because -THE COURT: That may be so, but I need some kind of
foundation. I can't just put 61 in -- all I have in front of
us is a list of 159 counties or 65 counties and numbers. I
need somebody to identify, say that this is put together by
Mark Williams or Mark Williams, yeah, I put this together.
What you're asking me to do is put in 61 and it's just
numbers. And you can't testify.

MR. NKWONTA: I completely understand that.

I guess what I'm struggling with, Your Honor, just to be candid, is that this document was produced and Bates stamped with Mark Williams' Bates stamp. It was produced with the understanding that it came from his possession, custody and control. And, again, so that's what we put it on this list and that's why we circuited this list in May and have been trying to get agreement that at least the documents that they've said are theirs, let us agree that they are theirs.

THE COURT: Why didn't you just call Mark Williams for purposes of cross-examination and you could have got it

in?

MR. NKWONTA: Well, honestly, I did not believe it would come to this. I assumed Mark Williams would agree that --

THE COURT: Let me ask you something. You're a very intelligent attorney. You do a good job.

When you recognized that you were having problems in discovery in this case, doesn't it kind of tip you off like, well, I better be prepared for all aspects of this case?

MR. NKWONTA: We are prepared, Your Honor, so I -just to caveat that, if there are documents here that
defendants will not agree come from their own, you know, their
own custody or control, then we just won't rest our case and
we'll just continue to call witnesses to lay a foundation,
kind of like what we had to do with Francis Watson, who waited
out through the whole afternoon on Friday and had to go home
because, you know -- because we found out at the last minute
there was no objection.

THE COURT: What I'm trying to say to you, I think you should realize by now that -- and this is nothing personal. They're doing what they think is best in the best interest of their client. Whether you agree or disagree. You're doing what you think is in the best interest of your client.

To the best interest of your client, you may

sometimes have to inconvenience a witness, or make you have to jump an extra jump that you have to jump, but that's what you have to be prepared to do. I'm not allowing 61 in as is.

And if you do not want to rest and you want to call Mark Williams, that's up to you. But right now all I have in front of me is a list of 159 counties and numbers. And that tells me nothing.

MR. NKWONTA: Understood, Your Honor.

May I make another request --

THE COURT: Well, we're going to go through this list first.

66.

MR. WYNNE: Same objection, Your Honor, no foundation. At this point, it's hearsay. And, you know, if some -- if a witness or a party produces something, you know, Mr. Cooper may have something that Mr. Williams produced and it could be all messed up. I mean, who knows whose list is whose? If we can get a foundation, I imagine we'll withdraw the question (sic), but right now it's real confusing.

MR. NKWONTA: It's the same issue, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It is.

MR. NKWONTA: We can just sort of -- we can pull the documents with their own Bates and we can, you know, lay the foundation.

THE COURT: All right. Right now I'm not allowing 66

1 in. 2 86? I think we've got the same situation with 86 3 that we have with 61 and 66. 4 MR. WYNNE: It's a complication, too, Your Honor, 5 because some of the lines are cut off. 6 MR. NKWONTA: 86 has been admitted. 7 MR. WYNNE: I don't think that's so. 8 MR. NKWONTA: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, 86 has not been 9 admitted. I'm sorry. 10 MR. WYNNE: I don't think I let that one in. 11 MR. NKWONTA: 86, same --12 MR. WYNNE: It is cut of 13 -- same boat. We -- their witnesses MR. NKWONTA: 14 produced it. 15 I'm not allowing it in. And, again, I'm THE COURT: not trying to be difficult but all I have in front of me, 16 17 again, is a list of counties. 18 MR. NKWONTA: I understand. I thought we could 19 streamline this trial. So what I will propose --20 THE COURT: Well, all trials that I try a case like 21 this, this is -- I don't even get into this discussion because 22 lawyers work it out ahead of time, but, listen, I'm not 23 blaming anybody. One more time. 24 You're doing what you're supposed to do to represent your client and they're doing what they're supposed to do to

```
represent their client. And you got to kind of -- I'm not
 2
    telling you to be hard ball or not try to work with folks, but
 3
    you've got to see where you're at, okay?
 4
             MR. NKWONTA: Understood. I think --
 5
             THE COURT:
                        88.
 6
             MR. NKWONTA:
                           88.
 7
             MR. WYNNE: I think we had 87 on the list, too.
 8
             THE COURT: I'm going to come back to 87, but let's
 9
    say 88 right now.
10
             MR. WYNNE:
                         Okay.
11
             THE COURT: I think you've got the same problem with
12
    88 that you've got with 61 and 66 and 86.
13
             MR. NKWONTA: 88, same issue.
14
             THE COURT:
                        And 87. I think you've got the same
15
    issue with 87 as well.
16
             At this time, I'm not allowing 87 or 88 in, but if
17
    you can lay a foundation for these, we'll revisit it.
18
             89?
19
             MR. NKWONTA: 89, same issue.
20
             THE COURT: All right. I'm not allowing 89 in.
21
            If you can lay it, we'll come back to it.
    thing.
22
             And 90.
23
             MR. NKWONTA:
                           90 is -- 90 I believe --
24
             THE COURT: 90's a little bit different.
25
             MR. NKWONTA: Yes. Now, I believe it was my
```

1 understanding 90 was admitted, but if it was not --2 THE COURT: I didn't have it on my list. And you-all 3 told me that -- I think 90 is in. 4 MR. WYNNE: I'd have been --5 THE COURT: No, no, 90 is not in. 91's in. 90 is 6 not in. 7 MR. NKWONTA: We move to admit 90. 90 consists of 8 the communications between Ms. Engelbrecht and Mr. Eshelman 9 specifically regarding Ms. Engelbrecht's validated data on 10 fraud and whistleblower information. 11 THE COURT: All right. Let me say this to you: 12 you put Ms. Engelbrecht back on the stand and she identifies 13 it, I'll probably let 90 in. Right now, it hasn't been 14 identified. MR. NKWONTA: She did identify it. 15 THE COURT Did she identify this? 16 17 MR. NKWONTA: She identified it yesterday. And we 18 talked about it at length. 19 MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, there's a number -- no. 20 a minute. 21 There is a number of pages in here, including a 22 letter from the Venable law firm, which is clearly not her 23 statement. And, furthermore, we object based on -- based on 24 relevance and a lack of foundation. There are a lot of

e-mails in here, including I suspect ones that -- and texts

1 that have not been -- ones between D and TC, and neither of 2 those is her. And so as presented, you know, this is hearsay. 3 MR. NKWONTA: Well, Your Honor, the Court --4 THE COURT: 90 is in over objection. 5 Okay. 6 MR. WYNNE: I'm sorry? 7 THE COURT: 90 is in over objection. 8 I need you to prepare an amended updated 9 plaintiffs' exhibit list, because from 91 to 105 is -- the 10 list you gave us, does not includes 91 to 105. So I need you 11 to give us an amended updated plaintiffs' exhibit list. 12 MR. NKWONTA: Yes. We will do that, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you want to rest your case 14 or do you want to call some other witnesses? 15 MR. NKWONTA: Can I propose, Your Honor, for the sake 16 of efficiency, that you hold the record open while they put on 17 some of their witnesses so that we can complete this process 18 of authenticating the documents that they produced and then 19 close out after we --THE COURT: The problem is, is that I'm anticipating 20 21 a motion as soon as you rest your case. And in order for me 22 to rule on the motions, plaintiffs' case has to be done, in. 23 I'm willing to take a lunch break and let you come back and 24 tell me something, but either you're resting or you're not 25 resting.

we take a lunch break and discuss it?

THE COURT: I have no problem with that.

MR. NKWONTA: Well, since we are close to noon, can

We'll come back at 1:15. Now, here is what we need to know. One more time.

You know why I'm so proud to be a lawyer? You all -- I'm so proud to be a lawyer, because lawyers, as I say, are professionals. We get things done. We understand that the law is bigger than we are. It's not about us. It's about the whole aspect -- the foundation for America, Ms. Engelbrecht, and you'll probably agree with me, is the law.

MS. ENGELBRECHT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And the first time the law cracks, Ms. Lawrence-Hardy, we've got a problem.

Now, who are the builders? You, you, you. You guys and ladies -- I don't want to get in trouble again -- and ladies make sure that -- in this case you disagree strongly, no problem. But let's make sure we just get the things to the point where we're just not slowing down the process either way and get it done.

So we can come back -- now, the reason why I'm giving this little mini speech, you-all can probably settle most of this in 15 minutes. If they're objecting, then you need to have your witnesses.

But you also be able to say to defendants, well, this

is what I'm going to put up this afternoon so the defendants will know, okay, I need to have my first witness to be ready to go at such-and-such time. Lawyers do that all the time. They do it all the time. They say, hey, plaintiffs presenting their case, they say, when do you think you're going to rest so I can have my first witness ready. That's all I'm asking you-all to do. I'm not asking you to go out to a movie together or have dinner together. Just talk so we can get this done.

MR. WYNNE: I'll take him up on the dinner offer.

THE COURT: That's between the two of you-all. As long as you don't ask me to pay. That's on you-all.

You talk to your people. And once you make a decision whether you want to rest or not, let defense know so they can be prepared and have their first witness. I don't want to stay here past 6 o'clock. My wife is already upset. So let's get it done, okay?

That's the last sermon I'm going to give you-all in this trial, okay? Have a good lunch. See you at 1:15.

(Hearing concluded for lunch at 12 noon.)

(Change of reporters.)

CERTIFICATE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in the case aforesaid. This the 2nd day of November, 2023. /s/Viola S. Zborowski VIOLA S. ZBOROWSKI, RDR, FAPR, CMR, CRR, RPR, CRC OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER TO THE HONORABLE STEVE C. JONES