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(HELD IN OPEN COURT AT 9:18 A.M.)
THE COURT: Let me ask plaintiffs' counsel.
Are you-all planning on calling any witnesses this morning that's going to need to be by Zoom?

MR. NKWONTA: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. The clerk is out today because of illness and we're trying to do some things to take care of that. We were worried if you were going to call someone by Zoom this morning. She's the only one that knows how to do that, so we're trying to find somebody wió could do it.

So let me ask you: Do you think you'll call anybody by Zoom at all today?

MR. NKWONTA: Not on our end, Your Honor.
MR. WYNNE: Your Hionor, our second witness would be by Zoom if we get to

THE COURT We've got a long time before we get to your case.

MR. WYNNE: Okay.
THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. WYNNE: Just letting you --
THE COURT: Yeah. So no. We're not going to get to your case today, so that's not concerning.

All right, then. You can call your next witness.
MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, before we do, can we have a couple of minutes to address a few house --

THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. NKWONTA: -- administrative items that --
So the first administrative item is the request for judicial notice that we first raised last week. We -- I don't believe we've reached an agreement with the other side yet and wanted to get guidance whether the Court would prefer that we just file the motion for judicial notice or we can discuss it here very briefly.

THE COURT: Just go ahead and file it and I'll rule. They'11 have a chance to note their objections and I'11 decide if I'm allowed to take judicial notioe on this or I'm not going to take judicial notice on it.

MR. NKWONTA: Thank you, Your Honor.
And then the secorid issue that we need to address, that actually impacts our case and our witnesses, is again the exhibit list. So as Your Honor knows, we submitted an exhibit list back in May.

Despite numerous attempts, we have not received any objections or despite numerous attempts to reach out to the defendants.

And so we are asking the Court, at some point we will plan to move into evidence our exhibits on our exhibit list. And we are asking the Court to -- for the Court's agreement and confirmation that per local Rule 16.4 that there are no authenticity objections as to the exhibits in our exhibit

1ist. If those authenticity objections are waived and we can move in those exhibits without having a witness --

THE COURT: You-all have not received any objections on authenticity on any of these matters, correct?

MR. NKWONTA: Correct.
MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, I really feel that we need to take these one at a time. We're agreed on authenticity --

THE COURT: Why haven't you already addressed that under Rule 1644?

MR. WYNNE: None of --
THE COURT: Plaintiffs' courisel is correct. If you had a problem with those, just let them -- give them notice ahead of time so they can have witnesses prepared to be here to do that. Your failure to do that is also like a waiver that you weren't going to challenge them on that basis.

MR. WYNNE We are not challenging on the basis of authenticity or certification of business records. And to the extent before, we're not challenging under, I think it's -- I think it's 803.6 on -- on any business records.

THE COURT: Does that not answer your question, then?
MR. NKWONTA: That does. I was just slightly confused because they raised some foundation objections during the last time we were before you and --

THE COURT: Well, I think they can still argue where it's relevant or not and things like that.

MR. NKWONTA: Relevance, absolutely. But foundation, that's an authenticity objection and I just want a confirmation that that is waived.

THE COURT: Mr. Wynne just gave you the confirmation. MR. WYNNE: We're just going to do hearsay and foundation and relevance.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Wynne. Thank you. All right.

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, he said just hearsay, foundation and relevance. And I want confirmation that foundation is waived.

THE COURT: Well, I think it's relevance. He meant to just say whether it's relevant or not and hearsay.

MR. NKWONTA: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: It's early. It's cold. He's getting a slow start, but he just meant relevance and hearsay.

MR. WYiNE: I don't like objecting anyway. If I do, it would be one word.

THE COURT: Well, I tell people all the time, when I was taught to try a case I was told if it doesn't matter then don't object.

MR. WYNNE: Especially in a bench trial, Your Honor.
I respect that and respect your time. I'm not going to --
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. WYNNE: There will be a couple in a minute, in
the beginning.
THE COURT: Well, no. If there is an objection that you and Mr. Powell and Mr. Evans think needs to be made, you need to make it.

MR. NKWONTA: Then, Your Honor, before we get started with our next witness we -- to sort of minimize the time going back and forth, we'd request permission to drop off some selected exhibits with the witnesses.

THE COURT: No problem.
MR. WYNNE: No objection. And fact, I greatly appreciate that. Counsel is very heipful.

THE COURT: Thank you, Sií.
MR. NKWONTA: We have a copy for you too, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes Sir. Thank you.
Leave that right there for the witness. Hand me one.
Yeah. Thank you. And you can just leave it right there for whenever the witness comes up. Thank you, sir.

MR. NKWONTA: Now that we have those preliminary
items out of the way, Your Honor, plaintiffs call Ms. Catherine Engelbrecht.

THE COURT: All right. For purposes of cross-examination?

MR. NKWONTA: Yes. We call Ms. Engelbrecht as an adverse witness for the purpose of cross-examination.

MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, I want to make sure, and I
think the ground rules are set, that we may call her in her -in our case-in-chief.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. WYNNE: On any subject, including matters covered.

THE COURT: Yes, yes.
MR. WYNNE: Thank you.
THE COURT: Yes.
Now, let me also say -- come on up ana'am.
Sometimes, but I think this question is already answered, they're going to call her back. Sometimes I read in some cases where, you know, the plitpose of calling a person for cross-examination and pladitiffs' counsel objects and say, well, Judge, since they ace on we do direct, but we're not going to have to cross that bridge because Mr. Wynne has already addressed it.

MR. WYiNNE: That may be the case. I'11 probably have to go over a few areas and then I'11 go into greater depth in our case.

THE COURT: All right. But you don't get to question her when plaintiffs' counsel, Mr. Nkwonta finishes, because he's calling her for purposes of cross-examination as an adverse witness. So when he finishes, she steps down. Unless you agree to let Mr. Wynne then proceed with his direct on her.

MR. NKWONTA: I'm willing to agree for Mr. Wynne to proceed with his direct to cut down on the amount of time that this trial takes, so that we don't have to call her back.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. WYNNE: And then I'11 resume my direct with the understanding that I can go back into an issue I cover in my first --

THE COURT: Why would you want to do another direct when you can do everything you want to do? He's agreed that once he's finished with his cross, he's góing to allow you to direct her on any aspect you want to direct her on. You're not going to be limited.

MR. WYNNE: And I can go back over those subjects again when I recall her.

THE COURT: Nell, I'11 be quite frank with you. If you're going to recall her, then we don't -- you don't need to do a direct after the cross is done. I'm not -- Mr. Nkwonta may be in agreement to allow you to do that, but I'm not going to agree to that.

If he's not going to object to you doing direct once he finishes cross for purpose of cross-examination, I'm not going to allow you to call her back again later because you can do every question you want to ask her when he finishes. If you want to call her, you may have a strategy that says I don't want to direct her right now, I want to direct her

1 later, fine. Just when he finishes, she steps down. MR. WYNNE: Okay. I'11 make that call later on. THE COURT: A11 right. Just let me know. MR. WYNNE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, if you'l1 stand and raise your right hand.

CATHERINE ENGELBRECHT, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated. If you'll state your fulf name and spell it. THE WITNESS: Catherine Engelbrecht,

C-a-t-h-e-r-i-n-e, E-n-g-e-i-b-r-e-c-h-t.
THE COURT: Thank you.
You may proceed.
CROSS-EXAMINATIÓN
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Good morning, Ms. Engelbrecht.
A. Good morning.
Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, you're the founder and current president of True the Vote; correct?
A. Correct:
Q. And when was True the Vote founded?
A. 2010 .

1 Q. What are your duties as the president of True the Vote?
2 A. Before I go any further, can you hear me? Am I okay 3 sitting like this?

4 Q. Yes.
5 A. Okay. Thank you.
Runs the full gamut. Program planning, spokesperson,
7 oversight of all of the administrative duties.
8 Q. And during the 2020 election cycle, True the Vote had no
9 employees; correct?
10 A. During 2020, that's correct.
11 Q. You had a contractor, but no empoyees; correct?
12 A. I was myself a contractor, $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ correct.
13 Q. And so you were overseeing all of True the Vote's
14 operations; correct?
15 A. Correct.
16 Q. And you have orsibility into all the organization's
17 operations and activities; right?
18 A. Yes. Not necessarily all at once, and certainly things
19 that, you know, slip passed in the everyday machinations of the organization, but I had insight.
Q. So you oversee the organization's strategy?

22 A. Yes.

24 A. With the board, yes.
25
Q. And you oversee communications?

1 A. As the president, yes.
2 Q. And that includes external communications; correct?
3 A. Oversight, yes.
4 Q. And communications such as press releases and blog posts;
5 is that right?
6 A. Yes, but I'd like to make, if I may, a clarification,
7 because there have been a number of -- well, we may get to it.
8 There have been a number of things that have been called press
9 releases that aren't, but we'11 get to that ${ }^{\prime}$ 'm sure.
10 Q. I understand some may be press releases, some may be blog 11 posts, but either way you have oversight over those

12 activities, correct?
13 A. Yes.
14 Q. Let's discuss one of those communications briefly. I
15 left the binder there for you, which includes some of the 16 exhibits that we may use today, just for ease of reference.

17 Could I ask you to turn to Exhibit 25, tab 25. Exhibit 25, 18 which has already been admitted into evidence -- I'11 give you 19 a second.

20 A. Yes.
21 Q. And that exhibit is dated November 6, 2020; correct?
22 A. Correct.
Q. And that was -- that is a blog post; right?
A. It's a blog post, correct.
Q. And that blog post was issued just days after the

1 presidential election; correct?
2 A. Correct.
3 Q. And there you announce the launch of True the Vote's
4 Validate the Vote initiative; correct?
5 A. Correct.
6 Q. And you announced True the Vote's whistleblower fund; is
7 that correct?
8 A. Correct.
9 Q. Now, True the Vote first created the Validate the Vote
10 initiative or program for the presidential election in
11 November; is that correct?
12 A. No. That's incorrect.
13 Q. Is it your testimony -- well, why don't you tell me when
14 True the Vote created the Cialidate the Vote program.
15 A. Sure. Validate the Vote was -- Validate the Vote was a 16 name suggested to ne, I accepted, shortly after a group of 17 consultants contacted me on the 5th of November. And the

19 donation, was the suggested use of the term "Validate the Vote."
Q. Fair enough. Just so I make sure we're not disagreeing over semantics here --
Q. -- True the Vote launched the Validate the Vote program for the presidential election in November; correct?

1 A. Well, it was post the election.
2 Q. But in response to the presidential election in November;
3 correct?
4 A. Oh, I see your -- yes, that's fair to say.
5 Q. And then when the attentions of the electorate and the 6 media turned to Georgia, True the Vote started calling the 7 Validate the Vote initiative Validate the Vote Georgia, but it 8 was still the same program; correct?

9 A. That's incorrect.
Q. Is it your testimony today that when attentions turned to 11 Georgia, True the Vote did not call Validate the Vote Validate 12 the Vote Georgia?

13 A. We did call the program as it was focused on Georgia 14 Validate the Vote Georgia, but the initiatives and intentions available for the national election in Georgia -- sorry, let me repeat that.

Would you agree that you made all the resources you had available for the national election, you made those resources available in Georgia for the runoff election with respect to the Validate the Vote program?
A. I'm not sure I understand the question about the resources.

1 Q. Sure. So all the resources that - the resources that 2 you had available for the national election, for the 3 presidential election, would you agree that you made those 4 resources available in Georgia for the runoff election?

5 A. We didn't cordon off resources. But I would, again, point out that the programs were vastly different coming in to

7 Georgia after the end of the Validate the Vote program.
8 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, you submitted responses -- or True the
9 Vote also submitted responses to plaintiffs'onterrogatories
10 in this case back in 2021; correct?
11 A. Correct.
12 Q. And I'd like you to turn to Exhibit 10.
13 A. Okay.
14 Q. And Exhibit 10, those are the responses that you
15 submitted; correct?
16 A. Yes, sir.
17 Q. And you signed those responses --
18 A. Yes.
19 Q. -- on page 27 of Exhibit 10; correct?
A. Well, just to be -- yes.

21 Q. And in signing those responses, you affirmed under penalties for perjury that the answers to the plaintiffs' interrogatories were true and correct; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. I'd like to direct you to your response to Interrogatory

1 No. 3 on page 17. There you said, "True the Vote created the
2 Validate the Vote program for the national presidential
3 election" --
4 A. I apologize. What -- I'm sorry, what page?

THE COURT: Are you moving 10 into evidence? Are you moving Exhibit 10 into evidence or are you using --

MR. NKWONTA: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Any objection to Exhibjt 10, Mr. Wynne? MR. WYNNE: No. It's a statement of a party, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. It's Gidmitted without objection. (Plaintiff's Exhibit io was received and marked into evidence.)

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. So on page 17 of Exhibit 10 in response to Interrogatory No. 3, you stated, "True the Vote created the Validate the Vote program for the national presidential election. And from that, True the Vote created Validate the Vote Georgia. When True the Vote came to Georgia, we simply took the logo and put the word 'Georgia' in the center of the logo. True the Vote then made all resources we had available for the national election available in Georgia for the runoff election."

Is that a correct reading of the response to Interrogatory No. 3?

1 A. Yes, it's a correct reading. There's context, but that's 2 a correct reading.

3 Q. I want to talk to you a little bit more about the 4 Validate the Vote program.

5 As you mentioned, the name was given to you by a
6 consultant; correct?
7 A. Correct.
8 Q. And this consultant worked for one of True the Vote's
9 funders; correct?
10 A. That's correct.
11 Q. You said that's correct?
12 A. That's correct.
13 Q. And the funder in question was Fred Eshelman; correct?
14 A. That's correct.
15 Q. His consultant was Tom Crawford?
16 A. That's correct.
17 Q. And shortl' after Election Day in November 2020, you sent
18 them a proposal titled Validate the Vote; correct?
19 A. Well, I'd like to clear up in context how Validate the
20 Vote came about. Because, again, it only came about after
21 Mr. Crawford recommended it, so --
22 Q. I understand, but that's not my question.
23 A. Okay.

25 A. Could you repeat it?
Q. -- shortly after the November election -- shortly after the November general election, you sent them a proposal titled Validate the Vote; correct?
A. At their request, yes. I'm sure we'll get to more of this, but at their request, yes, I submitted a one-page report or a one-page -- I don't even know what we'd really even call it. It was just meant for him. So a one-page document.
Q. Exhibit 1 in your binder --

THE COURT: Hold on. I have an objection.
MR. WYNNE: I mean. I'm going tó object to
relevance. This relates to a separate case that True the Vote prevailed on in Houston and that dismissed, all these question about Mr. Eshelman. Sorry for the speaking objection, but relevance.

THE COURT: Response?
MR. NKWONA: Your Honor, this relates to the Validate the Vote program. Validate the Vote is on just about every document that True the Vote has issued in this case relating to the Georgia runoff. And I just read testimony or from True the Vote's interrogatory responses where they said True the Vote merely replaced the logo from Validate the Vote to Validate the Vote Georgia and made all resources available for the Georgia runoff.

THE COURT: How is it relevant to the challenges that this case is based on? How does it relate?

MR. NKWONTA: It's relevant to the challenges because it shows the origin of the Validate the Vote program and shows the intent of the Validate the Vote program and it shows how True the Vote sought to implement that program and its goals by switching from Validate the Vote to Validate the Vote Georgia. Again, simply put the logo on it and made all the same resources available.

THE COURT: I think we've got that established.
MR. NKWONTA: That is established, but now I want to go into exactly what that program entails. And you cannot examine what Validate the Vote entails without going back to see what the actual proposal is. It doesn't -- it's not some stand-alone project that was just created in mid-December. It was created in November, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yell, how does the Validate the Vote, again -- I'm still not quite connecting. How does Validate the vote show that Ms. Engelbrecht and True the Vote were reckless in challenging these 364,000 people? How does that relate in here?

MR. NKWONTA: It relates to intent, Your Honor. And I --

THE COURT: Do you have to prove intent?
MR. NKWONTA: I do not. But it is -- but intent is an element that can be used to demonstrate intimidation. In other words, as plaintiffs, we have several avenues to prove
our case and we need to be allowed to explore all those different avenues.

THE COURT: With relevant evidence.
Mr. Wynne, I'm going to give him a little bit more rope, but I don't want to try the Houston case. I'm going to give him a little more rope --

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, this has nothing --
THE COURT: I said what I'm going to do. I'm going to give you a little more leeway. I'm going to overrule the objection at this time, but I'm not here to try another case.

MR. WYNNE: May I say one thiong?
THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. WYNNE: Just because an organization is a party in a lawsuit and in a couctroom, Exxon comes to mind for some reason, whatever it is you can't go back and look at everything that organization ever did. It's just not relevant.

THE COURT: There is some relevancy, and the reason why I'm giving more leeway is showing that this is a pattern that they follow.

MR. WYNNE: Understood, Your Honor.
MR. NKWONTA: And I will endeavor not to delve into the actual lawsuit or any of its allegations. This is not what the purpose of this --

THE COURT: Again, what I'm hearing you say is you
want to show that this is a pattern that they followed based on a past situation, somewhat similar.

MR. NKWONTA: Exactly. Not just based on a past

## situation. It's still the same situation.

THE COURT: A pattern.
MR. NKWONTA: A pattern: It's still the same program.

THE COURT: Proceed.
Mr. Wynne, if you think he's going too long, too far, then I'11 entertain another objection.

MR. WYNNE: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, Exhibit $i$ is the Validate the Vote proposal; correct?
A. I wouldn't call it a proposal, but it's a document that talked about the Vaidate the Vote 2020 program.
Q. And this document came from True the Vote; right?
A. Yes.
Q. In this document you were responding to the donor's request to describe some of the activities that True the Vote was planning to engage in; correct?
A. That's -- yes, that's fair to say.
Q. And this document was prepared on November 5th; correct?
A. I don't know about that. You know, it's interesting, because now we're talking about this case and because you -- I
don't know if the other whole case was entered into evidence or not. But in going back through all of that, I don't even know if I can answer it in this way, but tell me when I can't.

I read back through my deposition. And, you know, you asked me back in 2021 if I recognized the language on this page. And you may recall in my deposition I kept saying I just don't -- it just doesn't sound right.

But what I learned in pulling (sic) through all of the other lawsuit stuff is that this language was exactly what my former counse1 wrote and so --

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, I object to this answer. It's not relevant to the questior that I just asked and I would move to strike as not responsive.

THE COURT: I understand you may want to explain the answer more, but you have to kind of keep it narrowed in. If you don't know the answer, there's nothing wrong with saying I don't know.

THE WITNESS: That's fair. That's fair.
THE COURT: But you have to kind of keep it more narrow.

THE WITNESS: Then I don't -- I don't know the day that it was sent. Or I don't recall the day that it was sent. It was either the 5 th or the 6 th. It was sent. BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Would it refresh your recollection if I showed you an
e-mail sending that Validate the Vote proposal?
A. Sure.
Q. Would you turn to Exhibit 32 in your binder, please.

Let me know when you finish reviewing Exhibit 32.
A. I've reviewed it.
Q. I'11 ask you again.

The Validate the Vote proposal was sent on November 5th, just two days after the presidential election; correct?
A. In -- yes, the afternoon. Yes.

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, plaintiffs move to admit Exhibit 1 into evidence.

MR. WYNNE: Objection, rGievance.
THE COURT: I'm going to allow 1 in , but I -- at this time I'll allow it in. It s -- it's -- I'll allow it in over objection, Mr. Wynne. I note your objection to my allowing 1 in.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 was received and marked into evidence.)

MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, I also want for the record to object to hearsay.

THE COURT: What's the hearsay?
MR. WYNNE: It's not her statement, it's the statement of former counsel. So it's not a statement of a party.

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, that has not been

1 established. And I can introduce additional documentary 2 evidence establishing that Ms. Engelbrecht sent this proposal 3 and so --

THE COURT: You probably need to do it right now 5 since he's objecting to No. 1. His argument is this is not 6 Ms. Enge1brecht's statement.

7 BY MR. NKWONTA:
8 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, we just talked about Exhibit 32, which
9 you used to refresh your recollection. Could you turn to
10 Exhibit 32 again?
11 A. Stil1 Exhibit 32?
12 Q. Yes.
13 A. Okay. Yes.
14 Q. And in Exhibit 32, is that an e-mail from you to one of
15 the consultants for the funder, Mr. Fred Eshelman?
16 A. That -- it's combination of e-mails, but the origin
17 e-mai1 is an e-mail to Tom Crawford, yes.

19 A. Correct.
Q. And the e-mail attaches the Validate the Vote 2020 proposal; correct?
A. Well, let's talk about that for a second.

The attachments that have my name by them, you can't tell what they are. The attachment that the two -- that the -both of the consultants sent to, I guess, their attorney, then

1 lists the name of the establishment, but it -- in -- you know, in -- in total --

THE COURT: What the attachment --
THE WITNESS: -- total accuracy here, it just doesn't say that where I sent it.

THE COURT: The attachment to it, is this the attachment you're talking about, behind 32 ?

MR. NKWONTA: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that your words? Is that you saying this?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think that's the same one. So, yes. I mean, that goes back to the original question. I just want to be absolutely crystal clear about how -- how these were all documents sent add who sent what and when and who named what and when and it turned into a big ugly lawsuit, so...

THE CO'JRT: If you'11 go to Plaintiffs Exhibit No. 1. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Is No. 1 the same as what's the attachment to 32 ? It looks like the same one to me.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's the same document. The hesitancy here is -- and I do wish I could just be more clear. I never recognized the language -- this came up in my deposition. I now know that my former counsel wrote this language and was already well on his way to raising dollars
and doing this. And I --
THE COURT: Let me ask this. This very part -THE WITNESS: Sure.

THE COURT: -- especially looking at No. 1, did you write this or did the attorney he write it?

THE WITNESS: The attorney -- the attorney wrote the plan. I -- I can't -- that's all I can tell you. The attorney wrote the plan. And that's copied in the actual discovery documents of the --

THE COURT: I understand. I can't remember what happened last week sometimes, it's ditficult to remember, but it's very important.

THE WITNESS: Sure.
THE COURT: If this is your document, then it's one thing. If you're saying this is not you, this is not your document, you did not produce this document, that's something else.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I know.
THE COURT: And, again, I know it's difficult to remember what happened, but if you want to look at it, you want to read it, take your time.

THE WITNESS: It's -- I'm really not sure how to answer this. I've been unclear about where some of this language has come from even since 2021.

MR. NKWONTA: May I clarify this, Your Honor?

2 BY MR. NKWONTA:
3 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, you gave a deposition in this case in
4 January 2022; correct?
5 A. I don't -- I don't recall the date.
6 Q. Will you accept my representation that you gave a
7 deposition in this case?
8 A. Sure.
9 Q. And you were there --
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. -- for the deposition; correct?
12 A. Yes. We were there.
13 Q. And your counsel was there; correct? And your counsel was there; correct?
A. Former counsel.

MR. WYNNE Just a clarification, I want to make sure it's former counsel.

THE WITNESS: Former counsel was there.
THE COURT: Mr. Bopp was there.
THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: Not Mr. Wynne.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Your former counsel was there; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And a court reporter was there?

1 A. Correct.
2 Q. And you swore to tell the truth in that deposition?
3 A. I did.
4 Q. And I'11 direct your attention to pages 335 , lines 1
5 through 5 of that deposition. I won't direct your attention, but I'll represent to you, that on pages 335 lines 1 to 5 of that deposition --

THE COURT: We11, is it on her screen in front of her? It's on my screen.

MR. NKWONTA: It should be on everyone's screen.
THE WITNESS: Oh, my screen just blanked.
THE COURT: No, you can put it back up. I think he's trying to impeach her. So you can put it back up.

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. You were asked inrelation to Exhibit 32, "So it looks like this was attached to the e-mail that you sent; is that correct?"

Your answer, "This is the format that I am familiar with around what we call Validate the Vote."

That was your testimony; correct?
A. That is correct. But I think to give proper context, we should consider looking at the very next line, which I say, "I don't recognize the words."

THE COURT: Let's go to the next line.
THE WITNESS: I say, I just -- I don't remember some
of these words.
THE COURT: Go down a little bit more.
THE WITNESS: I just don't remember some of this approach, this being that the way this is worded -- my grammar is horrible.

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, the witness has admitted in testimony that this is the format that she is familiar with, as I indicated when I impeached her. She also acknowledged that the document came from True the Vote. And she also acknowledged the document was attached to an e-mail that she sent.

And the validate -- and she's also acknowledged that the Validate the Vote program was launched by True the Vote.

So plaintiffs wouid move to admit Exhibits 1 and 32. And the hearsay objection is unfounded because it is a party admission, Your Hcnor.

MR. WYiñ: Okay. First of all, I do object to hearsay and to relevance. And with regard to Exhibit 32, I'11 note that the top certainly is hearsay because we have from Tom Crawford to Ronald Jacobs. We don't know who Ronald Jacobs, at least in this, and then an individual named Dichram, we haven't established a foundation for who he is. Under Rule $801(d)(2)$, you know, it's -- it's not admissible as a non-hearsay. And as an 801(d)(1), it's not a statement, you know, by a witness, or at least this top part,
because we don't have Mr. Jacobs or Mr. --
THE COURT: Mr. Wynne, Mr. Nkwonta is arguing that this is from a party, True the Vote. I'm assuming Ms. Engelbrecht's being called as a representative -- I don't know what capacity she was called in, but she's also -- she's an individual defendant.

They're arguing it comes in because it's from a party opponent and I see who is a party opponent. I don't know yet whether or not this witness sent this based on what she said, even when I look at the deposition. I will admit that this -if it came from True the Vote, while it still may come in, it doesn't necessarily have to come firom Ms. Engelbrecht, because I'm not sure she said anything yet. But it did come from True the Vote, who is a party disfendant in this case.

I think it comes in based on that. Not so much that it came from Ms. Engelbrecht, but it came from a party opponent in this case, True the Vote. That's how I will accept it.

Now, that don't really help you completely, but you can get it in.

MR. WYNNE: Understood, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yeah. But, again, I'm not clear if it came from this witness, but it did come from a party opponent.

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, if I may, I know you've issued a ruling admitting the exhibits; am I correct? evidence.)

THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. NKWONTA: To your latter point, if I may lay additional foundation for that?

THE COURT: Yeah.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, is your testimony --

THE COURT: Let me state for the record, it's admitted over objection. I need to perfect his record.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 32 was received and marked into

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, is your testimony today that you don't recognize the documents in Exhibit 1? You don't recognize that document. Is that your testimony today?
A. No, sir, that's rot my testimony. I mean, I recognize it. This has been through many lawsuits. I've seen it before. I -- I don't recognize some of the language in it. I -- well, I'll leave it at that.
Q. Now turning to Exhibit 1, you received funding from Mr. Eshelman to initiate the elements of this program;
A. That's incorrect.
Q. Is it your testimony today that you did not receive funding from Mr. Eshelman?
A. No, that's correct, but not to initiate this program.
Q. Understood.

And you started working on elements of the program shortly after November 5th; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in doing that, you collaborated with Mr. Eshelman's consultants? You were in frequent communication?

THE COURT: Hold on. I have an objection.
MR. WYNNE: Objection, relevance.
MR. NKWONTA: I'm trying to lay the foundation. I'm not going into any litigation or any lawsuits. I'm simply trying to lay the foundation for the elements of the program that we're about to discuss. This is preliminary foundation.

MR. WYNNE: She's answered the question that funding, which also is irrelevant it this, was not directed for the program and in fact separate from that.

THE COURT She did say that.
MR. WYiNE: Counsel -- counsel knows -- the counsel knows that the Court -- Appellate Court determined that this was an unconditional contribution gift. Now that makes it completely irrelevant, I didn't even need to say that, but that's the background. Not relevant to the case.

THE COURT: All right. Here's what we're going to do. I want to hear counsel's next questions, then I will determine whether it's relevant or not. But it has been established based on this witness that the money was not

1 received in the matter you first asked. But she still
2 received the money. Now, whether or not this is relevant is 3 going to be based on the next two questions counsel asks.

MR. NKWONTA: And, Your Honor, I will again clarify,
5 I'm trying to lay the foundation for all the individuals 6 involved in this program.

THE COURT: Yeah.
8 BY MR. NKWONTA:
9 Q. On Exhibit 1, you'11 see on the right-hand menu under
10 "Team," you'11 see the name Gregg Phillios; is that correct?
11 A. Yes.
12 Q. And Gregg Phillips is listed under the title "data and
13 research"; correct?
14 A. Correct.
15 Q. And Gregg Philiins is the president of OpSec Group;
16 correct?
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. And OpSec Group is the same group that prepared the
19 challenge lists for the Georgia runoff challenges; is that

21 A. Correct.
A. Correct.
Q. Also 1 isted in this document you'11 see True the Vote under the "Team" menu; correct?
Q. And your name is listed under there as the president of

1 True the Vote; correct?
2 A. Correct.
3 Q. And so just to speed this up, it lists True the Vote,
4 with your name as the president; Jim Bopp as counsel; and
5 Gregg Phillips, all under the same -- all under the "Team" for
6 the Validate the Vote proposal; right?
7 A. In addition to others, but, yes, that's correct.
8 Q. In addition to others that I haven't named that are
9 listed in Exhibit 1; correct?
10 A. Correct.
11 Q. And you were also in communication with Mr. Crawford and
12 the other consultants through the course of this program;
13 correct?
14 A. Yes. We texted and hãd phone calls.
15 Q. Now, turning to the substance of the proposal. Can you 16 read the first paragraph under the word "problem"?

17 A. Yes, with the understanding that these words are not my also the result of deliberate election fraud. This situation

1 has been aided by the Democrats' deliberate effort to radically expand mail-in balloting, create myriad opportunities for voter fraud that does not exist with in-person voting. Furthermore, this flood of illegal votes violates the U.S. Constitution's right to vote by diluting the votes of legitimate voters," which was Mr. Bopp's argument.
Q. Thank you. You can stop there.

Did you have significant evidence that there were numerous instances of illegal ballots being cast and counted in the 2020 general election?
A. Yes, I would say so.
Q. So it is your testimony today that when that proposal was issued you had significant evadence that there were numerous instances of illegal ballots being cast and counted in the 2020 general election?
A. I think that there was such systemic process breakdown that all manner of ballots were being cast and counted that needed to be reviewed. This was Mr. Bopp's approach to it, but I -- I absolutely agree that we had massive process problems in 2020.

THE COURT: Hold on.
MR. WYNNE: I'm going to object to relevance on any further questions down this line. Again, because True the Vote, as any organization, does a number of things, there are a number of aspects of the law and disputes about election

1 law, instance, for example, redistricting, dilution, whatever. And just because True the Vote is involved in these things, as any organization, doesn't mean you go the whole universe the organization's ever done. It's not relevant.

THE COURT: I think this is relevant. This part is relevant. As a matter of fact, it's relevant to what you're presenting and relevant on both sides. So this is overruled. BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. And just to make sure I'm understanding, and to put a finer point on my question, is it your testimony today that True the Vote had identified illegal votes in the 2020 presidential election at the timethat proposal was issued?

THE COURT: I have an objection, but I think I know what the objection is. I dion't think it has to be established that they had established it if they believed it. I think that would be enough for them to go forward. In other words, what -- you know, I think that's part of it. I'11 hear your objection.

MR. NKWONTA: Well, Your Honor, that goes to whether they believe it, whether they had any evidence of it. And we're going to establish that they did not.

MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, I'd rather not turn this into a national, you know, debate or address issues that are on all the 24 -hour-news channels.

For the record, I do object to relevance. And I've
been saying, you know, I fret about what kind of case I got to put on next week.

THE COURT: Well, part of the plaintiffs' position is that the defendants were reckless. There was no basis for them believing that you had this widespread fraud. I'm going to say that's -- I think I'm stating their position as I've heard it for the last 18 months, you know, the defendants were reckless. That they had no basis for doing what they were doing.

So I think they can show, if there was nothing there, there was no reason to challenge 364,000 people. We11, that's where I think they're going. What do you have to say? MR. NKWONTA: That's correct, Your Honor. There's been an ongoing pattern of reckless accusations relating to fraud in the 2020 evection and the runoff that have not been substantiated, and as a result, establishes recklessness and intent and knowledge.

THE COURT: Mr. Wynne, I agree with you, I only want to try one case. I'm not interested in trying the national case. But $I$ do have -- but $I$ do have to allow plaintiffs to develop their theoretical case. If it gets too far out, as I indicated already, I will pull it back in without you objecting.

Because, again, I'm not interested in trying the
national case. I want to just try the cases I have. However, there's some relevance here.

MR. WYNNE: I don't want to have to call Herschel Walker and Tim Scott and Wesley Hunt and Stacey Abrams. This could easily turn into you know what.

THE COURT: I don't think you're going to be able to call any of them because they're not on the witness. MR. WYNNE: In rebuttal. In rebuttal. Your Honor, as --

THE COURT: Well, hold on, hold ón.
I have ruled. I note your exception.
Next question.
MR. NKWONTA: I'm sory $\begin{gathered}\text { may } \\ \text { I have your official }\end{gathered}$ ruling, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I said I overrule it.
MR. NKWONTA: Okay. Thank you.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. I'11 repeat the question.

Ms. Engelbrecht, is it your testimony today that True the Vote had evidence of illegal ballots or illegal votes in the 2020 presidential election when you issued that proposal?

MR. WYNNE: Objection, relevance. I'd like to ask the Court, I know it's untraditional for running objections, so I don't interrupt anymore?

THE COURT: Yes. You have a running objection on
that issue.
MR. WYNNE: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Our position was that there was absolute significant evidence.

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. I'd like to return to that deposition you gave in January 2022.
A. Sure.
Q. And you were asked a similar question and I'd like to play your response.

MR. NKWONTA: Can we play ciip number --
THE COURT: Well, in order to impeach somebody, first of all, you've got to let them look at it or hear it, and then you ask the next question is this still your position after seeing this.

MR. NKWONA: She's about to hear it.
THE COURT: Well, I don't think you need to necessarily play it for the whole -- I guess, just --

Any objection to the whole courtroom hearing it?
MR. WYNNE: Yes, Your Honor, there is an objection.
And so I'd ask counsel to follow the correct procedures and let the witness review the statement first and then deny saying it.

MR. NKWONTA: There is absolutely no rule that requires the witness to review the statement when impeaching
and so I'm entitled to play that.
THE COURT: Play it, play it, please.
MR. NKWONTA: And for everyone's record, I'm playing deposition transcript page No. 271, lines 10 to 13.

THE COURT: You-all don't have a written deposition on this?

MR. NKWONTA: Pardon?
THE COURT: You don't have this in writing?
MR. NKWONTA: I have the deposition They have the deposition at well.

THE COURT: Why do we have to play it, then, if you have a written deposition?

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, we were happy to read the deposition transcript. We thought playing it would give a better sense of the -

THE COURT Just read the deposition.
MR. NKWONTA: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, what page? Where was this?
THE COURT: Does she have the --
MR. NKWONTA: You don't have a copy of the deposition transcript.

THE COURT: They're going to hand you a copy in a second.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
MR. NKWONTA: But if she --

MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, I may be able to be of assistance. I have a copy right here.

MR. NKWONTA: It's on the screen as well.
THE COURT: Well, it's on the screen. Just let her look at it on the screen.

Is somebody tapping --
THE WITNESS: Oh, it's -- I'm the culprit of the blue. I'm hitting this corner of this binder, so it's -MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, I'd ask nonetheless, it's very difficult to read on there. May I give her a copy? THE COURT: We're fixing to correct that. We're fixing to correct that.

MR. WYNNE: Okay.
THE COURT: Maybe.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. You were asked, "But had True the Vote identified any flood of illegat votes at this point?"

Your answer, "No. This was just a promotional piece that was written."

That was the answer you gave in your deposition; right?
A. Yes, that's how I answered it in the deposition.
Q. Okay. You also didn't have evidence that most of the illegal votes were being cast in Democratic counties; correct?
A. Well, I would -- I would say the context around that answer versus the way this is phrased and the previous
exchange you and I had, coupled with the fact that this is not what I wrote, but -- yeah, I don't even know how to answer it honestly.

THE COURT: Well, let's -- I want to make sure I understand this.

THE WITNESS: Sure.
THE COURT: Can you read that? If not, I can have one of them hand you the deposition.

THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn t --
THE COURT: In other words, is that your answer, "No. This was a promotional piece that was written"? Again, the question, "Had True the Vote idenfified any flood of illegal votes at this point?" And is your answer, "No. This was a promotional piece that was written."

THE WITNESS: That is how I answered it. And --
THE COURT Am I right or wrong in interpreting, then, that you did not have any evidence to show all this voter fraud and things?

THE WITNESS: I don't know if this is the right way to answer this or not, but --

THE COURT: It's important because, again --
THE WITNESS: Sure.
THE COURT: -- one of the things they're saying is you-all were reckless.

THE WITNESS: Right. So when I was answering that,

1 we were also involved in a great many things where there were ongoing investigations. And I was thinking about the tangible, you know, what -- results of investigations. And that was my mindset at the time.

I stand by the -- my statement that there were -when you look at instances of illegal process, we may disagree on whether or not the process was illegal, but we had been in court the entire year of 2020 prior to the election on grounds of unconstitutionality and things that were setting up we thought for, you know, a bad situation in 2020.

So I don't know if that's the way to answer that or not, but -- because we are so detaiil oriented and so many investigations were happening I'm certain that the -- my mindset at that time was, ciou know, what had been sort of followed all the way through to prosecution or followed all the way through andindictment. And there wasn't much at that point.

THE COURT: I need to know the page number and line number of this deposition. What page?

MR. NKWONTA: Page No. 271, 1ine Nos. 10 to 13.
THE COURT: All right. You can go ahead.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. And then later in the Validate the Vote proposal, Exhibit 1 where you state that most of the illegal votes were being cast in Democratic counties, you didn't have a way of
determining that; is that correct?
A. That's --

MR. WYNNE: I'm going to object to mischaracterization. He said "you stated." The witness has already stated it's not her statement.

THE COURT: Repeat that question. I didn't quite remember it that way.

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. I want to return -- I'11 try to repeat the statement.

I want to return to the Validate the Vote proposal, Exhibit 1, in that second paragraph which states that most of the illegal votes were being cast in Democratic counties. Am I correct that True the Vote aid not have a way to determine that as a matter of fact?

MR. WYNNE: I'm going to object again. He misread it. I'd ask the witness to read it again.

THE COURT: I think he said basically what is there. I was looking right at it.

Overruled. Go ahead. Overruled.
MR. NKWONTA: Do I have to repeat the question a third time?

THE COURT: Un1ess Ms. Engelbrecht needs -- do you need the question again?

THE WITNESS: Honestly, yeah, please. Sorry. Thank you.

THE COURT: Just do what you did a few minutes ago.
2 You practically just read it off the thing. Just do that.
MR. NKWONTA: Well, I'm reading off memory, but I'11
4 try again.
5 BY MR. NKWONTA:
6 Q. Going back to Exhibit 1, when you -- when exhibit --
7 Exhibit 1 in the Validate the Vote proposal, the second 8 paragraph under "Problem," which suggests -- or the first

9 paragraph which suggests that most illegal yotes were being 10 counted in Democratic counties, you did nót -- you or True the 11 Vote did not have a way of establishing that as a matter of 12 fact; is that correct?

13 A. I would disagree with that. Not correct.
14 Q. I want to return to the deposition you gave in January 2022 when you were asked, "How did the True the Vote" -- and this is --

THE COURT: What page?
MR. NKWONTA: Pages 268, lines 12 to 16.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. You were asked, "How did True the Vote determine that most of the illegal votes were being counted in Democratic counties?"

Your answer, "I would not know why that would have been written that way."

Is that the answer you gave in your deposition?

1 A. Yes, that's the answer I gave. Yes, sir.
2 Q. I'd like to direct you to the plan section of Exhibit 1
3 in the Validate the Vote proposal. Under the plans you say,
4 "Build public momentum through broad publicity."
5 Do you see that?
6 A. I do.
7 Q. And did you do that through press releases?
8 A. I don't know that we issued a press release. It's
9 possible.
Q. Or did you do that through blog posts?

11 A. We had posted, as we have here in these exhibits, things 12 that we posted to our website.

13 Q. And was that part of your plan to build public momentum 14 through broad publicity?
A. It's listed here on this paper. I...
Q. Understand. But my question is a little bit more precise, I hope.

What I'm asking is, for the press releases and blog posts that you have released, on your website or elsewhere, relating to the November election and the Georgia runoff, was that part of your plan to build momentum through broad publicity?

MR. WYNNE: I'm going to object, compound. General election and the runoff, two separate things.

THE COURT: It's not a compound question. It's still a single question.

7 Q. My question is a little different. Validate the Vote 2020 program. At this time, we had not -there was no thought of challenges or anything that was going for you.

BY MR. NKWONTA:
A. Sure. was that part of a plan to build public momentum through broad publicity post the November election?
A. I would not say that posting on our website is broad publicity.
Q. But you would consider press releases to be part of the plan to build public momentum through broad publicity?
A. I think we have to define broad publicity. I mean -that you don't think that putting it on your website is broad publicity.

THE WITNESS: No, sir. question?

MR. NKWONTA: Press releases.
THE WITNESS: I mean, it depends upon the press

THE WITNESS: The words here related only to the to be looked at in Georgia. So I don't know how to split that
Q. When you issued press releases and posts on your website,

THE COURT: Well, I think you already established

THE COURT: Okay. So what's the other part of your release. It depends upon what was sent, who sent it. I -- it

1 would just depend, but -- it would depend.
2 BY MR. NKWONTA:
3 Q. I'd like to return again to your January 2022 deposition
4 in this case.
5 A. Sure.
6 Q. And direct your attention to page 274. From pages 274,
7 line 16 to 275, line 12. And in particular, I direct your
8 attention to page 275 , lines 3 to 12.
9 A. I'm sorry, hang on a second. 275, lines?
Q. 3 to 12 .

THE COURT: Hold on. On page 274, you're on1y talking about page - I'm sorry you're only talking about page $16 ?$

MR. NKWONTA: Socry. Page -- so let me.
Page 275,3 to 12 . Let's do that. That's the key.
THE COURT So you're not talking about page 274 at al1.

MR. NKWONTA: No. Page 275, 1 ines 3 to 12.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. And there you testified, "So I can only respond to -- you know, I mean to repeat back, build public momentum through broad publicity. We didn't do any advertising of any sort. I had a podcast. I mean, that was it.
"Would you consider a press release?" Question.
"Answer: Oh, I'm sorry, yes. And if we did press releases, that would be considered in that bullet."

That was the testimony you gave during your deposition? A. That's the testimony I gave, yes, sir.

MR. NKWONTA: And, Your Honor, as an aside, that's sort of one of the reasons I asked to play the next clip.

THE COURT: What's your next question? What's your next question?

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Under "Plans" there's also a line that reads, "Tactical organizing: National state with microtargeting in key counties."

Do you see that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And there's a list oí key states further down.

Do you see that
A. I do.
Q. -- on the proposal?
A. Yes.
Q. And is Georgia one of those key states?
A. It is.
Q. Now, I want to move on to one of -- the key state, Georgia, listed here. And I want to look at your activities in Georgia point by point, starting with the bounty on fraud.

True the Vote announced a bounty on fraud along with its Validate the Vote program --

THE COURT: Hold on, hold on. I have an objection.
MR. WYNNE: Objection, foundation for the first part of the question.

THE COURT: Okay. I've got to admit I didn't quite get -- what's the question again?

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. That True the Vote first announced its bounty on fraud along with its Validate the Vote program, starting with the November 6th --

THE COURT: All right. So your óbjection is to True the Vote established the bounty on fraud?

MR. WYNNE: Object to thist. That has not been established. It's taken out of context, for one. And, you know, the predicate has not been established. I would say it's inaccurate. No foundation. So...

MR. NKWONTA: That's the purpose of the question, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'11 have to allow him, then, to establish a foundation. Earlier you were currently objecting to him -- to counsel establishing a foundation, so I'll allow him to establish a foundation for it, but, you know, he may have to go a little bit further out, but you have the leeway.

> MR. NKWONTA: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. True the Vote established -- or first announced its bounty on fraud in the November 6, 2020, press release; correct?

THE COURT: The objection is that there's nothing been established that True the Vote establish a bounty on fraud. That's what the objection is.

MR. NKWONTA: Right. And the witness can say that True the Vote did not. But we've heard plaintiffs (sic), throughout the course of their cross-examination, say you would agree -- if I were to tell you that the sun is square, you would have no reason to dispute that. And that has come into evidence. And I'm asking about something that I'm going to be able to prove with documents later on.

MR. WYNNE: Your fionor, the word "established" is inappropriate here. Established requires a foundation to establish.

THE COURT: Why can't this witness answer yes or no to that question?

MR. WYNNE: Well, because I still have the foundation objection. You can't ask a question without a foundation.

THE COURT: Well, every question you ask does not have to require a foundation. If you say, is your name Steve Jones, you don't have to establish when I was born, what city I was born in and what state I was born in. Either he's Steve Jones or he's not.

14 A. I believe we -- I'm sire we're going to get to the
MR. WYNNE: Well, this is a little more than what state you were born in. This is establishing a foundation for an alleged program and he ain't got that yet.

THE COURT: This witness can answer yes or no. If she can't -- not she, Ms. Engelbrecht cannot answer yes or no, then we'll go from there.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. True the Vote first announced its bounty on fraud along with its Validate the Vote program on November 6th, 2020; is that right?
A. We announced a whistleblower fiund.
Q. You call it a whistleblawer fund? exhibit. I believe that's what it was called.
Q. And you've used the -- but you've used the phrase "bounty on fraud" before; correct?
A. Well, you have it in a transcript, but yes. On my podcast I did say that and then immediately refined that to be more definitive about a whistleblower fund that was meant to provide litigation support and help for people that were coming forward, yes.
Q. But you used the phrase "bounty on fraud" in describing this -- what you now call a whistleblower fund; correct?
A. Well, I'm sure we'll get to it in exhibits, but that's
what it's called in the exhibits. And when I said it on my podcast, which was a live stream, I said the word. It was -frankly, it's not a word I would have used. It's a word that was in my head because of the donor, that's a different lawsuit, but $I$ immediately refined it to be what it meant. It wasn't -- that's what it was. So I'm sure we'11 get to the exhibits.
Q. And the purpose of the bounty, or the whistleblower fund as you call it, was to make sure that voters receive compensation; correct?
A. No.
Q. Or to make sure that whistlefowers, or people who report fraud, receive compensation; currect?
A. No. It was -- it was meant so that people that were coming forward -- it was a very -- as we all remember, it was a very intense time and we had people coming forward who were scared who had what sounded to be legitimate concerns. And the thought was, if they knew that they would be protected, they would be more likely to come forward.

And I will, you know, some of the wording we're going -you know, we're going to parse that, I know, but that was the intent of the fund.
Q. You have stated, though, that the purpose of the fund -and there may be other purposes, but you have stated that one of the purposes of the fund is to make sure that those
reporting fraud have compensation; correct?
2 A. I think I did say that. It was meant in the -- in the --
3 you know, when you have people that are coming forward about
4 things that they've experienced and they are -- they are
5 scared straight across the board, there's -- they don't know
6 who they can talk to, they don't know if they can take off
7 work to go talk -- it's -- so it was just a very broad way to correct?

11 A. It's -- I know you have exhibitsin here. I mean, 12 we'11 -- wherever you -- wherever you want to point to.

13 Q. So you have used the term "bounty" outside of the 14 podcasts in other contexts as well; correct?

15 A. You would have to show me.
16 Q. Can you turn to Exhibit 28?
17 A. Oh, yeah. Sure. Okay.
18 Q. And the second e-mail, the one that's not redacted in
19 Exhibit 28, that is an e-mail -- sorry -- the third e-mail down, that is an e-mail from you to Fred Eshelman dated

21 November 14, 2020; is that correct?
A. Correct.

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, plaintiffs move Exhibit 28 into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objections?

9 A. Well, so Exhibit 28 is about my -- one of my very few
MR. WYNNE: Yeah, I'm going to object to relevance.
THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to allow it in over objection. Plaintiffs' 28 is admitted over objection.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 28 was received and marked into evidence.)

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, can you tell us what Exhibit 28 is about? outreaches to this person who gave money response to a \$1 million invoice that I had received from his two consultants five days after his iriitial donation -- donation was made. And I was trying to wrap my head around what was going on.

There was -- there is a lot tucked into this e-mail. We can go through it dine by line if you'd like. I'm not sure -I -- you tell me what you'd like to go with. I'll make sure we get to all of that.

THE COURT: Well, I have a question. This million dollars, as I read this e-mail, and correct me if I'm wrong, is like having money to pay people for reporting on people they think is not voting legally.

THE WITNESS: Oh, this million dollars -- I'm so sorry.

THE COURT: No, go ahead. Am I reading that right or

THE WITNESS: This million dollars was -- what was at the heart of this e-mail was an invoice that was sent to me by Tom Crawford and Dikra Ukobian at this company I'd never heard of. And I was trying to -- I'd never had anything like this happen before. The donor was tied in with them. And I didn't know -- all of a sudden I felt like this is like taking this donation was the worst thing we could ever have done and I didn't know what to do. Because it hadn't bean given with any conditions. I didn't want any part of it.

THE COURT: What was it for?
THE WITNESS: This don this -- this was for his two -- they said they had a company called 01d Town Digital Agency. And so they sent ine this invoice. Their service was for digital media creation and distribution, support staff. I didn't -- I'd only texted with him and talked to him a few times. I'd only known them for, at that point, just a handful of days. And so I was just trying to wrap my head around what's really going on here. So that was what that $\$ 1$ million was about.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. NKWONTA: Thank you.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. I'd like to direct your attention to the last paragraph of your e-mail, at least the last paragraph on the first page

1 of Exhibit 28. And starting with the last sentence, "At 2 present," can you read that out loud to the Court?
A. I'm sorry, tell me where you'd like me to start again?
Q. Starting on the last line, starting with "At present our expenses."

THE COURT: Is 28 in evidence?
MR. NKWONTA: Yes, I just moved it into evidence.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. At president our -- "At present our expenses" --

THE COURT: Hold on, hold or 28 is not in evidence. It is? Okay. I didn't mark it. I'm sorry. BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. You may continue.
A. Okay. "At presert our expenses are around $\$ 100,000$ for the program including the live call center. Only one of the whistleblowers, the Georgia whistleblower, is interested in a bounty. We have offered $\$ 50,000$ if his evidence leads to prosecution. We will need to obtain counsel for him as well. When I send the investigation briefs, I'll include a more thorough statement of expenses."
Q. Thank you for reading that, Ms. Engelbrecht.

So if I'm understanding that e-mail that you sent to Fred Eshelman, True the Vote offered a whistleblower a $\$ 50,000$ bounty; correct?
A. Well, there's more to the story there too.

THE COURT: But the first part of his question, is that yes or no?

THE WITNESS: Yes, but with context.
THE COURT: What's the context?
THE WITNESS: Well, first of all, just, again, the use of the word "bounty" was a word that was suggested. And it's -- when I was trying to sort of figure out what was happening and maybe try to repair what -- you know, trying to figure out who was on the up and up here I used that word because it was something I knew he had used in the past.

The $\$ 50,000$ was in -- soft of in play, I guess, is -maybe that's not the most exact way to say that, but this individual had come forwacds to us, had told us a lot about what he had been involved in. And shortly after that meeting, I mean, that night he left and was brutally beaten and was put into ICU. And it was during the holidays. And we didn't know what was -- everything was just spinning.

And so it was -- it was trying to kind of level that some -- you know, there's this big thing that's happening and I wanted to make sure that if we were going to give it all back, which was fine with me, that we would have enough, if we needed to cover hospital bills, I didn't know where any of that was going.

And, again, this was -- I mean, this was brought out
in a lawsuit. This is not being advertised. This is not --
THE COURT: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: But that's the story.
THE COURT: Thank you.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Just to clarify for the record, you offered the Georgia whistleblower $\$ 50,000$ in cash; correct?

THE COURT: Hold on, I have an objection.
MR. WYNNE: Yeah. I'm going to object to that on the basis of relevance and foundation and a nischaracterization. I know that's outside my objection soope of -mischaracterization of what she jist said.

THE COURT: A11 right. I'm going to overrule the objection.

What's your gext question?
MR. NKWONTA: I don't believe I got an answer, unless Your Honor is satisfied with the answer.

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. But my question was you offered the Georgia whistleblower \$50,000 in cash; correct?

MR. WYNNE: Same objection. He misread it.
THE COURT: I think he's asking a question.
THE WITNESS: Yeah. I...
THE COURT: So I'm going to overrule that objection.
THE WITNESS: I wanted to make sure or attempt to

1 make sure that if we ended up giving everything back -2 BY MR. NKWONTA:

3 Q. I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Engelbrecht, but --
4 A. Sure.
5 Q. -- I do want to get the answer to the question I asked.
6 I didn't ask why or what your reasons were.

12 Q. You did not offer $\$ 50,000$ irceash to the Georgia 13 whistleblower?

15 Q. So when you said in that exhibit, you said that you -- in 16 Exhibit 28 that youl offered $\$ 50,000$ to the Georgia

THE COURT: Let me tell you this: You have to answer yes or no and then you can explain your answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. No.

BY MR. NKWONTA:
A. No. whistleblower if his evidence leads to prosecution, that was not truthful is what you're saying?
A. Well, this is where the context comes in. I was dealing with a very uncertain situation. And I just wanted to make sure we would have money if -- if we needed it for hospital bills. I had no idea how that would go, and I didn't know how else to say it.

So it was inartful, but that's how I -- how I posed it. I mean, this, again -- this came out because this was in a

1 lawsuit. This was sent to one person in a very precarious 2 situation.

3 Q. And you state in the e-mail, you claim now that you were 4 concerned about hospital bills and expenses, but the e-mail 5 says, "We've offered $\$ 50,000$ if his evidence leads to

9 A. Well, that was, umm -- I want to give you a yes or no.
10 Could you repeat it so I can --
11 Q. You offered the $\$ 50,000$, as you stated here in the
A. I know.

1 Q. -- if his evidence leads to prosecution; correct?
2 A. It is what the e-mail says. I'm trying to give you 3 context.

4 Q. And so if his evidence did not lead to prosecution, no 5 medical bills for the whistleblower; right?

6 A. My hope was to preserve $\$ 50,000$ for legal bills at Grady 7 Medical -- at Grady Hospital.

THE COURT: Hold on.
MR. WYNNE: I'm going to object, asked and answered. Also going to object that the statement 3 peaks for itself and it's repetitive.

THE COURT: Well, let mesay this: I've read 28 , and 28 says what 28 says.

MR. NKWONTA: I'di move on, Your Honor.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Let's look at other elements of the Validate the Vote proposal. True the Vote worked with OpSec and Gregg Phillips to identify what you referred to as patterns of election subversion; correct?
A. I want to make sure I'm tracking with you. On Exhibit 1?
Q. Yes.
A. Oh, yes.
Q. And True the Vote had contact with Republican leadership in Georgia; correct?

MR. WYNNE: I'm sorry, what paragraph are we looking

```
at?
```

MR. NKWONTA: I'm not reading from the proposal. I'm asking a question.

MR. WYNNE: Oh, I'm sorry about that. I thought we were -- I was looking for it.

THE WITNESS: At this point, no, I don't think that we had talked to anybody. BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Let me clarify.

In the lead up to the runoff election, into the mass challenge effort, True the Vote had oontact with Republican leadership in Georgia; correct?
A. Well, yes, that is true That is not this.
Q. Understood.

But is that -- thĐt is correct that True the Vote had contact with Republican leadership in Georgia; correct? A. Yes.
Q. And True the Vote announced their partnership with Republican leaders in Georgia shortly before it announced its Georgia landmark elector challenge program; correct?
A. That is true, yes. But -- well...
Q. So I want to go through this plan, bring it back to Exhibit 1, and to confirm the things that you did do in Georgia.

In Georgia, you solicited whistleblower testimony and

1 offered money; is that correct?

THE COURT: Break it down. Say the first part first.
4 BY MR. NKWONTA:
5 Q. In Georgia you solicited whistleblower testimony;
6 correct?
7 A. That's correct. Well, nationally. But, yes, that's 8 correct.

9
THE COURT: The second part.
10 BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. And you offered money to a prospctive whistleblower in Georgia; correct?

13 A. We never offered money derect -- we never offered anybody
14 money directly. What you'e reading from in that e-mail is my
15 trying to preserve monies for somebody that had been injured.
16 Q. You told your funder or you told individuals that you
17 were going to offer $\$ 50,000$ to a whistleblower in Georgia; correct?
A. That is what the e-mail says, yes.
Q. And in Georgia you built public momentum through broad publicity in the form of press releases and blog posts, et cetera; correct?
A. I think we've discussed that, but -- I mean, we posted some things on our website. That's not broad publicity. Q. And in Georgia you coordinated and -- with Republican

1 Party leadership; correct?
2 A. Coordinated? I would disagree with that.
3 Q. You formed a partnership with Republican Party leadership
4 in Georgia; correct?
5 A. Define the word for your purposes of partnership, please.
6 Q. Well, those were your words in Exhibit 35. Can you turn
7 to Exhibit 35 , which has already been admitted into evidence?
8 A. Sure.
9 Q. Exhibit 35 is a blog post or press release issued by True the Vote; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And at the bottom, underneatti the bolded language -- or sorry -- above the bolded language, it says, "True the Vote partners with Georgia GOP © ensure transparent, secure ballot effort for Senate runotf elections"; correct?
A. That is the headline, yes.
Q. A11 right. So I'11 repeat my original question, going back to the bullet points in Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1.

True the Vote partnered with Republican leadership in Georgia; correct?
A. Correct, with context. As the blog post goes on to say exactly what it was, the subhead there is, "True the Vote reached out to both parties to offer assistance."

The partnership was making available everything that we had, which was training, which was the use of the hotiine,
anything that we could do to try to help the people that were coming and reporting to us their concerns to try to create a -- to support an environment where everyone was working as closely together -- that's not the right -- not as closely together, but with the same spirit of election integrity.

So in that context, that's why the word "partnership" was used. But it goes on even in this website to talk about "the offer to extend the same support to Georgia Democrat Party by partnering in the interest of nonpartisan election integrity has not yet received a rely to date. The letter addressed to the party and to the party chair, Senator Nikema Williams is available here."

It was absolutely our intent, and partnership just meant making everything we had kiown and available.
Q. But you had not reached out to any Democratic party leadership when ycul published that statement; correct? A. I don't --I'm not sure on the timing. I was introduced to the GOP party chairperson. It resulted in a conversation. And I recognized immediately that if they're interested in using any of the trainings or telling people to call the hotline or anything like that, I need to reach out immediately to the Georgia Democrat party, which I did. So I'm not sure -- I mean, the letter is attached. I don't know the timeline exactly.
Q. Well, Exhibit 35 at the bottom of that blog post or press

1 release, it says, "True the Vote reached out to both parties 2 to offer assistance with critical election training and 3 resources."

And this post is dated December 14, 2020; correct?
5 A. The - I mean, that's the way the post came out, yes.
6 Q. But on December 14, 2020, True the Vote had not reached 7 out to Democratic party leadership; correct?

8 A. That, I don't recall.
9 Q. Would it refresh your recollection if I showed you your
10 deposition testimony on this very topic?
11 A. Sure.
12 Q. I'd like to pull up page 161 , ine 15 , to 162 , line 16.
13 A. 61-- can you given me the start 1 ine again, please?
14 Q. Sure. 161, line 15, to 162, line 16.

18 BY MR. NKWONTA:
19 Q. Does that refresh your recollection as to when you
20 reached out to Democratic party leadership?
21 A. It does. It introduces another question, but it does
22 refresh my recollection.
THE COURT: Atter she answers this question, we're going to take a break.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
Q. And isn't it correct that you first reached out to Senator Williams on December 21, 2020?
A. That's what the deposition says, yes.
Q. And that's an entire week or entire seven days after you announced this partnership with the Republican Party of Georgia and -- sorry, let me rephrase that.

That's an entire week after you announced this partnership with the Republican Party of Georgia; correct?
A. That would be correct with context --
Q. And that's an entire week --

THE COURT: Hold on, hold on. Let her finish her answer.

THE WITNESS: With context, the ine that followed that was that the e-mail sent to Brian Robinson, who was managing all of the press at the time, was sent on the 28th. So it leads me to question when this -- when this was actually printed and how and -- I mean, there's just questions. I wouldn't have -- I wouldn't have e-mailed Brian Robinson on the 28th to direct him to do anything if this was already out two weeks previous. So I just -- there's just questions. BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. You testified in your deposition that the 21st was the first time you reached out to Democratic Party leadership; correct?
A. If that's what I said in the deposition, which is then yes.
Q. And that is seven days after you issued this press release or blog post on December 14, 2020, announcing a

1 partnership with the Georgia Republican Party; correct?
2 A. On this page that we're looking at for Exhibit 35, it has 3 that date.

4 Q. Correct. And that's also seven days after you stated on

8 A. That -- but in this blog post I talk about reaching out 9 to both parties.
Q. In the blog post dated December 14, 2020, on Exhibit 35, you said, "True the Vote vote reachedout to both parties to offer assistance with credible elcetion training and resources."

Based on your testimoriy, that is not correct; right? At least as of December 14, 2020, that was not correct? A. Well, I think the issue -- the question here is, is December 14th correct. It would suggest in my deposition that my press person was getting -- just getting ready to do something on the 28th. So I don't know. It wouldn't -- it wouldn't make sense that I would have said in a broad -- or that we would have said in a blog post, and not just one but two places at least, that we've already done this and have a letter attached. I don't know. I -- that's the best I can tell you.
Q. So you're questioning the date on your own blog post?
A. I'm -- all of this --

THE COURT: I think I've got it. I think I know what your position is and her position is, and I think we're going to continue going in circles.

Let's stop and take a 15-minute break. Start back at 10:55. Thank you.

MR. SHELLY: Your Honor, can I ask just briefly?
THE COURT: Oh. There you go.
MR. SHELLY: Can I ask that our tech assistant be allowed to approach the witness box to remove these blue markings?

THE COURT: Yes. Thank You. Please.
THE WITNESS: My apotogies, everyone, for that.
(A break was takein from 10:40 a.m. to 10:55 a.m.)
THE COURT: YOu-all may be seated.
Ms. Enge Tiorecht, you can come back and take the stand, please.

And you may proceed.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, before our short break we were discussing True the Vote's actions in Georgia and also the -Exhibit 1, the Validate the Vote proposal. I'd like to turn to some of the additional elements of the plan on Exhibit 1.

Now, the third bullet says, "Galvanize Republican legislative support in key states."

And do you recall we discussed that True the Vote announced the partnership with the --

THE COURT: Wait a second. I've just got a matter -you can step over here.
(A discussion takes place off the record.)
THE COURT: I need to take a -- I need to take a five-minute quick break. I'm sorry. Give me five minutes.
(A break was taken.)
THE COURT: You-all may be seated.
I apologize. Counsel, Ms. Bryan, sometimes you've to do what you've got to do.

MS. BRYAN: Yes, sir, thit's true.
THE COURT: I apologrze to you as well.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, ©'d like to redirect your attention to Plaintiffs' Exhibic 1. And under the subheading "Plan," the third bullet says, "Galvanized Republican legislative support in key states."

And before the break you testified or acknowledged that True the Vote announced a partnership with the Georgia Republican Party in December of -- on December 14th, 2020; correct?
A. I'm -- it feels a little compound to me.

THE COURT: Do you want him to break it down?
THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. NKWONTA: Sure.

3 BY MR. NKWONTA:
4 Q. The third bullet says, under Exhibit 1, under the
5 subheading "Plan," third bullet says, "Galvanized Republican
6 legislative support in key states"; correct?
7 A. That is what that says, yes.

9 A. With con -- with con -- again, if I may with context, if
10 this is related to Validate the Vote 2020, which was not
11 related to the challenges, but that is what that bullet says.
12 Q. I understand your position.
The next question I'11 ask you is, on December 14, 2020,
14 True the Vote announced a dartnership with the Republican
15 Party of Georgia; correct?
16 A. With excepticn to the date, yes, we did announce in that
17 blog post in the way that we've covered.
18 Q. The fourth bullet says, "Aggregate and analyze data to
19 identify patterns of election subversions," with a dash,

1 the analysis on NCOA.
2 Q. The fifth bullet under "Plan" in Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 3 states, "File lawsuits in federal court with capacity to be 4 heard by SCOTUS"; correct?

5 A. That is what that says. Again, with context. True the
6 Vote filed no lawsuits in federal court. That was handled by
7 former counse1 Jim Bopp representing aggrieved voters.
8 Q. Isn't it true that Mr. Bopp filed that lawsuit on behalf
9 of True the Vote as well?
10 A. Mr. Bopp put in his signature block- I actually don't 11 recall if it was Validate the Vote or if he used the words 12 "True the Vote," but we were not parties in any of those 13 1awsuits.

14 Q. I'd like to turn youo attention to the legal strategy 15 section of Plaintiffs'Exhibit 1. And I won't read this 16 entire section, but you agree that the proposal contemplates 17 True the Vote, or someone acting on behalf of True the Vote, 18 filing lawsuits and seeking to overturn the results of the 19 presidential election; correct?
A. I wouldn't characterize it in that way, no.
Q. Well, I will read it again then.

The fifth bullet under "Plan" states, "File lawsuits in 23 federal court with capacity to be heard by SCOTUS - True the A. That's correct.

1 Q. And under "Legal Strategy," the first paragraph says, 2 "Jim Bopp, True the Vote general counse1, lead attorney in 3 Bush v. Gore and Citizens United, will file federal suits in 4 the seven closest battleground states to investigate voter 5 fraud, expose it, and to nullify the result of the state's 6 election so that the presidential electors can be selected in 7 a special election or by the state legislature"; is that

9 A. That is what it says, yes. Again, with- you know, I must say with context here that, as I've bften repeated, this was not written by me, but that is what it says on this page. Q. Now, I'd like to turn your attention to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 27.

Exhibit 27 is the lawsuit that True the Vote supported in Georgia; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. That is the complaint, I should say, for the lawsuit True the Vote supported in Georgia; correct?
A. I'm not certain of the nomenclature, but if that's called the complaint, then, $I$ mean, that's what was filed, yes.

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, plaintiffs move Exhibit 27 into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objections, Mr. Wynne?
MR. WYNNE: Objection, relevance.
THE COURT: Mr. -- relevance?

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, this is -- again, goes back to the pattern of activities in Georgia, reckless claims of fraud, unsubstantiated allegations of fraud and false --

THE COURT: What was -- give me a little more -- tell me a little more. What was this lawsuit about? I'm not familiar with this one.

MR. NKWONTA: Sure. In this lawsuit, True the Vote alleged that several counties were engaged in fraud, several specific counties had engaged in fraud, counted fraudulent ballots, and that over 70,000 non-citizen's, or someone had reported over 70,000 non-citizens voted for President Biden. True the Vote identified the fratid that occurred in certain counties, but then named Fulton, DeKalb, Gwinnett, Cobb, Clayton, Henry, Chatham, anid Richmond as defendants --

THE COURT: Clayton, Richmond, Cobb, Fulton?
MR. NKWONTA: Let me try it again.
Fulton, DeKalb, Gwinnett, Cobb, Clayton, Henry, Chatham, and Richmond Counties.

THE COURT: All these counties were won by now
President Biden?
MR. NKWONTA: Well, that's one element of it.
But the more important element is those are the top eight counties for Black voter -- active -- Black active voter population in Georgia.

THE COURT: Al1 right.

MR. NKWONTA: One through eight were named in the complaint as defendants. And the allegations in the complaint do not even --

THE COURT: What was the results of this lawsuit? Was it dismissed? What was the result of it?

MR. NKWONTA: True the Vote filed it, made the allegations, and then voluntarily dismissed it a week later without presenting any evidence.

MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, True the Vote is not a party. And it was withdrawn not by True the Voter And it was based on entirely different factors. They were not a party.

And if you look at Exhibit 1, it says Jim Bopp, True the Vote general counsel, lean attorney in Bush v. Gore and Citizens United and will file. It doesn't say True the Vote will file. It says Jim Bopp --

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor --
MR. WYiNE: -- will file.
MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, I will --
MR. WYNNE: Indeed, Jim Bopp filed. And if we need to go into the basis and foundation of the lawsuit and Mr. Bopp's responsibility, I'm already talking with Indiana State Bar about that. But if we want to get into whether he had a good faith belief in the law or for the extension of existing law, that's the question. And that's for Jim Bopp, not for her.

THE COURT: Well, first of all, True the Vote is not a plaintiff in what I'm looking at up here.

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, I will establish, first, two things in response to that, if you'll allow me.

On page 22 of that exhibit, the -- it's signed Jim Bopp, True the Vote, Inc., and Validate the Vote Project.

Second, I will establish -- I will establish through testimony that True the Vote actively supported this lawsuit. Like they -- and True the Vote funded and supported this lawsuit.

MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, I'd say that's Jim Bopp making a statement of agency that simply didn't exist. He had been -- had not been anointed general counsel. It's a term he used. So if we bring him in and establish agency -- or I can take the witness on voir dire.

MR. NKWONTA: Well, or I can -- if you allow me to ask two more questions to establish additional foundation for this.

THE COURT: You need to establish that True the Vote was involved in this. On its face, I'm having hard problems with that.

In other words, I understand your theory of True the Vote as being reckless, they're filing all these reckless lawsuits. Right now they're not a named plaintiff. Your position is that Mr. Bopp, when he signed this on page 22
saying that he represented True the Vote, that brought them into it. And Mr. Wynne is saying that's not correct.

MR. NKWONTA: Not just that. And if you'll allow me to ask two more questions, I will --

THE COURT: Well, I am asking -- you can remain standing. Let's let him ask those two questions.

MR. NKWONTA: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: Ask your two questions.
MR. NKWONTA: Sure.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, is it true that True the Vote provided support for the plaintiffs named in this lawsuit and funded the lawsuit?
A. Yes, we did pay the difgal bills for this lawsuit.

THE COURT: Yell, Mr. Wynne, how is that any different than the allegations that the plaintiffs are making here, that Alton Russell and other individuals filed these challenges because True the Vote could not, because they're not a Georgia resident, but that they filed -- they got -they obtained these people -- I'm not saying it's true or not at this point, but their theory is that True the Vote obtained people like Alton Russell to challenge people in their counties, knowing they did it recklessly. How is this any different than that?

MR. WYNNE: Because in that instance we had some sort
of testimony that came from the stand. In fact, Mr. Turner said some things that we objected to. We at least had something. In this case, we didn't. And I've just -- counse1 has represented all sorts of things about the demographics. We don't have any proof of that yet. And there's nobody who's brought any statistics. They could have brought an expert, they didn't. So we don't have that.

Moreover, I'11 tell you, as an officer of the court, that Mr . Bopp had no agency to do this. And - - none of the statements, I would submit, were carefully read by Ms. Engelbrecht. In fact, what happered is Mr. Bopp had this thing ready to go --

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honior --
MR. WYNNE: -- add he's pursuing his own interest.
THE COURT: hold on, hold on, hold on. Let him
finish.
Here's my ruling. If Ms. Engelbrecht had said, no, we had nothing to do with it, put no money in it whatsoever, I probably would sustain your objection and now allow 27 in.

But Ms. Engelbrecht, in her honesty, and I appreciate your honesty, says we did fund it. So if Mr. Bopp -- and he may have put some things in front of Ms. Engelbrecht that she may not have read, I don't know, that's an Indiana case you'11 handle after this case. But Ms. Engelbrecht's honest answers, yeah, we funded this, makes 27 come in.

5 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, as you testified -- or just to clarify,
6 True the Vote provided support for the plaintiffs and funded 7 this lawsuit; correct?

8 A. We had no direct contact whatsoever with any of the
MR. WYNNE: Understood.
THE COURT: And, again, I appreciate your honesty. It is what it is. BY MR. NKWONTA: plaintiffs. We did pay the bills that Mr. Bopp put before us. Q. True the Vote provided support. My question is a little different. I just want to get an answer to this question.

True the Vote provided support for the plaintiffs; correct?

THE COURT: What do you mean by "support"? She's answered that they paid the legal bills as soon as Mr. Bopp filed these. What do mean by support to the plaintiffs?

MR. NKiNONTA: Well, that's a question that I would like to pose to Ms. Engelbrecht, because that's what she --

THE COURT: Well, I'm asking you, though.
MR. NKWONTA: It could mean two things. It could mean paying legal bills or it could also mean -- it could also relate back to the bounty that she referenced in Exhibit 28.

THE COURT: Well, if you're talking about legal bills, that's already answered, asked and answered. If you're asking that question regarding, you know, did you pay for

1 their hotel and things like that, that's a different question.
2 If you're talking about a bounty, then you need to say a
3 bounty. But the reason why, it's a broad question then you
4 need to specify.
5 BY MR. NKWONTA:
6 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, did True the Vote offer a bounty to any
7 of the individual witnesses or plaintiffs named in this
8 lawsuit?
9 A. No. And for added context, again, going back to what we 10 discussed earlier, there were no bounties offered. There was

11 an internal e-mail in an attempt to secure funding for
12 potential hospital -- wel1, defigite hospital bills. There
13 was no bounty offered. I've explained all of it again, and 14 there's been no bounty hece.

15 Q. Can you turn to pقge 12 of the exhibit -- of Exhibit 27.
16 I'11 direct your attention to paragraph 35 . It says, "Vincent
17 Chavis, a voter from Duluth, Georgia, reported to True the 18 Vote/Validate the Vote project hotline that an absentee ballot 19 was requested and submitted in his name although he voted in 20 person and did not us an absentee ballot."

Is Mr. Vincent Chavis the individual you are referring to in Exhibit 28, the individual to whom --
A. No.
Q. -- you want to offer a bounty?
A. No.
Q. In paragraph 41 of the complaint -- and that's on page 14 -- it alleges that over 73,000 votes were cast by non-citizens for Joe Biden; correct?

4 A. For context, this is a study that was added into this lawsuit that says data-driven analysis to arrive at an estimate that as many as 73,975 votes were cast for Joe Biden. So that's what was entered into the lawsuit.
Q. So other than the link in that paragraph, you had no -or True the Vote had no other evidence to substantiate or corroborate this; correct?

THE COURT: Hold on. I have an objection.
MR. WYNNE: Yeah, I'm goîing to object to foundation. He's taking this on a very global scale. Moreover, there's no foundation that she's eveo read it or that all the facts -- as we know, all the facts don't need to be included in an initial complaint or -- indial complaint or petition.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule your objection.

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. True the Vote had no evidence, and to this date has no evidence, that over 73,000 votes were cast by non-citizens for Joe Biden as alleged on page 14, paragraph 41 of Exhibit 27; correct?

MR. WYNNE: I'm going to object based on relevance.
THE COURT: It is relevant, Mr. Wynne. It's relevant.

MR. WYNNE: Well, my thought is that, you know, we're talking about the challenges, not relitigating, you know, everything that's involved in different cases.

THE COURT: One of the things that I have to decide in this case, as you know, you're a very learned attorney, Mr. Wynne, so you understand. I have to decide whether or not -- a different -- a lot of elements. And one is that I have to decide whether True the Vote and these defendants were reckless in what they were doing. That's probably one of the first things I have to decide before move to different aspects in this case.

If True the Vote -- and, again, I haven't made my mind up one way or other on any aspects of this case. But if True the Vote knew that they didn't have 73,000 people voting -- non-citizens voting and they filed a lawsuit along those lines, that could work into whether I decide whether they were reckless or not.

If they did have evidence of these matters or -well, I won't get into other aspects of it -- then it might -I also have to look at what they had as positive. This is not an irrelevant question.

It's -- again, it's a question of whether or not Ms. Engelbrecht can answer or not, I don't know, but it's not an irrelevant question. So I respectfully disagree with you

## MR. WYNNE: Okay. Understand.

3 BY MR. NKWONTA:
4 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, at the time this complaint was filed, 5 did True the Vote have any evidence that over 73,000 votes 6 were cast by non-citizens for President Joe Biden as alleged 7 in paragraph 41 on page 14 ?

8 A. I -- True the Vote didn't make that statement. I'm not 9 sure why we would be pulled into that. over 73,000 non-citizens voted for Joe Biden?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You don't recall whether you had evidence?
A. I -- this is -- we dion't say this. This is just a report that was put ima -- in a lawsuit that we, you know, we paid a legal bill fior but we weren't a part of.
Q. As you sit nere today, does True the Vote have evidence that over 73,000 non-citizens voted for Joe Biden in Georgia?

MR. WYNNE: And I'm going to object on relevance one last time because the sentence says "Just the Facts conducted a study."

THE COURT: I think Ms. Engelbrecht has answered this question. She didn't draft the order, so she can't take a position -- I'm taking it she's saying she can't take a position one way or the other whether they did or didn't have

1 evidence of it because she don't know.
2 BY MR. NKWONTA:
3 Q. And this lawsuit was dismissed shortly after it was
4 filed; correct?
5 A. Correct.
6 Q. About a week or slightly more than a week after it was
7 filed; correct?
8 A. Correct.
9 Q. And True the Vote filed similar lawsuits in Michigan,
10 Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin; correct?
11 A. Jim Bopp filed lawsuits on behait of plaintiffs and we 12 paid those bills, yes.

13 Q. And True the Vote paid the bills for those lawsuits in
14 Michigan, Pennsylvania, ađ Wisconsin as well; correct?
15 A. Yes.
16 Q. And those lawsuits were dismissed shortly after they were
17 filed as well; correct?
18 A. Yes.
19 Q. A little over a week after they were filed; correct?
20 A. Right.
21 Q. And True the Vote did not present any evidence in support 22 of those lawsuits at any point in time before dismissing the 23 lawsuits; correct?

24 A. Well, correct, but with context. At least to the best of my understanding, the role that was -- the ultimate necessity
in any of these lawsuits was to be able to prove who actually voted in 2020. And as I understood it, and as the Court proceedings were happening, and we can all remember the environment at that time, those -- that data was simply not available. In fact, in Georgia, we couldn't even get rolls on who voted for months.

And so while all of the things that were added in here by Mr. Bopp and his team may have -- may have been -- I really can't speak to it. I mean, at the end, whatone knew was that you had to be able to prove who voted. Arid the circumstances at the time were such that those -- those rolls weren't available.

And so why continue on, take up the Court's time, if we knew that that was not goitig -- and I would also add that this had never been tested in this way. One would think that when a state has certified an election, particularly close elections, that they would be able to say who had voted in 2020 --

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, this is a narrative answer that strays far from the question and I object to it. I'd like to continue with my examination.

THE COURT: I think I got your general gist that you-all thought the state needed to more than they had done to verify?

THE WITNESS: I'm so sorry?

THE COURT: You-all felt the state needed to do more

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. Next question.
5 BY MR. NKWONTA:
6 Q. I want to pick up --
7 A. Wait.
8 Q. -- on a couple of things --
9 A. Hang on.
10 Q. -- Ms. Engelbrecht. You mentioned that the voter rolls
11 were not available for the lawsuit, but they were available
12 for your landmark challenge prograin in the Georgia runoff;
13 correct?
14 A. Yes. Because it wasit contingent on who voted, it was 15 just the rolls.

16 Q. And you also mentioned that this was about identifying 17 who voted. You would agree with me that all of those lawsuits
A. I -- I really can't attest to the strategy or how that

21 would have really worked. I don't know.
Q. People, as you know, voted in a number -- for a number of different elections on November 3, 2020 ; correct?
A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
Q. Sure. Voters cast ballots for a number of different

1 elections up and down the ballot on November 3rd, 2020;
2 correct?
3 A. Yes. A number of different offices, yes.
4 Q. But True the Vote's focus in the Validate the Vote
5 proposal was entirely about the presidential election;
6 correct?
7 A. I would not agree with that statement, but I would also,

9 not drafted by me, was given to one individuai. This was not again, with context say, this Validate the Vote proposal was broadcast. This was given to one individual that, within a week, turned around and gave me a milion dollar invoice and was the subject of lawsuits that won. This is not representative.
Q. You would agree with that the legal strategy in the Validate the Vote proposal is focused solely on overturning the results of the presidential election; correct?
A. I can't say that it's focused solely on that. I don't -I don't really know what the legal implications of this strategy would have -- would have elicited. Q. Let's jump to the next major initiative that True the Vote announced, which is the landmark elector challenge program. I'd like to direct your attention to Exhibit 42, which has already been admitted into evidence.

Exhibit 42 is the press release or blog post announcing the Georgia landmark voter challenge effort in advance of the

1 Georgia runoff; correct?
2 A. Correct.
3 Q. And can you read the sentence in bold at the bottom of
4 Exhibit 42?
5 A. "True the Vote partners with Georgians in every county to 6 preemptively challenge 364,541 potentially ineligible voters.

7 Citizen led-effort seeks to confirm all" voters -- "all votes
8 cast in U.S. Senate" election -- excuse me -- "U.S. Senate
9 runoff elections are legal, while ensuring any voter
10 challenged has full opportunity to prove their voting
11 eligibility."
12 Q. And later in the press release or the blog post, in the
13 third full paragraph you identify several individuals whom
14 True the Vote claims to be working alongside; is that correct?
15 A. Work - that's correct in the way that it was phrased.
16 Q. And the press release or blog post identifies Derek
17 Somerville of Forsyth County; correct?
18 A. It does, yes.
19 Q. And Mark Davis of Gwinnett County; correct?
20 A. Yes.
21 Q. It identifies them as individuals who have been leading 22 the citizen efforts to high1ight issues in Georgia's voter 23 rolls; correct?
A. That's what the statement says, yes.
Q. It also identifies Mark Williams of Gwinnett County;

1 correct?
2 A. Yes.
3 Q. It identifies files Ron Johnson of Jackson County?
4 A. Yes.
5 Q. And James Cooper of Walton County; correct?
6 A. Yes.
7 Q. And states that everyone pitched in. That was your quote
8 that followed, "everyone pitched in"; correct?
9 A. Correct, with context. It was not that we were working together, because -- specifically with réspect to Derek Somerville and Mark Davis, we were not; however, everyone was helping to bring attention to what the state knew, which is that the rolls were not accurate. And something needed to be addressed to try to create a trustworthy environment, particularly coming out of 2020 when -- when there was an awful lot of mistrust.

So even in my saying, you know, we were proud to be working alongside, that was sort of metaphorical. These were people that were trying to do their level best to ensure an accurate process.
Q. We'll return to that exhibit. I want to talk to you a little bit about the challenges themselves.

The press release or blog post indicated that True the Vote was challenging 364,000 Georgians. If those challenges went according to plan, according to your plan, all 364,000 of

1 those individuals would have been required to present proof of residency before voting; is that correct?
A. That is correct. Again, with the caveat that that's

4 already a requirement to vote in Georgia, and so there should not have been any substantive difference in process.
Q. So it is your understanding that providing documentary proof of residency is a requirement to vote in Georgia?
A. In Georgia, it's my understanding that you have to show a form, a valid form of photo voter identification, and there are quite a number. But in my previous before this was sent, I went and talked with the Secetary of State to make sure we understood, and that was fiy understanding, yes.
Q. And so it's your understanding that in -- because you said photo identification. My question is a little bit different.

Is it your understanding that voters are required to provide proof of residency before voting in Georgia?
A. I -- it is my understanding that voters have to show some form of valid identification that comports with state standard that adheres to eligibility standards. That's the best I can tell you.
Q. Well, I'm asking you specifically about proof of residency. Is it your understanding that proof of residency is required to vote in Georgia?
A. It's my understanding that you need to reside within the

1 county or the state in order to cast a vote.
2 Q. Again, I'11 ask my question, because I don't think you're 3 fully answering my question. And maybe I'm not being as precise as I can.

THE COURT: I think you probably need to be a little more precise, because you can interpret that question two ways.

MR. NKWONTA: Sure.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. When a voter appears to cast a ballot in an election in Georgia, at the polling place, is it your understanding that that voter needs to present proof of residence when appearing at a polling place to cast their ballot?
A. It is my understanding that the most commonly presented form of identificationincludes residency. There may be some outliers that wouldn't require that. Of that I'm not familiar.
Q. You understand that's a different question than what I asked?
A. I -- I -- then I --
Q. Do you understand the question I asked you?

THE COURT: Let me try it.
You go to vote in the state of Georgia on November the 7th, 8th, general election, whatever. What do you -what's your understanding you have to show when you go into
that precinct to vote? What do you have to show?
I understand you're from Texas. But understanding Georgia, what do you understand you have to show when you go in to vote?

THE WITNESS: You to have to show -- and my understanding is you have to show a valid form of voter identification.

THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I misspoke earlier with photo.
THE COURT: And that could be just a driver's
license; right?
THE WITNESS: Correct. it could be a driver's 1icense.

THE COURT: Do you need to bring in your property tax report to show that you live at that particular house?

THE WITNESS: No, sir, that was not my understanding. BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. And you understand that ID approved for voting, when a voter appears at the polls, could include a government employee ID; correct?
A. Whatever -- whatever the state allows, I imagine.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection if I showed you a copy of the statute that lays out the list of proper voter identification documents?

THE COURT: I think it would be pretty hard, except

1 for maybe some people like Ms. Lawrence-Hardy and Ms. Bryan who has done this a few times, to be able to name all the different things that you need to take in to vote with.

MR. NKWONTA: I assume the Court will take judicial notice --

THE COURT: Yeah, it's a number of things you can use. And to be fair, Mr. Wynne and Mr. Evans and Mr. Powell probably also could name all the different things you could take in. It probably a list of at least 12 ining.

MR. NKWONTA: Fair enough.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. And you would agree that wheri voting absentee in the 2021 runoff, voters who are casting absentee ballots did not have to present proof of residency in order to cast their absentee ballots; correct?
A. That's my understanding, correct.
Q. And if the challenges went according to your plan, all 364,000 Georgians identified in your challenge list, would have been required to present proof of residency before voting; correct?
A. Well, I can't speak to --

THE COURT: Let me make one correction. Every plaintiffs' attorney could answer that question as well. I just don't want to limit it to two plaintiffs. I think every plaintiffs' attorney could name every document you can use to
qualify. I had a couple people, like, wait a minute, Judge, you're not including me in that list?

Every plaintiffs' attorney, every defense attorney, I'm quite confident could name everything on that list.

Okay. Go ahead.
MR. NKWONTA: Thank you, Your Honor.
I'11 repeat my question.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, according to your plan if the challenges went according to your plan, wifiat you expected to happen or hoped would happen, is that all of the challenged Georgians, all 364,000 individuals names, would have been required to present proof of their residency before voting; correct?
A. No, that's incorrect.
Q. That was not vour plan? That was not how you expected the challenges to unfold? Is that your testimony today?
A. That's -- my testimony is that that is incorrect and with context. My hope was -- and understanding, and certainly after having met with the Secretary of State and talking through our methodology, my understanding was that we would prepare the files relative for each county, that if Georgia volunteers participated, would be presented and that the counties would make those determinations, because they had no other way to look at the voter rolls, which hadn't been

1 cleaned in 19 months. It was something that the Secretary of 2 State said this is good, this is -- I'm glad this is being 3 done.

4 Q. I want to return, again, to your January 2022 deposition. 5 And specifically pages 158, line 1 to 159, line 5 , when you 6 were asked this very question.

MR. NKWONTA: And, Your Honor, this one is kind of a mouthful to read, this answer. Would it be okay if we played this one? Just this one clip?

THE COURT: Play it.
MR. NKWONTA: Can you play Cip 13, please. 13.
THE COURT: They're goirg to play this one.
MR. NKWONTA: And can we request --
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. NKWONTA: Can we request that the could reporter record this as well

THE COURT: She can't. MR. NKWONTA: Cannot? Okay. (A video clip was played for the court.)

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. And that was the testimony you gave in your deposition; correct, Ms. Engelbrecht?
A. Yup. That was me on that, yes.
Q. Now, True the Vote and OpSec started working on the challenge file in the second week of December; correct?

1 A. Yes, that's probably about right. I don't recall 2 exactly, but in December.

3 Q. You don't recall when in December?
4 A. Not the specific day.
5 Q. Would it refresh your recollection if I showed you a
6 transcript of your testimony on that issue?
7 A. Sure.
8 Q. I'11 direct your attention to deposition transcript
9 page 127, line 7 to 16.
10
Can you review that on your screen?
11 A. Yes.
12 Q. Did that refresh your recollection as to when True the
13 Vote and OpSec started working on the challenge list?
14 A. Yeah, I think it's corisistent. I said that would
15 probably have been starting the second week of December. So 16 probably.

17 Q. And then True the Vote launched the landmark challenge 18 effort announcing that it was challenging 364,000 voters on

19 December 18th; correct?
A. That's when the post went up, yes.
Q. That's a pretty fast turnaround; right?
A. Well, yes, that is a fast turnaround if you -- if you are -- I mean, we already had the -- there were already elements that were put into place and -- with the availability of the voter rolls, which we, you know, routinely work with,

2 Q. So you started working on the challenge list with OpSec 3 on the second week of December. Am I right that you created 4 spreadsheets of challenged voters in all 159 counties?

5 A. Well, we -- no. We created a master file that had all of
6 the data in -- for all 159 counties. And then as electors
7 volunteered, those challenges would be put into the proper
8 format.
9 Q. So you --
10 A. Which is an interesting point, whicíis a csv format 11 that -- well, we'll get to that, I'm sure.

12 Q. So you created a file of 306- so you started working on
13 the challenge program in the second week of December. And by
14 December 18th, you had created a file of 364,000 individuals, 15 or slightly over 364,000 individuals, whom you believed may 16 have been impropery registered; correct?

17 A. Correct, again, with context. Let's break that down. So I understand you're -- the goal you're trying to get to

1 here, but it's not applicable to us. Q. I'm just trying to understand the process.

So from the second week of December to December 18th, you created a challenge file, or a file with 364,000 individuals in Georgia who you claim were improperly registered. Did anybody review all of those entries to check the accuracy of the challenged file?

MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, I'm going to object because of the compound nature of the predicate and ask counsel to break it down.

THE COURT: If you don't mind, just break it down in sections.

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Did anybody review each of those entries of that 364,000 voter challenge file?
A. There was absolute quality control, yes.
Q. My question was a little different. I didn't ask whether there was quality control.

I asked did anybody review each of those entries of the 364,000 voter challenge file?
A. When you're working with big data, the process of review and the evaluation of fields is something done at scale. So it was properly quality controlled.
Q. Again, my question was a little bit different.

Did anybody physically review -- I'm not asking about
scale or algorithms or whatever.
THE COURT: Hold on, I have an objection.
MR. WYNNE: I'd like for clarification if a computer is in the scope of anyone?

THE COURT: Well, I think that's something Ms. Engelbrecht in her answer can say.

Ms. Engelbrecht, I think -- here's how we're going to do it. You're going to have either answer yes or no, and then I'11 allow you to explain your answer. But vou have to answer yes or no.

THE WITNESS: Okay. No, not a11 rows were individually examined; however, triere was a comprehensive quality effort to go through and assure that all the fields were properly represented that the selections going into -when you work with NCSA, there are certain exclusions that you can put into that process, there are certain normalizations that go into the process in order to create an advanced standard. All of those things were done.

Additionally, those files were provided in a csv format that I will note were somehow converted. And I think the -- my question is still what -- what -- what files --

MR. NKWONTA: I'd object to this. This is a narrative answer that strays beyond my question. The csv format has nothing to do with the question, which is did anybody review --

THE WITNESS: But it --
THE COURT: Well, let her finish this last part.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: It does, because on the stand,
Dr. Mayer talked about how there were formals in cells, but that's not possible in --

MR. NKWONTA: Again, Your Honor --
THE WITNESS: -- a csv file.
MR. NKWONTA: -- what does this have to do with my --
THE COURT: I think you got the answer.
What's your next questiok?
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. After you created this master challenge file of 364,000 voters, True the Vote and its collaborators started the process of reaching out to challengers or potential challengers; correct?
A. No. The process was actually started because we had people coming to us. So that's how it started.
Q. So True the Vote started communicating with potential challengers; correct?
A. We were communicating with people who wanted to participate, yeah. Yes.
Q. And who wanted to participate as challengers; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. I'd like to direct your attention to Exhibit 73.

MR. NKWONTA: And before we get to Exhibit 73, Your
Honor, I just want to clarify that Exhibit 27 was moved into evidence. I believe I requested that it be moved into evidence. I wanted to double-check that.

THE COURT: It's in evidence over objection.
MR. NKWONTA: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Exhibit 73 consists of e-mails from a number of the defendants, some of which you are copied on, on behalf of True the Vote, communications with potential challengers. I'd like to direct your attention to the second page.

MR. NKWONTA: Before il do that, Your Honor, plaintiffs move to admit Exnibit 73 into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objections?
MR. WYNNE I'm going to have to take the witness on voir dire. I don't think any of these are from her. They're from Amy Hallsworth. And the question is, what degree she was acting as an agent for True the Vote to make these statements as a party. And I just don't know the answer to that. And so that's what I'm going to have to do.

THE COURT: I don't think you need to voir dire. I think you can make an objection that it is hearsay.

MR. WYNNE: Well, I'm going to object -- I'm going to object to hearsay at this point until we get a foundation.

17 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, Amy Halsworth was working for True the 18 Vote at the time these challenges were launched in December

THE COURT: Your response?
MR. NKWONTA: One, we can lay the foundation for this document and it can do that in two more questions. Two, this document was produced by True the Vote. It just so happens that the Bates numbers have been covered per the Court's instructions, but opposing counsel is literally objecting to a document that they produced to us.

MR. WYNNE: You can produce a document, as we all know --

MR. NKWONTA: From True the Vote.
MR. WYNNE: You can produce end you have to produce everything in your custody, care control or you can get from anybody else. It doesn't mean it's your statement.

THE COURT: Wello you said you can lay the foundation for it. So let's hear it.

BY MR. NKWONTA: 2020; correct?
A. Yes, she was a contractor.

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, I move to admit Exhibit 73 into evidence. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 73.

THE COURT: Well, what about these other people, Mark Williams?

MR. NKWONTA: Mark Williams is a defendant. These are all defendants, party opponents.

MR. WYNNE: They haven't set the foundation in terms of the scope --

MR. NKWONTA: It's waived.
MR. WYNNE: -- of the agency under 801(d)(2)(e). So -- or, I'm sorry, (d). The statement by the party's agent or servant concerning a matter within the scope -- within the scope of the agency or employment during the eaxistence of the relationship.

So I don't know. I think you' ve got to go through it.

THE COURT: I think he can get it in. Once he establishes this individuai worked for them and they're party defendants, it comes in.

Overruled Admitted. Admitted over objection.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 73 was received and marked into evidence.)

BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. I'd like you to turn to the second page of this document, which is part of an e-mail from Amy Halsworth to a perspective challenger. The challengers' names were redacted by opposing counsel or prior opposing counsel.

Can you read the top two lines of that e-mail into the record, please?

1 A. At the top of the page?
2 Q. The top of the second page. Starting with "True the Vote 3 has identified."

4 A. "True the Vote has identified over 500,000 people on the
5 Georgia voter roll list that shouldn't be there. These folks 6 are registered to vote but do not meet the requirements of a

7 legal voter in the county in which they are registered."
8 Q. And the purpose of this e-mail was to recruit or
9 coordinate with challengers; correct?
10 A. I don't know what the purpose of this e-mail was.
11 Q. So starting off with the first sentence, True the Vote 12 had not identified 500,000 peopletto challenge in Georgia; is 13 that correct?

14 A. There were addition initially, there were over half a 15 milition people. And then we began the $Q C$ and got the number 16 down.

17 Q. So the first sentence says, "True the Vote has identified 18 over 500,000 people in the Georgia voter 1 ist that shouldn't 19 be there."

That's false; right?
21 A. I mean, that's what this line says in an e-mail by -- sent by someone who didn't even spell their last name -Q. I'm asking you whether --
A. No.
Q. -- the statement is false.
A. We initially identified over half a million people that did not appear to have the same -- or did not appear to have a correct residency, yes.
Q. Do you understand my question, though? My question is -THE COURT: She's answered your question. She says they did identify over 500,000 people.

MR. NKWONTA: No. My question is a little different, Your Honor, because --

THE COURT: What is the question? Don't -- don't -just tell me, what is the question.

MR. NKWONTA: The question is whether True the Vote identified over 500,000 people on the Georgia list that should not be there.

THE COURT: What is the answer to that question?
MR. NKWONTA: That should not be there.
THE WITNESS: I -- I think it depends upon the definition of what shouldn't be there means.

THE COURT: If they shouldn't be there, isn't that the same thing is that -- the answer is that -- I'm not saying I'm agreeing or disagreeing with her answer, but I thought her answer was they did identify over 500,000 people that shouldn't be voting.

MR. NKWONTA: We11, her answer was they conducted the QC and removed individuals. I -- presumptively because they did not believe that all 500,000 were not -- did not belong on

1 the list.
THE COURT: Let's try it one more time.
3 BY MR. NKWONTA:
4 Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, the statement that True the Vote has 5 identified over 500,000 on the Georgia list that should not be 6 there, is that state -- isn't that statement false?

7 A. No, that statement is not false, if I understand the question with context. I don't know that I understand the question.
Q. So it's your testimony that True the Vote did identify over 500,000 people on the Georgia voter list that should not be there?
A. When -- when we first began the project, the number was over 500,000 . And then - but the use of the phrasing "that shouldn't be there," you know, I think is vague and --

THE COURT Well, what's vague about it?
THE WITNESS: Well, I think that it's -- it shouldn't be there is -- is not the precise way to address that. I mean, it shouldn't -- it's not necessarily that they shouldn't be there, but there's something about their address that is indicated as inaccurate.

THE COURT: Well, since I'm also acting as trier of fact in this case, let me tell you how I'm interpreting this, so I'm giving you a chance to say if I'm right or wrong.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

THE COURT: I'm interpreting you as saying there's 500,000 people who are on the Georgia voter registration list that shouldn't have been on the Georgia voter registration list. Is that a right or wrong interpretation?

THE WITNESS: I wish that Amy would have written that differently.

THE COURT: I'm sure.
THE WITNESS: You know, I mean, it's...
THE COURT: But you have to answer the question, though.

THE WITNESS: Whether or not they should have been on the list, I mean, I -- this is what the sentence says. I can't -- I don't know what Amy was -- was meaning, assuming Amy wrote this and spelled her last name wrong, I don't know. BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Are you willing --

THE COURT: Let me tell both of you-all how the trier of fact is interpreting it. I'm interpreting it as she said exactly what she says right here. There's 500,000 people on the Georgia voter list that shouldn't be there.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, that's what it says.
THE COURT: I'm interpreting it exactly as it says.
THE WITNESS: That's what it says. I -- you know, I don't know what she was thinking. I don't know -BY MR. NKWONTA:

1 Q. The problem is, I'm not asking what she was thinking.
2 I'm asking whether you agree with that statement --
3 A. Oh, that's a different --
4 Q. -- as you sit here today. Do you agree with that
5 statement?
A. I'm sorry. If you've asked me that, I haven't heard that.

THE COURT: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: I apologize.
Can you -- would you repeat?
THE COURT: Do you agree with that statement? Do you agree with that statement that Trae the Vote has identified over 500,000 people on the Georgia voter list that shouldn't be there?

THE WITNESS: I disagree with that statement.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. The following sentence, "These folks are registered to vote but do not meet the requirements of a legal voter in the county in which they are registered."

Is that a true statement?
A. On the basis of the data that we had at that time -- I say at that time, based off the number -- that -- I would agree with that statement.
Q. You would agree that every single person on that list does not meet the requirements of a legal voter in the county

1 in which they are registered?
2 A. I would say that when comparing the two datasets of the 3 Georgia voter roll list and the NCOA, at the highest level of 4 accreditation, that was the information that came back, yes. Secretary of State just announced a couple weeks ago, saying

7 that they were going to amend the records of almost 700,000 8 Georgia residents.

9 Q. It's very different. And I want to ask you a question again to be more precise so I can make sure I get an answer.

11 A. Sure. on that list, do not meet the requirements of a legal voter in 14 the county in which they are registered?

15 A. Based on the data that we had, which --
16 Q. It is a yes-ar no question.
17 A. I -- I -- I mean --
Q. Is it correct yes? Or is it not correct?

THE COURT: Again, you will be allowed to explain your answer, but you have to answer yes or no first.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
Yes, based on the data that we had, that was correct.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. And I'd like to -- I'd like you to take a look at the sixth paragraph starting with, "When the challenge letter is
received." The second sentence says, "True the Vote has assured me that the list they are challenging is 99.9 percent likely to be incorrectly registered."

Is that statement correct?
MR. WYNNE: I'm sorry, what line are we looking at? MR. NKWONTA: It's the --

MR. WYNNE: Oh, okay. Okay. I found it. Okay.
Thanks. We skipped a couple of paragraphs.
THE WITNESS: It is correct, yes, in that that is
what the statement says.
I disagree that we ever saici that.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Do you agree that 99.9 percent of the individuals on the list True the Vote was chailenging were incorrectly registered?
A. I -- 99.9 percent, I -- I -- I can't affirm that. I can say that it was near that, but I can't say that it was 99.9 percent.
Q. So you recognize that some portion or some individuals on your challenge list were correctly registered; correct?
A. I think there's two -- if I may, I know I need to give you a yes or no. Can you repeat the question and I'11 add context?
Q. You recognize that some individuals on your challenge list were correctly registered; is that right?

1 A. I can answer yes or no with context. The -- the data 2 that is provided back, the same datasets that Secretary of 3 State and others use, suggested that the information was 4 wrong. It's -- it is meant to be -- elector petitions are meant to be the starting point to say, county, can you take a look at this. And then there are circumstances that come into play based upon what the county decides, based upon what the circumstances -- extenuating circumstances may be. So it would be -- I mean, there's two ways to answer that. I mean, the data was the data. We were confident in the data. But how the county chooses to interpret that.

THE COURT: I guess my grestion is that if you're giving the county all these rames without really believing yourself that it's accurati, isn't that a problem? In other words, wouldn't you not say, I'm giving you something I believe is 100 percent accurate because I verified it?

THE WITNESS: That's a good question, Judge. And the data that was presented -- the data that was presented -- I'm 100 percent confident in the data that was presented. But I'm also familiar enough with data and with the variances and with the unique situations of people and their -- how things, you know, sometimes are misconstrued or misunderstood, those things are real.

And so that was, frankly, why I went to the Secretary of State and went step by step through the methodology in my
understanding to make sure that the process was not going to incur any additional burden. Again, we were given, you know --

THE COURT: I've been looking up here for the last 20 minutes. I had Ryan Germany's testimony transcript pulled for me yesterday. One of the things -- I'm trying to find an exact quote. One of the things he indicated -- I can't remember whether it was on cross with Mr. Evans or direct with Ms. Ford. One thing he indicated, he said he told you-all this broad way of doing this was not the way to do it.

I'm not using his exact words, and I want to find the exact words in a second, but he iridicated, he said, I told them just bringing in these thousands of names at one time, is not the proper way of doing this.

So that's my question, is that -- it's very important, and I may -- well, I need to get an answer, we're maybe going to have to take a break.

If you-all do not feel there was a possibility that all these names you were giving in these counties were correct, then why did you do it? Or if you did feel all these names were correct, I need to know that as well.

THE WITNESS: We absolutely felt that all the names that we were giving the counties were correct. It would be irresponsible of me to not say that life happens and that had to be interpreted by the county.

I also heard Mr. Germany say that, and I reflected immediately back on my experience in that meeting. And I'm sorry that Secretary Raffensperger's not here to share his take on what happened in the meeting. But it was Mr. Germany -- I don't recall him saying that, but what I do very clearly --

THE COURT: I'11 find the transcript.
THE WITNESS: Oh, no, no, I know he definitely said it in the -- up here. He definitely said itchere. But in the meeting, it was Mr. Germany who went on to describe the actual process of -- because we didn't -- one of the things that came out that I was unclear about in the way the code was written was, do we have to do this in hard copy or are digital files sufficient or -- and he said, oh, no, you can just send them digitally, and here's how it's going to work.

And, I mean, I remember this clear as day. He said, here's how it's going to work. You send them. The counties will decide --

MR. NKWONTA: Objection. This is hearsay, Your Honor, but it's up to you.

THE COURT: Yeah, but I want to hear this answer.
THE WITNESS: He said the counties will decide whether or not they're going to take up the challenges. And I was concerned about -- I mean, is there enough time. All of that was discussed.

Then he said -- so he said, it's not going to be any big deal at all. This is actually in an affidavit that I did. It's not going to be any problem because those go straight to the state vendor, the state vendor will tag the record, and then those reports will be sent back to the county.

And so it will be available. No absentee ballots had been opened yet. They will be available for review, plenty of time in the curing process.

And then when you break down the number, if I may, because I know that's a big number, and if you're not familiar with big data, that can be a big -- Imean, it's a big number.

But when you look at it in full context, 364,000 challenged records out of a database of 7.7 million, with 159 counties and roughty 2300 irecincts, even at 100 percent turnout would be 158 voters per precinct on average. If you break that down and you even say 70 percent turnout, that would be 111 voEers per precinct. And if you break that out into absentee and on paper, you're talking -- I mean, it's --

THE COURT: What I'm hearing you saying is that one of the things -- it wasn't a big deal because there weren't going to be that many people anyway, when you compare it to 7 million voters.

Let me say this: I understand a third of what she just said is hearsay. And what I have here is what Mr. Germany said under oath on Friday in the transcript.

One last question then. It's important that the trier of fact get his questions answered. And the one concern I have -- and here's the question: You-all were proceeding with the understanding that you were going to give these names to counties and the counties were going to investigate them?

THE WITNESS: The -- our understanding was that Georgia citizens who volunteered for the project would take the -- the file, it would be submitted to the counties, and the counties would determine whether or not the challenges would be -- would be accepted. And then ás I mentioned --

THE COURT: Was there any consideration given, though, how the counties would go about doing this? In other words, there are some counties in Georgia contacting people before they went to vote anid had them come in and verify the challenge.

And as we heard from two of the people that testified last week, there are some counties that just say, when they come in to vote, we'll flag them then.

So was there any consideration given regarding that -- how these people would be treated?

THE WITNESS: Oh, absolutely, yes.
THE COURT: Well, how was that considered?
THE WITNESS: It was -- it was our understanding, again, from our reading of Section 230, but also reviewing the process, because there's -- there's -- things that aren't as
clear as they could have been. We wanted to be sure.
And so, yes, our understanding was that the -- that there would be no contact with voters other than if a voter decided to show up and vote. And then if the record had been flagged, again, it was our understanding that showing identification would have been enough to resolve the challenge.

Now, there is -- I understand -- the issue with residency.

THE COURT: Well, as you heard 0 cross-examination with Mr. Evans last week, Mr. Berson testified he goes in to vote, he shows his ID, license, arid they still say, you've got to vote a provisional ballot, made him get up identifications.

Thank you for ansivering.
Go ahead with your questions.
BY MR. NKWONTA:
Q. Ms. Engelbrecht, the statement that we've been discussing in Exhibit 73, "True the Vote has assured me that the list they are challenging is 99.9 percent likely to be incorrectly registered," that's a statement that you agree one should never make; is that correct?
A. I don't agree that it's a statement that should never be made. I don't agree that this is a statement that True the Vote assured anybody of. I mean, it's just -- it's just not what someone that's accustomed to working with data would say.

1 Q. I'd like to turn again back to your deposition from 2 January 2022. In that deposition, you were asked a question 3 about the e-mai1, the specific e-mail, and the statement about 499.9 percent of the challenges being incorrectly registered. 5 And that is on pages 234, lines 3 to 22. In 1 ines 11 to 12 6 you said, "No. And my data background would never make that kind of statement." And in 1 ines 21 to 22, you said, "You shouldn't make assertions like that."

That was your testimony in your deposition; correct?
A. That is what it says in the deposition. Again, with context, it was my observation or my my consideration of who was saying this at the time. And it's not -- it's just not something that, you know, one would -- one would say. This is an internal e-maid again, with somebody that - I don't know exactly where, you know, the -- where this all came from, but...
Q. So you testified that you would never make a statement like that and you shouldn't make assertions like that, yet that was the statement that was conveyed to prospective challengers in that e-mail; correct?
A. Yeah, I'd have to look back at the whole thread to see when this was actually said, who actually said it first, if it was copy, pasted. I wasn't copied on any of it that I can see. Maybe I'm somewhere in the depths of this copy, but I can absolutely tell you that there were many conversations had and the understanding of -- of the fact that, you know, bringing these things into the county, the counties will rely upon the data as it's seen. I...

THE COURT: I think this is a good time to take a break. We'11 take a lunch break and start back at 1:30. Everybody have a good lunch.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, may I ask a clarification?
THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. WYNNE: The witness is on the stand. She's also
my client. May I speak with her but try --
THE COURT: Yes, but don't get into her testimony. Obviously, you can speak with her and talk to her about your future questions, but not this, as you know.

MR. WYiNE: Thank you for your clarification.
(A break was taken at 12:15 p.m.)
(Change of reporter.) the case aforesaid.

This the 1st day of November, 2023.
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