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(HELD IN OPEN COURT AT 9 A.M.)
THE COURT: Good morning. You-all can be seated.
I was driving in from I-85 north this morning from Atlanta coming here and there were -- I've come this way, God, for years. And usually I can zip right through from -because all the people are on the other side, there's traffic coming into Atlanta, so usually I have no problems.

But this morning I was coming in from Atlanta on I-85 north and all this traffic. And I said, where are all these cars coming from? And now I see why.

Good morning to you-all. Hope everybody had a good easy drive in, and we're getting ready to start this morning.

Ms. Wright is going to call the case. And before we start off with the case, tiere are a couple of administrative matters we need to take care of and then we'll start with opening statements

Ms. Wright, you can go ahead and call the case.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: The Court calls Fair Fight, Inc. and others v. True the Vote, Inc. and others, Civil Action No. 2:20-cv-302.

THE COURT: And representing the plaintiffs this morning?

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good morning.
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Allegra Lawrence-Hardy on behalf
of the plaintiffs this morning. And I'm joined at counsel table by my colleagues Uzoma Nkwonta and Christina Ford.

THE COURT: Good morning both of you-all.
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: And, obviously, other members of our team are here as well.

THE COURT: Good morning to everyone on the team.
MS. YUN: Good morning, Your Honor. Jennifer Yun on behalf of the United States. And I'm joined by Dana Paikowsky and Timothy Mellett.

THE COURT: Good morning to you-áll as well.
Representing the defense?
MR. WYNNE: Yes. Good mirining, Your Honor. Michael Wynne on behalf of all of the riefendants. I'm joined by Jake Evans, Greenberg Traurig, who is local counsel. Our client representative Catherine Engelbrecht, co-counsel Cameron Powell. Also in the room co-counsel Todd Burns, Derek Somerville. And most important by far, my paralegal Heather Martinez.

THE COURT: Good morning to all you-all. Good to see you this morning.

There are a couple of things -- there was a motion filed regarding excluding witnesses from the witness list. My position is simply this: The consolidated pretrial order says if you're not on the -- name has not been given, then you are excluded from being a witness unless you-all agree among each

1 other. So if the names were not given prior to the consolidated pretrial order you put together, that person is not going to testify.

Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger yesterday filed a motion to quash his subpoena. They asked that he not be required to be here this morning. I entered an order, and I let him know he did not have to be here this morning, that I would deal with the matter on the motion to quash. His position is that he was not properly served

I don't think you're going to extibit any evidence -witnesses this morning. So I will tell them, again, he does not have to appear until I make ariuling on the motion to quash.

But here's a quick question: Was Secretary of State Raffensperger one of the witnesses that you-all asked to exclude?

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Yes, Your Honor. He was not on the original witness list.

THE COURT: Then I think you have a twofold situation, then, Mr. Wynne. A, if he's not on the witness list, he's going to be excluded unless you can get plaintiffs to agree, and that might be a little bit difficult.

And, second of all, Josh Belafonte who is representing Mr. -- not Mr. -- Secretary of State Raffensperger in his motion that you read, was pretty
conclusive that he was not properly served. So if you decide that you want to call him, I think your first hurdle is going to be whether or not he's excluded, was not included; and your second hurdle will be, of course, was he properly served or not.

MR. WYNNE: May I address that, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. WYNNE: Counsel was kind enough to forward me a list of witnesses that -- within 48 hours for tomorrow, including Ryan Germany and Mr. Watson and Ms. Silas.

This morning they said they like to offer deposition designations of a witricss they say they couldn't serve yet.

Now, Germany --
THE COURT: Look, if you have an objection about any witness that they're going to call, at this point in time I have not got any motion from you on that. So you will have to deal with that when they call them. I'm just dealing with the motions that's on the docket. So I don't want to get into anything -- if there's no motion regarding any of their witnesses -- Ryan Germany, I think, is one of your witnesses. But if he was one of your witnesses, then you have notice of him.

MR. WYNNE: Okay. That's what I was wondering. Well, in any event, we waive any objection as to
anybody who is not on their witness list. So we just waive it. We're not going to be objecting.

THE COURT: I appreciate your kindness, but I don't think they're going to get a reciprocal from them.

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, there was nothing to waive. All of the witnesses that we identified were on our witness list and have been since May. All we provided was the order of witnesses as a courtesy so they know the order in which they would be called.

The deposition designations that opposing counsel mentioned, we actually provided thosedeposition designations again in May. They served no objections.

We recently supplemented those designations 48 hours ago, that is correct, frominr. Martin. That's one of the issues I'd like to ad'ress, because Mr. Martin, we believe, is unavailable under Rule 32.

THE COURT: Well --
MR. NKWONTA: We've tried to serve him three times.
THE COURT: -- let's do this. Let's deal with this
-- let's deal with this at this point in time.
MR. WYNNE: Well, we're not going to object to
anything.
THE COURT: All right. Well, good. All right.
Are plaintiffs ready to start your opening
statements?

Is both sides invoking the rule of sequestration in this matter?

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Your Honor, we do have a few more logistics items. We can take them up at the Court's convenience.

THE COURT: All right. Let's do that. Let's go ahead and get going. Thank you.

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. You can proceed with your opening statement. Each side has 30 minutes for an opening statement.

MR. NKWONTA: Thank you, Your Honor.
Good morning, Your Honor. May it please the Court.
My name is Uzoma Nkwonta, and I represent the plaintiff Fair Fight, Inc. I also represent the individual voters, all of whom were lawful Georgia residents that became victims of defendants' scheme to disrupt, destabilize, and undermine the results of the 2020 presidential election and the 2021 runoff for Georgia's U.S. Senate seats.

These individuals were pulled out of voting lines and questioned, forced to jump through hoops, and navigate challenging procedures to reprove their residence, and they were all left with the ominous feeling that they had done something wrong.

The truth is, Your Honor, they did nothing wrong.

1 This was all connected to True the Vote's Validate the Vote 2 scheme. And that's not merely a figure of speech. The scheme was titled "Validate the Vote." It was reduced to a written proposal that True the Vote submitted to its biggest funder in the immediate aftermath of the November 2020 election.

True The Vote's donor wanted to reverse election losses, so True the Vote stepped in with a proposal. And I want to highlight a few elements of that plan that the Court will hear throughout this trial.

First, True the Vote identified what it perceived to be an issue, significant evidence of illegal ballots being cast and counted in the 2020 general election. Illegal votes being counted in democratic counties. Democratic officials counting illegal ballots. Once again, Democrats deliberate efforts to radically expand mail voting.

And they created a plan of action. And that plan of action included building public momentum through broad publicity, galvanizing Republican legislative support in key states. And the final payoff, to nullify the results of the state's election so that the presidential electors can be selected in a special election or by the state legislature.

Among those key states, True the Vote and Catherine Engelbrecht, both Texas residents, set their sights on Georgia. They even filed a lawsuit seeking to overturn the results from the presidential election and certain handpicked

Georgia counties. There they alleged that over 70,000 non-citizens voted for President Biden. They later dismissed that lawsuit, of course.

So how is this Validate the Vote scheme relevant to the Georgia runoff and to the events of this case?

Well, True the Vote's actions at issue in this case are all part of the same campaign. You'll hear that once the efforts to overturn the presidential election failed, True the Vote turned its attention to interfering in the Georgia runoff. Validate The Vote was rebranded ás Validate the Vote Georgia.

They announced a $\$ 1$ milfion bounty on fraud, a public call for heightened monitoring of polling places and voters at the polls and drop boxes. And they launched -- and defendants collectively launched 3 n unprecedented mass challenge effort never before seen Georgia's history, or larger than ever before seen in Georgia's history.

They've recruited volunteers to challenge more than 250,000 voters just weeks before the runoff.

These challenges targeted voters that at one point requested to forward their mail to a different address, even while defendants recognize that people forward mail for a host of reasons that have no impact on residents. You'll hear that defendants even told their volunteers and their challengers that 99.9 percent of the voters on this list were unlawfully
registered.

But you'11 also hear that those statements were false, Your Honor. In fact, you'll learn that much of what True the Vote and defendants put into the airways as part of this Validate the Vote program was false.

They did not have evidence of illegal ballots being cast and counted in the 2020 election. Nor did they have evidence that most illegal votes were being counted in Democratic counties. Nor did they have evidence that over 70,000 individuals voted for President Biduen. And they certainly had no evidence that 99.9 percent of the 250,000 voters on their challenge list were incorrectly or unlawfully registered. Quite the opposite, in fact.

You will hear testimony from plaintiffs' expert Dr. Ken Mayer, an expert in political science and quantitative methods, that the challenge lists that True the Vote and defendants compiled were slapped together haphazardly, and the result was an unmitigated disaster.

To give you a few examples: Defendants challenged voters whose registration address and alleged new address were identical, meaning, voters had never moved.

Defendants challenged voters and presented challenge lists with syntax errors in the street address field, meaning, you could not even identify what street address they were alleged to have moved to.

Defendants challenged voters who allegedly moved to a street address in the same county. Meaning those voters retain their eligibility to vote in the runoff for Georgia's U.S. Senate seats.

Defendants challenged voters even when the name on a challenge file did not match the name on the voter file, on the voter registration file. That means it's not even clear that they identified the right people.

They challenged individuals who were not even registered in Georgia, which is a strong indication that that list was rife with errors.

They challenged individuals who resided on military installations. They challenced individuals who were already reregistered at their alleged new address as of December 2020, which means that their>ists and their -- and the voter file that they consulted was out of date. They challenged individuals where the alleged address that they moved to was blank, missing a street address, and there were over 15,000 instances of that. And they challenged individuals that lived adjacent to military installations and adjacent to colleges.

To put a finer point on these challenges, I will give the Court a couple of examples of where some of the challenged voters resided. Defendants challenged individuals who they alleged to reside on Andrews Air Force Base. Defendants challenged individuals who they alleged to reside on Camp

1 Pendleton. Defendants challenged individuals who are most certainly both students and in the military. For instance, they challenged voters who they claimed had moved to the United States Air Force Academy. They challenged voters who they alleged had moved to West Point.

In other words, the evidence will show that submitting formal challenges to voters based on this data was reckless at best, but likely worse. The accusations of illegal voting were false. To say that 99.9 percent of the challenged voters were incorrectly registered was an outright 1ie.

And we're here today, Youit Honor, because these lies have consequences. These reckiess accusations of harm impair the work of organizations that support voters like Fair Fight, just as much as they harm voters on. And later you'll hear from some of the nares on the receiving end of defendants' assault on the voting process.

You'11 hear from Mr. Turner, Gamaliel Turner, who has been registered to vote in Georgia for 52 years now. Served in our military for 19 years. After he retired from the military, he lived in Columbus, Georgia, for almost 20 years.

His offense? He took a temporary assignment in California as a government contractor with the U.S. Navy but maintained his home and permanent residence in Columbus, Georgia.

You'11 hear about the hoops he had to jump through to get his ballot counted and how it made him question his participation in the voting process.

You'll also hear from plaintiff Scott Berson who, at the time he was challenged, he had lived in Georgia since the age of four. He had strong roots in Columbus, wrote for the school newspaper, was involved in the local art scene, even served as a tour guide for the city.

His offense? He moved to Alabama for a two-year graduate school program, but then moved fight back to the city that he called home after he graduatec. You'll hear that he first learned of these challenges in a news article. He learned of mass challenges that swept in students who had been away from home while atteding school. And you'11 hear how he was overwhelmed and intimidated by the process of responding to the challenge and reproving his eligibility.

You will also hear from Ms. Stephanie Stinetorf, a Georgia resident who temporarily moved to Germany with her husband for a job opportunity with the U.S. Department of Defense. They were challenged. You will hear that Ms. Stinetorf was immediately concerned that she had done something wrong. And you'll hear about the hurdles she had to overcome just to vindicate her right to vote from overseas. Now, these individuals were challenged by Alton Russell, the same person listed on True the Vote's internal
records as the assigned challenger from Muscogee County. Scott Berson was also on the list prepared by Mr. Davis and Mr. Somerville, though that list was never filed.

And later in this trial you will hear from Ms. Jocelyn Heredia, the daughter of immigrants, born in Georgia, raised in Georgia, first in Athens and then later in Commerce, which is in Banks County.

You'11 hear that her permanent residence during the 2021 runoff was that of her family home in Commerce, Georgia. She was challenged by both volunteers working for True the Vote and Ms. Engelbrecht, and voluteers working on -alongside with Mr. Davis and Mr. Somerville.

As a result, shecras singled out and pulled out of her line at a polling place when she went to vote in person. She had to retrieve documents to reprove her residence in an ordeal that lased approximately three hours.

And what was her offense according to defendants? She and her partner rented an apartment in Atlanta that was close to her job where, at the time, she was employed for only a one-year term or less.

And you'll also hear testimony from Fair Fight's executive director Cianti Stewart-Reid about the frenzied chaos caused by defendants' mass challenges, and how Fair Fight had to reconfigure its operations and divert resources

1 to assist voters and Georgians targeted by the defendants' scheme and respond to True the Vote's threats.

As we'll show, Your Honor, these challenges were baseless from the start. Because determining whether someone has established residence at a new address is not a simple mathematical formula. It requires review of a comprehensive set of factors, one of which is the voter's intent.

Case in point, Jocelyn never intended to make Atlanta her home, despite her lease. In fact, she plans to move away from Atlanta to be closer to her parents because for Jocelyn, home is and has always been near her tamily.

And that's the fundamental problem with defendants' scheme. They took what shoula be a comprehensive individualized analysis ivyolving an array of factors and reduced it to an incorclusive spreadsheet with speculative observations about voters who had their mail forwarded. But that tells us nothing.

We know, for instance, that voters can live temporarily away from home and still vote. That's one of the features of absentee voting. That's a feature, not a flaw, because absentee voting contemplates exactly that:

Individuals voting while absent.
And you'11 hear that even as defendants were compiling and submitting these challenges, the people around them, their supporters, their volunteers, their collaborators,

1 election officials, kept telling them that their approach was flawed, that their lists were inaccurate, that innocent lawful voters were being targeted.

Before they filed their challenges, they met with the Secretary of State's Office's employees. And you'll hear from one of them in this trial who was at that meeting who told True the Vote and Ms. Engelbrecht that presenting a spreadsheet of challenged voters, as they intended to do, would not accomplish anything. And that's because the NCOA data they relied on is not conclusive. A spreadsheet listing voters' names does not come close to the standard from voter challenges.

The same is true for a spreadsheet of names prepared by defendants Davis and Solierville. And you'll hear that True the Vote's volunteer shallengers did not even have access to the challenge list that they provided. They simply gave their names, permission to submit their challenge lists, and defendants e-mailed the challenges with their signatures.

But the one challenger, Mr. Joseph Martin, who actually demanded to see the list and bothered to look under the hood and do his due diligence, was immediately alarmed. You'11 hear that he complained immediately about the quality of the data. He retracted his challenges because the information was incorrect. And he told defendants about this. He said impact of challenges: Not good. This is on December

20th.
And then he told True the Vote and defendants once again, please hold on to any challenge letters to Telfair County, concerns with your information. And, Your Honor, when all signs indicated that something was terribly wrong and that their challenge lists were flawed and that lawful, eligible voters were being targeted, defendants didn't say, let's reconsider. No. They said, keep going. Let's look for someone else to submit these challenges, someone who won't question our challenge list. Someone who will challenge voters blindly, despite the fact that some of those voters are lawful Georgia residents.

With this evidence, we'11 ask the Court to apply the plain language and establisn elements of Section $11(b)$ of the Voting Rights Act. The statute prohibits any person from intimidating, threatening or coercing, or attempting to intimidate, threaten or coerce anyone for voting or attempting to vote. It was enacted in 1965, approximately eight years after Congress outlawed voter intimidation under the Civil Rights Act.

The events that led Congress to enact Section 11 (b) tell us a lot about the statute's purpose, the kinds of activities it sought to address and how it should be enforced. And there are two important lessons that I'd like to highlight for the Court.

First, that's no intent requirement. Congress eliminated the intent requirement from the preexisting voter intimidation law, recognizing that many types of nonviolent intimidation or coercion were difficult to address under the prior law. So while the preexisting Civil Rights Act imposed an intent requirement, Section $11(b)$ of the VRA removed that requirement.

Second, activities that may be lawful in most contexts will still violate Section $11(b)$ ifothey result in voter intimidation or if intimidation is the natural consequence of such conduct. And while defendants will tell you that Section $11(\mathrm{~b})$ was focused on violence at the polls, police dogs, fire hoses, that's not true. Voter intimidation cases around the time that this law was passed included allegations of landowners enforcing trespass laws on the books to bar individuals because they had registered to vote. Or landlords evicting tenants or cancelling contracts with individuals because they had registered to vote. Context matters.

And courts recognize that in enforcing trespass laws, for instance, or cancelling contracts that may be lawful in normal circumstances, it's not lawful when it results in voter intimidation or when voter intimidation is a natural consequence.

Here, too, just because Georgia authorizes challenges
or does not place limits on a number of challenges one can submit, doesn't mean that frivolous challenges or baseless challenges that result in intimidation or that are likely to result in intimidation are beyond reproach. And it was specifically to address these forms of nonviolent intimidation and coercion that Congress enacted Section 11(b).

So following that legal framework, Your Honor, I want to highlight some of defendants' rationalizations and preview the evidence that you'11 hear in response from plaintiffs. Defendants will tell you that no one heard their threats about heightened monitoring of polling places or SEALs at the polls. That's not true. Cair Fight heard them loud and clear, and you'11 hear testimony about that. You'11 hear that True the Vote made those threats in some of the most publicly-accessible forums: On a podcast, in a press release, both designed to reach large numbers of people.

And even if we assume the implausible, that no one heard these statements or these threats, we know from the plain text of Section 11(b) that attempts are just as lawful as proof of intimidation.

Next, defendants might tell you that their challenges followed the same process that the Georgia Secretary of State uses when conducting list maintenance or the same process endorsed by the federal National Voter Registration Act. That's not true either.

Even after -- and you'11 hear that even after identifying voters who submitted address changes or address change request, the Secretary of State's Office sends written notice to those voters, and those voters are not removed from the rolls unless they confirm that they have moved or they fail to vote in two consecutive general elections. And that's a requirement of federal law.

Defendants might even tell you that the claims in their press releases and on their podcasts were untrue and were merely embellishments not borne out reality. But that's no defense because people heard these statements, including Fair Fight. And we know that the defendants challenged over 250,000 voters in 65 counties. That's not contested.

And, finally, defendants will tell you that their actions are protected by the First Amendment. But we know that true threats and defamatory statements are not entitled to First Amendment protection. And that's true even when such statements appear in a petition. Defamatory speech or threats do not receive heightened protection simply because they are made in a filing.

The evidence will show that defendants continuously ignored the substantial risk that their challenge list targeted eligible voters and that True the Vote's threats would result in intimidation. And the evidence will show that
defendants knew ahead of time that their challenges would probably be rejected.

And in any event, neither True the Vote, nor defendant Ms. Engelbrecht, were engaged in any lawful petition activity, because they're not Georgia residents who are authorized to challenge Georgia voters. They're from Texas.

At the end of this trial, we'll ask the Court to consider all of these circumstances surrounding defendants' activities. Because they illustrate, one, why defendants are not entitled to First Amendment protection; and, two, they provide important context for defendants' actions.

That context, that eviderice will show, that True the Vote and Catherine Engelbrecht launched the Validate the Vote program originating as a sheme to overturn the 2020 election results. Continually publicized false claims of voter fraud in a politically-charged climate. Sought to align themselves with the Trump campaign, and embarked on a crusade to subvert the election apparatus in Georgia. Secured a $\$ 2 \mathrm{million}$ donation from a funder, the largest single donation, after promising to change the outcome -- or offering to change the outcome of the presidential election.

Then after that failed, after True the Vote failed to deliver on that promise, they immediately turned their attention to Georgia and Validate the Vote was rebranded Validate the Vote Georgia.

That their funder tried to recover this $\$ 2$ million, and accused them of using his money for baseless challenges in Georgia. That they announced a $\$ 1$ million bounty on fraud. That they called heightened monitoring of polling places and drop boxes. And they joined forces with the remaining individual defendants to join -- to launch the largest mass challenge effort in Georgia history. And that those challenge were riddled with errors, erroneous, and worse yet intimidating.

In the end, we'11 ask the Court to find that defendants' reckless intimidating acousations have consequences. That false statemerits have consequences. And that they violated Section $11(\xi)$ of the Voting Rights Act. Importantly, we'll ask the Court to grant relief that vindicates the rights of the brave individuals and the Georgia residents who have been targeted unlawfully and whose only offense is that they dared to vote.

We thank the Court for its time and we look forward to presenting our case.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
Mr. Wynne, you may proceed with your opening.
MR. POWELL: Cameron Powell.
THE COURT: Hold on one section. You may proceed.
MR. POWELL: I am, Your Honor.
May it please the Court, counsel.

Now, I'm here to share a very different reality. Sitting here today, we can't really recreate what it was like to be in the state of Georgia in November of 2020, but we may cast our minds back to a time of absolute pandaemonium, of an era-defining pandemic, people on lockdowns, a maelstrom of confusion and vitriol unprecedented in the state's history. A presidential candidate who's claiming he's been defrauded of the election in several states, including Georgia.

An historic runoff race has been scheduled in not one but both of Georgia's Senate races. Wild conspiracy theories begin to pollute the marketplace of ideas. Bogus reports of 60,000 underage voters, shootouts in German warehouses, even Italian espionage.

Now, Georgians' government is telling them everything is fine. Defendants' own eyes are telling them a perfect storm is brewing. The government is sending out 6.9 million, unsolicited and, therefore, confusing, absentee ballot request forms. And to make matters worse, they're sending them to voter registration addresses the defendants knew had been getting stale since April 2019.

Defendants' concerns now are based on one overriding value: Everything is better when people vote in the right place. But the NVRA won't allow the State itself to try a new remedy within 90 days of an election. Is there no solution? Defendants saw that there was one, Section 230, which empowers

Georgia voters to do the job.
So defendants, each uniquely and competently attuned to election administration, decide to exercise their First Amendment right to petition, to associate and to speak, to take action they know will help clean voter rolls in a seismic election where 18 -month old voter rolls had been frozen from any updating for five months, as of January 2021.

That's how they began to walk a responsible middle path between the lunacy of the conspiracy theories thickening the air and the Georgia government saying, no, no, everything is fine.

Let's start with Mark Davis, one of five defendants, who barely made an appearance in plaintiffs' narrative. Sitting in his office, where he spent 30 years making sure tens of millions of mailings get to Georgia voters. 30 years crawling around inside the machinery of the National Change of Address registry and the Georgia voting file.

And for decades he's been worried about what he's seeing in the data. Many people were not living where they were registered to vote. For decades he's been a lonely voice in the wilderness, talking to and consulting with Georgia Secretary of State and its legislature. People are moving, he tells them, and they're not updating their voter registration or even driver's licenses. And then they're going back to their old precinct to vote, to vote for tax increases they

1 will never pay, to vote for sheriffs and school board candidates outside their new communities, to vote for U.S. representatives who won't be representing them, to vote for someone else's mayor, and to cancel out someone else's vote for city council.

Mr. Davis is no rogue. He's been behind historical election contest challenges that have highlighted holes in Georgia's election administration that ultimately were corrected by county election administers, the Secretary of State and the Georgia legislature. One case where he showed off his command of the laws and the data of Georgia and its voter files was the case that's kriown as Gasaway 1, where his analyses forced Habersham County to admit it had inappropriately districted nundreds of voters.

But even bigger was Gasaway 2, where then Representative Dan Gasaway overturned an election against him in 2018. In the second election, he finished just two votes short because of ineligible voters. With Mr. Davis' expert, Representative Gasaway discovered that more than 30 days before the election, voters had moved out of the voting district where they voted. Mr. Davis found some voters who had lived outside their county for years. And others lived side by side as neighbors, and yet voted in different counties and House districts.

Ultimately, Mr. Davis's work in Gasaway 2 discovered

1 a hole in election administration that can only be corrected 2 by citizen eligibility challenges.

The NVRA says elector lists must be frozen for 90 days before an election. That doesn't mesh with the Georgia Election Code, at least as far as updating addresses, which provides that voters must live in a county in which they vote for 30 days before an election. Meaning, if they have moved away between 30 and 90 days before the election, they become ineligible to vote. But the State has no toois to ensure that they find out they're ineligible in time for anyone to do anything about it.

Mr. Davis believes this 60 -day gap is a hole in the law. He believes Senior Judge David Sweat agreed with him in Gasaway 2. He heard the juidge reason that the only way to plug the hole in the law is for citizens to use individual eligibility challenges.

Now, the problem of the hole in the law becomes accentuated in the Georgia Senate runoff. The first Senate election took place on November 3, 2020. The voter files have been frozen for 90 days before that, or since August 3rd, 2020. But by the time of the Senate runoff in January 5, 2021, the already stale voter file had not been updated at all for five months.

So Mark Davis, a man as knowledgeable as anyone on the NCOA and the mobility of Georgia voters, did an
independent analysis that led to credible voter challenges, even if not one of those challenges can be proven to have reached any plaintiff or voter. Not one.

Now, Derek Somerville had just spent 2019 as a tireless citizen investigator who, on his own time and his own dime, single handedly and in painstaking detail, exposed the full extent of the Georgia Speaker of the House's abuse of legislative continuances to prevent his own criminal defendant clients from ever having to go to trial.

Mr. Somerville is also concerné about the historic number of unsolicited absentee ballots their Secretary of State is sending to voters who have moved out of their county any time since April 2019. And Mr. Somerville has been talking to his friend, defiendant Ron Johnson, for weeks about their concerns with the voter rolls.

Mr. Somerㅊille, being a patriotic and idealistic veteran of the farine Corps and the FBI, wants to navigate a path between conspiracy and vitriol, on one hand, and obsolete voter files on the other. And now Mr. Somerville sees that he's found another cause worthy of his considerable energies.

Now, when Mr. Somerville and Mr. Davis meet soon after on a conference call devoted to election integrity, they realize they're kindred spirits. They both care about people voting in the right place, and they share values about using data, following the data dispassionately, and avoiding the
hyperbole.
Mr. Somerville is eager to work with Mr. Davis, for reasons I have explained, and because he's honest and he cares. Mr. Davis is over the moon that someone is listening to him, listening to his concerns. And he's excited by the fact that Mr. Somerville has spent decades in quantitative analysis, as a business executive, and as an FBI agent investigating and poking holes in data. Mr. Davis wants Mr. Somerville to give an independent confirmation of his analysis.

They decide to analyze the state of the Georgia voter file. Within days, Mr. Somervilpe confirms Mr. Davis' fears. People are telling the U.S. Postal Service they're moving out of their counties, but thej're not updating their voter registrations.

These two men know they can get a platform to talk about what they ve found. And so they began to post their findings on Facebook, and people start to get engaged at least. Not just ordinary citizens, but the Deputy Secretary of State, the Deputy Chief of Investigations for the Secretary of State, and countless state legislators. Things are beginning to pop.

Meanwhile, defendant Catherine Engelbrecht, president of the nonprofit defendant True the Vote, has the same concerns. She founded True the Vote in 2010 to pursue

1 election integrity. And she worries that absentee ballot requests are being sent to voters who have moved out of their counties, a voter registration. She worries the combination of inaccurate voter rolls, freely available absentee ballots, and an experimental use of unmonitored drop boxes on a large scale could create unprecedented risks. So she leaps into action.

Her True the Vote has filed manual residency challenges since 2010. She asked longtime coileague in the field Gregg Phillips of OPSEC to look into what can be done to ensure people are voting in the right county. She understands Mr. Phillips has been using NCOA in his businesses and developing methods to resolve people's identity for years. She's also aware of the tcist with which the NCOA is regarded, because a business sheco-owns uses it constantly.

They decide to compare the NCOA against the Georgia voter file to see which Georgia voters have filed permanent changes of address out of their counties. The resulting half million or so records will be the foundation of the list of voter records that they propose might be used to petition boards of election to look into after they first remove some categories of records from the NCOA file to arrive at about 364,000 names in their spreadsheets.

Ms. Engelbrecht meets personally with Georgia's Secretary of State and his deputies. She tells him of her
plans to challenge voters who have moved out of county. She understands him to agree with the need to do so. She understands that he already uses the NCOA to predict who should be asked to confirm their residence. She tells them all, everyone in the meeting, of True the Vote's plans to use the NCOA to facilitate residency petitions at scale, and not one of them questions or even suggests improvements to any part of her plan.

What's more, True the Vote's lawyerCalso tells her that what she is trying to do is reasonale under the law.

In the end, challenges are submitted to 65 counties based on True the Vote's challenge 1ist. These counties include most of the most populous urban counties in Georgia, which in turn have higher ilinority populations. So we would expect that the percertage of, say, African Americans would be higher in True the vote's 65-county challenge list than the 30 percent of African Americans in the Georgia voter file. And yet, True the Vote's challenge file was only 27.3 percent African Americans, as Dr. Mayer confirms at page 26 of his report.

Well, all the counties at this point have been threatened with lawsuits if they accept any challenges. And only a handful accept the challenges they're given. In the end, not a single voter negatively affected by those challenges is squarely before the Court.

Counsel for plaintiffs just now began dozens of sentences with the refrain "they challenged voters who." But plaintiff hasn't identified any of those voters, which allows us to conclude plaintiffs really mean, defendants had spreadsheets sitting around with names in them -- a most metaphysical harm.

Now, Ron Johnson, still talking frequently to Mr. Somerville about voters not voting in the right county, hears of True the Vote conducting challenges and he introduces Mr. Somerville to True the Voté.

Mr. Johnson has filed residency challenges before with success. And so he volunteres to use True the Vote's records to file a residency petition in his own county. His petition will also reach (10) voter known before the Court.

James Cooper ${ }^{71}$ so decides to associate with True the Vote and fellow citizens to find interested petitioners. And he, too, doesn't submit any petition that reaches any voter before this Court.

Mark Williams of Gwinnett County is a printer. He was initially hired to help print when they thought they had to submit challenges in paper form. He helps True the Vote find a few petitioners in various counties. He volunteers to submit a petition and it reaches no voter before the Court.

So everything is better when people vote in the right place. We will hear testimony about defendants' lawful public
spirited goals for their speech and their petitions -- not conduct. It's all speech and petitions. And we'11 hear how they surprisingly were successful in reaching many of those fairly ambitious goals.

As they prepared their challenges, defendants Engelbrecht, Davis, and Somerville spoke publically on the issues of election integrity and responsible voter list maintenance before citizen groups, the Georgia legislature, on social media, and in podcasts.

Defendants did successfully get the attention of the Georgia Secretary of State, county boerds of election, the Georgia State Legislature, and the national media. Mr. Davis, boasting that decades-long reputation as an expert in Georgia's voter files, was invited to testify to the Georgia State Legislature aboyt his and Mr. Somerville's findings.

Mr. Someryille met with citizens groups to explain why everything is better when people vote in the right place. And he frequently batted down the conspiracy theories they threw at them.

Defendants Engelbrecht and Davis engaged journalists who wrote articles on Georgia's voter rolls. Mr. Somerville also met with several state senators and representatives, many of whom he already knew, and no fewer than seven of them submitted challenges.

Their Banks County petitioner, former Representative

1 Dan Gasaway, who knew Georgia election law and the community 2 he represented like the back of his hand, and who informed 3 Mr . Somerville he considered every challenger on his list.

Did those efforts have an impact? Even beyond educating the right people, defendants' efforts to stand in the Secretary of State's shoes and generate challenges of scale without limitation, was codified in Senate Bill 202, now a part of Section 230, which makes clear there's no limit on the number of challenges a voter may file with the board of election.

Now, before I turn to the Court's totality of circumstances test for this case, allow me to address the Court's concerns about causation with respect to defendants' speech.

There's really no evidence showing either a voter or a plaintiff knew of the various banal statements plaintiffs have complained of appearing in distant corners of the Internet. The fact that Fair Fight's attorneys managed to find them, and they're not Georgia voters, is not of much moment.

With respect to defendants' Section 230 petitions, there is no evidence defendants caused the challenges to plaintiff person or the two non-plaintiff voters, Ms. Stinetorf, and Mr. Turner. Those challenges were made by Alton Russell who was working with no defendant.

He did get on True the Vote's list of potential challengers, and then he filed his own challenge days before True the Vote began filing any, using his own letterhead, FedExing it, instead of using True the Vote's address, not using their template, and challenging a completely different list of people almost ten times as large. This is not -- this is not the same challenger who had any relationship with True the Vote or with the other defendants.

But the Court will also hear no causation of Ms. Heredia's alleged intimidation by petition. Both sets of defendants did submit challenges against her in Banks County because she'd filed a permanent chiange of address. The plaintiffs' own exhibit from thie County shows other parties submitted challenges in Batiks as well. There's no indication which of those many challenges were directed at Ms. Heredia or which the board accepted.

So plaintiffs will not be able to prove which of the several challengers caused Banks County to challenge Ms. Heredia's residency. In other words, plaintiffs will not prove the required chain of custody for a challenge submitted by any defendant being the particular challenge that was accepted by the board of election that somehow then reached Ms. Heredia.

Ms. Heredia is like a person who has tripped over something in a dark room with other people in it. And when
the lights are turned on, she points at the first people she sees. Those people are the defendants, but they weren't the only people in the room.

Now, the Court has indicated it will use a totality of the circumstances standard that will look at evidence of six factual factors. The relevance, the proximity of defendants' challenges to the runoff, the frivolity of the challenges made, the intent to target specific voters or demographics of voters, the bounty or legal defense fund created to incentivize challengers, the Cecruitment of Navy SEALs to guard or work polling places, and the publication of challenged voters' names. That's virtually verbatim from the Court's order.

Now, regarding the proximity of asking, merely asking boards of elections toconsider asking challenged voters to confirm and update their addresses and vote in the right county, many defendants will explain their understanding that even close to an election, Section 230 and DOJ guidance both expressly allow Georgia's voters to submit petitions to boards of election to look into whether challenged voters needed to update their addresses. No one was getting removed from anything.

Indeed, given the State's hands were tied by the NVRA's 90-day blackout period, several defendants were well aware Section 230 citizen challenges were the only options
available.
Regarding the alleged frivolity, defendants will explain why they believe their interpretations of Section 230 and reliance on the NCOA were well grounded. They'11 explain their understanding that the legal standard in Georgia's Section 230 did not require them to submit anything amounting to probable cause that they had to identify as such. They had to submit a writing and a grounds for the challenge.

The defendants will explain their beilief that the standard for the petitions was to raise legitimate questions that were not baseless and made for an unlawful purpose. And they knew those questions would reach voters, if at all, only through the absolute firewall of county boards of election.

Most defendants aiready knew that when states have to identify with accuracy voters who have moved permanently, the NCOA is accurate enough to be a, quote, reasonable effort, unquote, under the NVRA, even for the state's more serious purpose of possible removal of voters from the list.

In addition, many defendants had personal experience with mass mailings and with the NCOA registry in the context of mass mailing that gave them a reliable sense of its predictive power. On average, about what percent of the time does a permanent move filing with the U.S. Postal Service, followed by e-mail and text verifications sent by the Postal Service, did you really mean to send this, followed by please

1 give us a credit card so we can confirm your identity, what percentage of the time does that predict that that person will actually move away permanently?

Well, the missing data in this case is plaintiffs don't have a percentage. They can't show why it was unreasonable to rely on this tool that mass mailers used to send out hundreds of millions of pieces of mail a month.

Now, defendants did not attempt an individualized inquiry for the most part. Some of the petitioners did and for good reasons. When boards of election determine residence, which they do under Section 21-2-217(a), they see 15 numbered items just about the rules for determining residency. And in subsection (b), they see 14 high1y personal pieces of information they believe no citizen should be using to investigate another citizen: Applicant's financial independence, business pursuits, employment, income sources, residence for income tax purposes, age, marital status, residence of parents, spouse, children, if any, leaseholds, site of personal and real property owned by the applicant, motor vehicle, and other property registration, and more.

Defendants will explain their belief that if a citizen challenger were to try to investigate any of these things, let alone a combination of them, it would likely be considered invasive, as stalking, even as intimidation. Defendants had no obligation to do amateur hour stakeouts at

1 voter's former homes or show up at their kid's schools, and they didn't. They used an NCOA tool with a high degree of accuracy in predicting that moves marked permanent were permanent. And then they submitted it to a board of elections to make further inquiry into that situation.

Now, regarding the allegation of targeting, the third factual factor in the Court's analysis, there is a lot of evidence we won't hear in this case about racial or any other demographic targeting. As I've already eluded, we won't hear any defendant considered race in which voters who filed permanent changes of addresses to keep their NCOA-based challenge list. We won't hear andefendant considered race in that process. We won't hear that any defendant considered race regarding which county to submit challenges.

As to the bounty, a/k/a legal defense fund, we won't hear any credible evidence that Ms. Engelbrecht's malapropism about bounties, a term stuck in her mind since she'd learned of the IRS's bounty program, reached a single voter. We won't hear from plaintiffs why, when her very next sentence made absolutely clear she was talking about a whistleblower fund. It would be reasonable for her single non-reckless utterance to make anyone feel intimidated about voting.

And, of course, disappointingly, we won't get to hear testimony from any Navy SEALs. Instead, we'11 hear the same silence about the intimidating potential of Ms. Engelbrecht's
also solitary mention of Navy SEALs during a private presentation. This was not available to voters. This was available only to attorneys doing some extreme due diligence.

In that closed-door presentation, when she explained a slide's text that said veterans and first responders, she added aloud a more specific call-out to the Navy SEALs for a True the Vote colleague, Ed Hiner, who was at that very moment a former Navy SEAL. Nobody heard that, and that's really all there is to that.

Regarding the publications of c'á̂llenged voters' names, we will not hear any evidence that any defendants' actions, in the Court's words on Summary judgment, directly or through means of a third party they direct, published any voters' names or threatened to. Nor will we hear any credible evidence that a tweet trom the anonymous account Crusade for Freedom came directiy from or was directed by any defendant or that it was seen by any voter, let alone a plaintiff.

Now, the plaintiffs have discussed the idea of there being no intent requirement. And I think what they're missing is that Section $11(b)$ cases, perhaps without exception, all involve conduct. And this case involves speech and it involves petitions. So it's already different.

Counsel also mentioned toward the end that the Court will need to find reckless intimidation, and that's something we would agree with. Pure speech, certainly after Counterman,
requires proof of mens rea, a reckless disregard for the consequences that each utterance could well intimidate, not just some group listening to a Navy SEAL presentation in a private room in California, but a voter sitting in Georgia.

And the First Amendment requires that petitions to intimidate must have baseless grounds and an unlawful purpose, even for attempted intimidation, you've got to show someone was making an attempt. And that attempt was directed at Georgia voters. We won't hear any of that, Your Honor. And we're looking forward to getting started on it.

Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you, Sif.
You may call your first witness, plaintiff.
MS. LAWRENCE-HAROY: Thank you, Your Honor.
Plaintiffs call Cianti Stewart-Reid.
THE COURT All right.

CIANTI STEWART-REID,
having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE DEPUTY CLERK: State and spell your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Sure. My name is Cianti Stewart-Reid, C-i-a-n-t-i, S-t-e-w-a-r-t, hyphen, R-e-i-d.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

1 BY MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY:
2 Q. Good morning, Ms. Stewart-Reid.
3 A. Good morning.
4 Q. Are you here to testify today on behalf of Fair Fight,
5 Inc., one of the plaintiffs in this case?
6 A. Yes, I am.
7 Q. And what is your role at Fair Fight, Inc.?
8 A. I'm executive director.
9 Q. When did you become the organization's executive
10 director?
11 A. In 2021.
12 Q. And have you held any other positions with Fair Fight?
13 A. Yes. Immediately prior 1 was managing director.
14 Q. Would you please teld the Court a bit about Fair Fight?
15 What type of organization it is, et cetera.
16 A. Sure. So our work is voter empowerment and voter
17 motivation and supporting candidates who are pro voter and pro 18 democracy.

19 Q. And, Ms. Stewart-Reid, because the Court may be familiar with you testifying in other matters, I'd like you to clarify, you're here on behalf of Fair Fight, Inc., correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And when you refer to the voter education empowerment work, what types of activities go into that work?
A. Sure. So it's things like direct voter contact, so

1 calling voters, texting voters about upcoming elections and 2 why they should participate. It's sending mail to them. It's 3 digital ads and TV ads and just making sure they know when, 4 where, and how to vote.

5 Q. Where does Fair Fight conduct this voter empowerment 6 work?

7 A. We're a national organization, but our primary focus is
A. I do. I live in Atlanta.

11 Q. What drew you to work at the orgenization?

19 A. I am incredibly proud of the work that we do to help here in Georgia.
Q. And do you live in Georgia?
A. I worked in policy and advocicy for a while, and I wanted to come somewhere that I coula sort of bring all those things that I was working on togetner. A place -- I don't think that we're going to make any of the changes we want in this country if our democracy doesn't work, and so this was a place that was making sure our democracy worked.
Q. What about Fair Fight, Inc.'s work resonates with you? Georgians and to help voters who come to us and rely on us for help. It's a big task and a humbling task. And I'm incredibly proud of the advocacy that we're doing every day to make sure people are able to participate in our democracy.
Q. Ms. Stewart-Reid, would you please share a bit more of your background? Let's start with the issue advocacy and
policy work that you referenced.
2 A. Sure. So I -- immediately prior to this job, I worked as the vice president of Community and Youth Engagement at Truth

4 Initiative. Before that, I was executive director at Planned
5 Parenthood Advocates of Virginia and Planned Parenthood
6 Virginia PAC. And before that, I was executive director of
7 the House Democratic Caucus in Virginia and assistant director 8 of the Nevada Assembly Democratic Caucus.

9 Q. And what type of organization is the Truth Initiative?

14 Q. What learnings have you taken from your experience in

17 A. Sure. So think I know what it takes to be an effective
A. It's the largest public education campaign focused on getting youth and young adults to reject tobacco.
Q. So the truth about the effectis of tobacco?
A. That's right. policy work to your election and voter empowerment work at Fair Fight, Inc.? advocate. And what issues are particularly important to voters, particularly to voters of color that we serve at Fair Fight. And, also, the sort of levers it takes to make policy change in state legislatures and -- across the country. Q. Would you briefly share your educational background, please.
A. Sure. I have an undergraduate degree from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. I have a law degree from

1 Western Michigan's Thomas Cooley School of Law. And I have a 2 masters in public health from George Washington University.

3 Q. And what are your duties as executive director of Fair
4 Fight?
5 A. I'm responsible for overseeing of course the senior 6 staff, for setting the organizational budget, for setting the 7 original vision angles.

8 Q. And when you arrived at Fair Fight, how did you prepare
9 to assume these leadership responsibilities?
10 A. Well, in the beginning, as I was coning on board, there 11 was reviewing sort of historical documents, work plans and 12 team budgets. All of the program directors reported to me. 13 So meeting with the program directors about what they had been A. Yes, I did.
Q. Since your onboarding how have you maintained your understanding of the various programs at the different levels in your organization?
A. So moving into this role as executive director was about more the organizational budget, the organizational historical documents, end-of-year reports, prospectuses, all the sort of higher level organizational level items that Fair Fight needs and creating those documents for our future.

1 Q. And do you possess now a thorough understanding of the 2 organization's programming and activities?

3 A. Yes, I do.
4 Q. Have you also learned about activities of the
5 organizations prior to your arrival?
6 A. Yes, I have.
7 Q. Why was it important that you learn about the past
8 activities when you arrived?
9 A. Well, if you're going to be a good leader, you've got to
10 know where you've gone, been, and to know where you're going.
11 And I talked to a lot of stakeholders and the media and lots
12 of people about the work that Faje Fight does. And so it -- I
A. Sure. So that voter empowerment and motivating work, so getting people out to vote, so contacting them through direct voter contacts, like calls and texts, ads, mail, all the activities to make sure people could get -- would get out and vote.
Q. And did these activities align with Fair Fight's purpose?

1 A. Yes.
2 Q. And what about after the November 2020 election, did that 3 conclude Fair Fight's work for that election cycle?

4 A. No, it did not, because there would be a runoff in
5 January.
6 Q. Let's pause here for a moment before we get to the
7 runoff. And if you would, please, tell us about your impression of the environment in Georgia following the 2020 general election.
A. Well, it was a crazy time. So theré were -- there were statements about there being electionfraud -- rampant election fraud across the country We were aware of election workers who were being docked so their personal, private information was being made public.

We were still in the midst of the pandemic. This was before vaccines were wildly available for people. And then Georgia was the focus of the country because these two Senate races were going to determine the makeup of the U.S. Senate. Q. And in light of all of that happening in the environment, how did Fair Fight plan to engage with voters for the U.S. Senate runoff elections?
A. We planned to do similar activities to the ones we had done in November. So directly contacting voters, mail, digital ads. Again, making sure people knew when, where, and how to participate.

1 Q. And was Fair Fight able to carry out its activity as
2 planned?
3 A. No we were not. We did as much as we could, but we had 4 to respond to the activities of True the Vote.

5 Q. And can you provide more detail about the organization's 6 concerns as it relates to True the Vote?

7 A. Yes. So there were a number of concerns. The first was 8 that they were offering this bounty of a million dollars for 9 voter fraud. That they were setting up a voter fraud reporting hotine. That they were going to recruit Navy SEALs and others to monitor absentee ballot drop boxes. And then, of course, the hundreds of thousarids of voter challenges. Q. And how did Fair Fight learn about True the Vote and its activity in Georgia?
A. Through public statements that they made.

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Your Honor, if I may approach the witness and the Court?

THE COURT: Yes.
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: I have some exhibits for you.
THE COURT: Yes, please.
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Thank you.
MR. WYNNE: May I have a copy?
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Absolutely.
MR. WYNNE: Thank you. Appreciate it.
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Give me one moment.

MR. EVANS: Oh, great. I have one for you also.

4 BY MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY:
5 Q. Ms. Stewart-Reid, I'd like you to please look at the 6 first document in your binder. It's marked as Plaintiff's 7 Exhibit 35. MR. WYNNE: Thank you. Thank you very much.
A. Yes.
Q. Can you identify this document?
A. Yes. This is a press rerelease from True the Vote on December 14, 2020, where they say that they're going to work with the Georgia Republican Parto various activities in advance of the runoff.

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: At this time, Your Honor, plaintiffs offer Exhibit 35 into evidence.

THE COURT Any objection?
MR. POWELL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. It's admitted without
objection.
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 35 was received and marked into evidence.)

BY MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY:
Q. Ms. Stewart-Reid, what concerned Fair Fight about the announcement contained in Exhibit 35?

1 A. Well, this is where they say they're going to do the 2 fraud hotline. That they're going to be monitoring absentee ballot drop boxes. And they say other activities. And we 4 thought those activities -- we believed these activities were monitoring initiatives?

8 A. Right. We were concerned that these activities would be
9 considered -- would potentially intimidate yoters from participating in the process or even showing up at all to vote. And so we thought these activities were -- they were frightening to us, as we were thịiking about how to get people to participate, and seemed threatening to us -- and were threatening to us as well and thought that they would be for voters.
Q. And do you know how the timing of this release that we see in Exhibit 35 coincided with early voting? same day as the first day of early voting?

21 A. That's correct.
A. Yes. This is a press release from True the Vote from December 18, 2020, where they state that they are going to
challenge 364,000 voters across Georgia.
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: And at this time, Your Honor, plaintiffs move to offer Exhibit 42 into evidence.

MR. POWELL: No objection.
THE COURT: It's admitted without objection.
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Thank you.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 42 was received and marked into evidence.)

BY MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY:
Q. Ms. Stewart-Reid, looking at Exhibit 42, can you tell the Court what concerned Fair Fight about this announcement? A. Yes. Again, we were particharly concerned that -particularly so close to the eiection and this vast number of challenges, that people woild be intimidated from participating in the process and would feel threatened. We definitely found this frightening and threatening that so many voters were being challenged.
Q. And did Fair Fight have information that voters were actually intimidated by True the Vote's actions?
A. Yes, we were con -- we were being contacted by voters who were being challenged who told us that they felt this activity was threatening.
Q. And how did Fair Fight respond to what it was hearing and reading about True the Vote?
A. We launched a number of activities in response. We first
started to try to find the challenge list themselves. Then we were analyzing those lists and contacting those voters to make sure they had resources available. We were working with local boards of election that were trying to respond.

THE COURT: How'd you get the list?
THE WITNESS: Well, we were -- we'd have to send staff or send volunteers to some of these board of elections meetings because they're not all, like, online.

THE COURT: So you got them from the board of elections?

THE WITNESS: Some from the board of elections, yes, directly and from -- and at the mgetings. So some of them were e-mailed to us, like, from the boards of elections and others were like --

THE COURT: Did you get any from any other source other than board colections?

THE WITNESS: From -- not that I'm aware of.
THE COURT: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Sure.
BY MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY:
Q. What other types of activities did Fair Fight engage in to respond to what it was hearing and reading about True the Vote's activities?
A. We were also keeping an eye on the activities through monitoring other public statements to see what they were doing

1 about these other activities that they said they were participating in.
Q. Can you tell us a little bit about how you interacted with counties, as the Court was just asking you about, to get this information?
A. Sure. So we were -- we have relationship with some of the county board staff, and so we were e-mailing and they -we were -- they were sometimes e-mailing us directly to say here's the list. And also we would take volunteers who would have been doing other stuff and sending them in person to the meetings, because they're not always you know, online or available online, and so we would send them out so they could be there to collect the list and see what happened at the meetings.
Q. And then did Fair Fight contact voters who were on the challenge lists to help them navigate the process?
A. That's rigint. To -- so they knew that they had resources available if they were challenged.
Q. So you just named a number of things Fair Fight did in response to True the Vote's activities. Let's take a moment to really break those down into a little more detail. Let's start with the work of collecting the challenge lists. You said that you had both staff and volunteers involved in that effort?
A. That's right.

1 Q. Can you describe how you were able to staff that
2 particular function across the state?
3 A. Sure. So we had people from our organizing team, our
4 research team, our voter protection team, who were all trying
5 to find -- get the lists of people. And, also, then deploying
6 volunteers to be at counties where they were having meetings
7 to, like, try to collect the list and bring them back so we
8 knew who was on them.
9 Q. And what was it about the collection process that required such intense resources from so many different places 11 in your organization?

12 A. Well, the number of challenges was unprecedented. It was 13 in, like -- so it was in so many counties and it was all, you 14 know, really close to the holidays also, so it was trying to

23 A. Sure. So their job is recruit volunteers and then have phone banks, text banks, manage those. So really the work of talking to individual people to get them out to vote.

1 Q. And when the organizing department instead became
2 involved in collecting challenge lists, how did that impact
3 its ability to do direct voter contact and lead those
4 initiatives?
5 A. Sure. So the volunteers that would have been spending time calling people were then deployed to these counties to pick up the -- to try to find these challenge lists. And the staff who would have been overseeing those activities of recruiting volunteers and holding those phone banks, they were then also spending time getting these cha!lenge lists instead. Q. You also said that the research staff was involved in collecting challenge lists. How aid the research staff have their work impacted -- what would they have been doing normally in the runoffs hed True the Vote's activities not come to your attention?
A. So, as I said, you know, it was a crazy time. And so there was a lot of disinformation happening, particularly in Georgia, leading up to the runoff. And so they would have been working on responding to that disinformation so that voters were still able to participate in the election. Q. And you also referenced voter protection. If voter protection had not dedicated time and effort to collecting challenge lists, what would it have been doing instead?
A. Yeah. They would have been monitoring the lines, particularly if there were long 1 ines happening at poling

1 locations. They would have been help going folks navigate the 2 absentee ballot process.

3 Q. Was the voter protection team about to carry out that
4 work for the runoff?
5 A. Were they about to?
6 Q. Were they able to carry out that work for the runoff?
7 A. No. They were spending time collecting challenge lists
8 and responding to the activities of True the Vote.
9 Q. And we talked about what staff did to coilect the
10 challenge lists and you've referenced, of course, volunteers.
11 Can you speak more specifically about how volunteers were 12 engaged by the organization?

13 A. Sure. So we were literadiy sending volunteers to, like, 14 a county to say, go -- we ifeed -- we know there are challenge 15 voters there, please 90 get the list and send them to us so we 16 know who these pecple are who are being challenged.

17 Q. And did Fair Fight originally plan to have volunteers 18 attending board meetings to monitor for challenges in the 19 runoffs?
A. Absolutely not.

21 Q. And what did Fair Fight plan to have its volunteers do

23 A. We want them on the phones and texting people and calling them to make sure that they show up to this runoff election. Q. So were Fair Fight's volunteers still able to do the

1 voter contact the organization had planned?
2 A. Not in the way that we had planned, no.
3 Q. In addition to collecting challenge list, you mentioned
4 that Fair Fight also responded to True the Vote by contacting 5 voters who had been challenged. What was your goal in that 6 effort?

7 A. It was really about making sure they knew they had

1 were, in addition to seeking information from the counties 2 about these lists that they had been receiving, you were 3 seeking to be a source of support for them as well?

4 A. That's correct.
5 Q. How did your staff's efforts to support counties with the 6 challenge process impact the other work that was planned in 7 your organization?

8 A. Well, those departments would have been doing their other 9 activities, and instead of doing them, they were responding to

11 Q. You also noted that one of the primary activities became 12 staying on top of True the Vote's activities and plans.

13 A. That's correct.
14 Q. How did Fair Fight accomplish that goal?
15 A. We had research staff who were monitoring the public 16 statements and other things that True the Vote was saying 17 about the activities that they had planned.

18 Q. And what activities, specifically, was the research
19 department interested in monitoring?
A. We were monitoring the bounty and, like, how that would be carried out. And this effort around the Navy SEALs and absentee ballot monitoring, sort of when, where, how these people would be deployed and what that would look like.
Q. Why did Fair Fight choose to use its resources for this monitoring?

1 A. Well, we thought that the -- these activities were
2 frightening and threatening for voters and we wanted to make 3 sure we understood how they were being deployed.

4 Q. If research staff had not been monitoring True the Vote's
5 announcements and activities what would the research staff
6 have been doing instead?
7 A. Again, they would have been working on misinformation

9 between the -- before the runoff election.

16 A. We spent more funds promoting the voter protection
A. That's correct.
Q. And what was the purpose of the voter protection hotine as you understood it?

23 A. Yeah. So if you encounter a problem while you're trying to vote, you can call them and it's staffed by lawyers and trained attorneys who help you navigate your problem. And so

1 we felt like this was a good resource for the people who had 2 been challenged and if they were encountering problems when 3 they were trying to vote, that they could call the voter 4 protection hotline.

5 Q. Why did Fair Fight increase its spending on the hotine?
6 A. Well, there were all these activities that True the Vote
7 had said they were going to do, the bounty and the absentee
A. Absolutely they are.

25
Q. You've described numerous actions the organization took

1 in response to the activity of True the Vote. How did that 2 shift in activity affect the organization mission of voter 3 education and empowerment?

4 A. Yeah. So when you're trying to get people out to vote in mostly people of color, sometimes low -- younger people, it's

7 hard to get them to show up in the first place. And then to

19 A. So we send volunteers to the counties and they advocate for things like expanded voting hours and they also monitor for challenges.
Q. And what resources has Fair Fight allocated to the Democracy Watch program?
A. So there are staff time. So there is a full-time staff person who oversees Democracy Watch. There's an organizer who

1 helps recruit volunteers and help them show up. There are 2 staff who are helping write talking points and meeting with 3 volunteers to report back and track and monitor the challenges 4 that we collect.

5 Q. And the most important part is you have a lot of
6 volunteers as well.
7 A. 400 volunteers participate in Democracy Watch.
8 Q. And apart from Democrat Watch, are there any other
9 programs or initiatives Fair Fight is engaged in since the

11 A. We monitor True the Vote's activities with our research 12 department because we are aware that they plan to relaunch a

17 A. My understanding it's a website, web tool, where you can 18 look up people in your county and it facilitates you more easily challenging voters.
Q. And to file mass challenges; correct?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. And what is it that Fair Fight is doing with respect to IV3?
A. We have our research team tracking and making sure -like when is it going to launch and is it being used. So we

1 have our research team monitoring that activity.
2 Q. And why has Fair Fight decided to devote resources to 3 monitoring this activity?

4 A. Well, we're incredibly concerned about True the Vote's challenges, we can respond to them because we think that they
Q. Ms. Stewart-Reid, as the executive director of Fair Fight, have you considered how the organization's activities may change if Fair Fight prevails in this case?
A. Yes.

15 A. Sure. I would love to spend more time researching what's
Q. Can you tell us how? important to Black voters, to get them motivated to turn out, particularly in this time when there is so much disinformation, like, what is it going to take to make sure people can show up. And, also, what are the motivating messages to make sure people can show up. And making sure that we are talking to people all the time, not -- you know, we try to talk to people as much as we can, but talking to them more through ads and digital ads and mail so they're always hearing from us about what's important and why it's important to be engaged. And we would definitely do more of previous challenges. We found them threatening. We believe -- we want to make sure that there are other are threatening to -- we find them threatening and think they are to voters too.

1 that if we didn't have spend our time on this.
2 Q. Could you spend more time growing your volunteer base?
3 A. Absolutely.
4 Q. And how would you redirect your volunteer efforts if Fair
5 Fight prevails in this case?
6 A. Yeah. I think we definitely would spend more time
7 directly talking to voters. Again, it can take multiple

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Tihank you, Ms. Stewart-Reid.
Your Honor, I tender the witness.
THE COURT: Let's take a break here before we have the cross-examination. We've been going for about an hour and a half. Let's Eake a 15 -minutes break. We'11 start back at ten to 11:00. Thank you.
(A break was taken from 10:33 a.m. unti1 10:50 a.m.)
THE COURT: Counse1, you may proceed with your cross-examination.

MR. POWELL: I'm sorry, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You can proceed with your cross-examination.

MR. POWELL: Thank you.

3 Q. Ms. Stewart-Reid?
4 A. Good morning.
5 Q. Thank you for your testimony this morning. And,
6 honestly, for the work you do on behalf of our citizens.
7 A. Thank you.
8 Q. You had mentioned that you worked at Fair Fight during
9 the time of the complaint against True the Vote and the other
10 defendants; is that right?
11 A. I started it --

15 mischaracterizes the evidence, but I'm going to allow the 16 witness to answer

17 THE COURT: No objection?
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: No objection.
19 BY MR. POWELL:
20 Q. You worked for True -- for Fair Fight in November of
21 2020?
22 A. No.
23 Q. Okay. When did you start with Fair Fight?
24 A. August 2021.
25
Q. I see.

And so the information that you have about the activities that happened in November and December are from other people 3 on your team?

4 A. From the people I've talked to on my team, from the
5 documents that I've read, from the conversations I've had.
6 Q. Okay.
7 A. From the learnings of becoming the leader of this 8 organization.

9 Q. Did you -- did read True the Vote's press release mentioning the 364,000 at around the time that you came in as 11 executive director?

12 A. In December -- no, I did not at that time.
13 Q. Did you read the complaint at some point?
14 A. Yes.
15 Q. Okay. Did you share the complaint's concerns about True 16 the Vote announcing that it planned to issue petitions in the 17 Georgia counties?

18 A. I share the concerns, yes, about the challenges.
19 Q. Okay. And did you have an idea of how many voters you
20 were worried about being affected by those challenges?
21 A. We are worried about all of the voters who were challenged.
Q. Okay. Do you know how many that was?
A. It was around 260,000 .
Q. Okay. And how did you find out about that number?

1 A. Again, I've read many of the documents related to this 2 case, of course, and over the course of my work at Fair Fight.

3 Q. Okay. Did you say -- and I don't want to
4 mischaracterize, did you say that you had send out volunteers
5 to county boards of elections to get records of any hearings
6 that were held?
7 A. The volunteers were sent to the county boards to try to 8 collect the 1 ist of challenged voters.

9 Q. I see.

11 A. I do not know the exact numbers.
12 Q. Okay. Do you know whether triey got lists of just True 13 the Vote or of other challengers that aren't even here today? 14 A. I believe they would have gotten the list of the folks 15 who were challenged that day.

16 Q. Of the people who were challenged. But, I mean, do you 17 have the particular lists and do you know who made each 18 challenge on the list?
Q. Okay. You mentioned, I think it was volunteers you said used the phrase they're always hearing from you. Was that about your volunteers or about another group of stakeholders? A. I think what I said was, what we want to make sure that we were doing if we prevailed was to make sure that our stakeholders, or particularly voters of color, are always

1 hearing from us about the work that's happening and why it's 2 so important to participate. And that we would do more of 3 that if we didn't have to respond to the efforts of True the 4 Vote.

5 Q. I see.
6 And so the stakeholders are people who -- how do they
7 identify themselves as stakeholders to you? Do they sign up
8 for an e-mail list, a text notification? How do you
9 communicate with them?
10 A. Sure. A number of ways, e-mail, text, one-on-one
11 conversations.
12 Q. Do you know how many there are who are subscribed to your
13 various services, social media followers, that sort of thing?
14 A. There are 31,000 volunteers for Fair Fight, and there are
15 several hundred thousand followers on social media sites.
16 Q. Okay. So are you aware that when True the Vote was
17 issuing its intentions in November and December of 2020, did
18 Fair Fight send out communications about that issue?
19 A. I'm not aware of any.
Q. Okay. All right. You mentioned that Fair Fight was

21 hearing from voters --
22 A. Yes.
Q. -- during 2020? You were informed of this?

Is this because you had a hotline or were there other ways that you found out?

1 A. Voters come to us in a number of ways. So they come -2 we were talking to voters through phone banks. And, also, we have a feature called Story At where people will tell us their 4 voting stories. And so we were receiving stories through that as well, and direct e-mails.
Q. Did you take any of those calls in the phone bank?

7 A. Personally?
Q. Yeah.

9 A. No.
10 Q. You mentioned a reference by Ms. Engélbrecht to bounties.
11 Do you know how many references she made to that phrase?
12 A. I do not, no.
13 Q. Did you hear or see anything further about bounties after 14 the mention that you're a'vare of?

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Objection, Your Honor. I do believe the question mischaracterizes the earlier testimony and assumes facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: Do you want to rephrase the question?
BY MR. POWELL:
Q. Other than the one reference that you've mentioned about bounties, were there any other references that you're aware of?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Okay. You also mentioned a reference to Navy SEALs. Are you aware of where Ms. Engelbrecht, in what forum she made
A. I know it was a public statement. I've seen the 3 transcript of it.
Q. I'm sorry, you've seen --

5 A. I've seen the transcript of a public statement.
6 Q. I see.
7 So you believe that was made in a public forum?
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Okay. Does Fair Fight send out mailings?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. Do you have any idea how many a month?
12 A. I don't know how many a montri, no.
13 Q. Do you have any knowledge of how you or your mailer, I
14 would imagine, ensures that the mailings get to their intended 15 recipients?

16 A. That's not mysole. So I would rely on the mail vendor 17 for that.

18 Q. Okay. Do you have any familiarity with the National
19 Change of Address registry?
20 A. Just what any normal layperson would know. Nothing more 21 than that.
Q. That's probably enough.

So you're not really familiar with how it works?
A. Well, I know that -- I mean, I know that you can -- I've changed my address before, so I know that.

1 Q. Okay. Do you recall the form?
2 A. Not in particular.
3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that only people who filed for a 4 change of address they mark as permanent get put on the

5 National Change of Address registry?
6 A. I was not aware of that.
7 Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether Fair Fight has any data 8 on the accuracy of the National Change of Address registry in 9 predicting whether a move in fact will remain permanent?
A. That Fair Fight has?
Q. Yes.
A. I'm not aware of that.

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Your Honor, I'm going to object here. We are going far beyond the scope of Ms. Stewart-Reid's testimony. And so she's here to talk about standing. She's not here to talk zhout Fair Fight's mailing list. We can certainly -- there are witnesses who can do this.

THE COURT: I think I saw this as a standing witness, that they were trying to establish standing for Fair Fight. So I think we're all kind of going a little bit beyond the direct.

MR. POWELL: Sure, Your Honor. The witness has mentioned the challenges. I'd like to talk a little about that.

THE COURT: Anything she's testified to you can talk

1 about. She did talk about some aspects, but I think some of the other things we're kind of getting into may be a little bit outside, but let's go from there.

MR. POWELL: Sure.
5 BY MR. POWELL:
6 Q. Do you a familiarity with Section 230 which allows
7 challenges, Ms. Stewart-Reid?
A. I'm aware of the -- that it allow challenges.

9 Q. Okay. Do you know who decides if thers's probable cause to contact a voter who shows up to vote?

11 A. I'm aware that the challenges go to the board of
12 elections
13 Q. Okay. And what do they ao with them?
14 A. There's -- they revien them and whether or not they as anything beyond what -- getting the list and what the board

1 of elections can do with the list, I think she didn't get into 2 that. But she did testify about getting the lists from the board of elections and why she got the list. So she can testify about that part.

MR. POWELL: I don't have many more --
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Your Honor, I would just also add to our objection that any testimony around the standard, et cetera, really calls for a legal conclusion, and, of course, Ms. Stewart-Reid is here as a lay witness.

THE COURT: Let's just keep it to basically what she testified to on direct.

BY MR. POWELL:
Q. Let me just ask, are you when you refer to the challenges that were madecagainst some of the co-plaintiffs, for example, plaintiff Scott Berson, do you have any familiarity with that challenge?
A. I'm aware Enat he is a defendant (sic) in this case.
Q. Okay. And are you aware of any evidence connecting Scott Berson to a challenge initiated by a defendant?

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Yeah, I'11 sustain that objection.
BY MR. POWELL:
Q. All right. You talked about sending out volunteers to the boards of election. How many volunteers did you send out?
A. What I said was that Democracy -- are you talking about

Democracy Watch? We have 400 volunteers in Democracy Watch.
THE COURT: I think he's talking about you sending volunteers out to get the challenge.

THE WITNESS: To receive the lists?
THE COURT: Yes.
THE WITNESS: I'm not sure the exact number of volunteers, but I know there were several volunteers who were sent.

MR. POWELL: One moment, Your Honor
THE COURT: Yeah.
We need to move on.
BY MR. POWELL:
Q. Ms. Stewart-Reid, when you got the lists of the voters who had been challenged, 'wiat did you do with those? Or what did Fair Fight do with those?
A. Sure. So we took those lists, we analyzed them to see, like, who's on ine list, who was on there.
Q. I see.

Do you know how many counties you had lists for?
A. I'm not sure the total we received. We, of course, would have tried to receive all of them, but I'm not sure that we received -- I'm sure we did not receive all of them.
Q. Do you know anything about the analysis that you performed on the lists?

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Objection, Your Honor. Again,
we would argue this question is not probative. We argue it would be prejudicial. We obviously have a First Amendment concern about any analysis that Fair Fight would have performed internally. But our overriding objection is that this is -- is not relevant evidence.

THE COURT: I'll allow the question.
Ms. Stewart-Reid, you had testified that they obtained the lists. I'll allow questions why did they obtain the lists. But as far as anything more than that, I agree, you're going to need to provide a little more expertise -- not that you don't have expertise.

THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: Let's just go with that, okay?
MR. POWELL: Your Honor, I don't have any more questions.

THE COURT Thank you, sir.
Redirect?
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Yes, Your Honor. Briefly.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY:
Q. Ms. Stewart-Reid, my colleague asked you about voters hearing from Fair Fight. And you spoke about the resources Fair Fight is dedicating to staying on top of the IV3 program and information that may be -- voters may be hearing about that program.

Whether or not that program actually goes live, whether it works, whether it's successful, will Fair Fight continue to allocate resources to responding to True the Vote's activities absent relief by this Court?
A. Yes. We will have to continue to respond unless we get -- unless something changes. So we'll continue to have Democracy Watch, we'11 continue to have those 400 volunteers monitoring, particularly for challenges, unless something changes.
Q. And you were asked if Fair Fight volunteers collected challenge lists specifically submittec by True the Vote. Are you aware of Fair Fight receiving copies of challenges that were submitted by one or more of the defendants?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you tell ws, please, when you're -- again, when voters are hearing from Fair Fight, Inc. and going forward, what are the messages for challenged voters that Fair Fight is communicating?
A. We want to make sure they know that they have resources available to them. That, like, if they are being challenged, what -- what that entails and so they are prepared and can respond so that can be ready, so they can vote. So it's making sure they know they have resources available.

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: No further questions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Recross?

MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Objection, Your Honor, mischaracterizes the testimony.

THE COURT: I can't remember the exact answer. I guess -- let me do this, Ms. Lawrence-Hardy and Mr. Powell. Did you get lists from anybody else?

THE WITNESS: We were just $\rightarrow$ we were getting the lists that were filed. And so whatever list that was, we got and -- we know that some of we know that some of them came from True the Vote specifically.

THE COURT: My understanding is that the lists you-all got all cane from the board of elections in different counties.

THE WITNESS: Right. They were e-mailed -- we would get them e-mailed or received in paper form from the Board of Election. But we could see in some of them that they started at True the Vote.

BY MR. POWELL:
Q. Okay. Did any of those lists come from defendants other than True the Vote, to your knowledge?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Do you know if there were any of those challenge lists that came from parties -- people who aren't even parties to this case?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. Okay.

MR. POWELL: That's all, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Call your next witness
MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, plaintiffs call Scott
Berson to the stand.
THE COURT: All right. Mank you.
Mr. Berson.
I thought we invoked the rule of sequestration.
MS. LAWRENCE HARDY: I'm sorry, Your Honor?
THE COURT I thought we invoked the rule of sequestration in this case? If we did we need to. Unless you-all don't want to invoke it.

MR. NKWONTA: We do not plan to invoke the rule, Your Honor.

MR. WYNNE: We do wish to invoke the rule, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All witnesses need to be outside, not inside the courtroom.

MR. WYNNE: I assume there's an exception for

THE COURT: The parties can stay in.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.

SCOTT SAMUEL BERSON,
having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Have a seat. If you would please state and spell your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Scott Sámuel Berson. S-c-o-t-t, S-a-m-u-e-1, B-e-r-s-o-n.

THE COURT: Hold on one second.
Are you-all excusing is. Stewart-Reid? Is she excused?

MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, we are not excusing her.
THE COURT She's the representative for Fair Fight?
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: She is. She's --
THE COURT: All right. Then she can stay in because she's representing Fair Fight.

MR. WYNNE: Okay. Of course.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. LAWRENCE-HARDY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Would you state your name

```
again, sir?
```

THE WITNESS: Of course. Scott Samuel Berson.

9 BY MS. MENG MORRISON:
10
Q. Good morning, Mr. Berson.

11 A. Good morning.
Q. Do you know why you're here today?

13 A. Yes. To speak about my experience at the 2021 runoff in
14 Georgia.
15 Q. And before we get into the details of that experience, 16 can you tell us where you lived during that period of time?

17 A. I was living in Muscogee County at that time.
18 Q. And do you still live in Muscogee County now?
19 A. I do not. Not anymore.
20 Q. Where do you currently reside?
21 A. I currently live in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
22 Q. And how long have you lived in Pittsburgh?
23 A. On1y about three months.
24 Q. And why did you decide to move to Pittsburgh?
25 A. My partner and I got some job opportunities in Pittsburgh

1 and we decided that that was the right step for us to take at 2 this time.

3 Q. Now, Mr. Berson, I'd like to ask you a few questions 4 about your personal background.

Starting from the beginning, where were you born?
A. I was born in Danbury, Connecticut.

7 Q. And at what point did your family move to Georgia?
8 A. We moved around 1999.
9 Q. And how old were you at that time?
10 A. Would have been about four years old.
11 Q. And where did your family move to in Georgia when you 12 guys arrive?

13 A. We moved to Johns Creek, Georgia in North Fulton County.
14 Q. How long did you live in Johns Creek, Georgia?
15 A. I lived in Johns Oreek from that time when we moved until
16 the fall of 2013.
17 Q. And why did you leave Johns Creek in 2013?
18 A. I left to attend college at Georgia Southwestern State
19 University in Americus, Georgia.
20 Q. And how many years did you spend at Georgia Southwestern
21 State University?
22 A. Just one academic year.
A. So that would have been fall 2013 until the end of the spring semester of 2014.

1 Q. Where did you live after spring of 2014 ?
2 A. After that, I moved to Columbus, Georgia, Muscogee
3 County, to attend Columbus State University.
4 Q. What time period were you at Columbus State University?
5 A. I was at Columbus State from that fall 2014 until
6 December of 2017 when I graduated.
7 Q. And after you graduated in December of 2017, did you stay 8 in Muscogee County?

9 A. Yes, I was still in Muscogee County.
10 Q. And why did you stay in Muscogee Colinty?
11 A. I had -- that previous summer of 2017 , I had gotten a job
12 at the local newspaper and had goften an internship and then
13 was offered a full-time job. And so I had that job through
14 that final semester and then just continued working there 15 after graduating.

16 Q. And at any point did you leave that job?
17 A. I left that job at the end of 2018, December 2018.
18 Q. So between when you moved to Johns Creek, Georgia in 1999
19 and when you left Muscogee County at the end of 2018 , did you ever live outside of Georgia?

21 A. No.
Q. Now, I'd like to ask you a few questions about your history as a voter, Mr. Berson.

Are you registered to vote?
A. I am registered to vote.

1 Q. And when did you first register to vote?
2 A. I first registered to vote, it would have been shortly 3 after I turned 18 in 2012.

4 Q. Where were you living at the time?
5 A. I was in Johns Creek at the time.
6 Q. Have you ever changed your voter registration in Georgia?
7 A. I have. I've changed it - I know I changed it when I 8 moved to Columbus, so that would have been around 2014. And

9 then I changed it again to G1ynn County when il moved to G1ynn
10 County in very early 2021. It was either late January or
11 maybe into February.
12 Q. So between 2012 when you first registered to vote and
13 then when you updated your registration in February of 2021,
14 did you ever change your cegistration to another state?
15 A. No.
16 Q. You said you now live and work in Pittsburgh; is that 17 correct?

18 A. That's correct.
19 Q. Have you updated your voter registration to Pennsylvania?
A. I have. For the time being I have, yes.

21 Q. And can you explain to the Court what you mean for the time being?
A. We11, you know, I grew up in Georgia. I have a long-term partner and we both grew up in Georgia. We have family still here, still in Muscogee County, in fact. I love Georgia. I

1 love Columbus. I love Muscogee County. So we're in
2 Pittsburgh now, you know, because we have an opportunity, but, 3 you know, we are leaving the option open to return if the 4 opportunity is available for us.

5 Q. So, Mr. Berson, rewinding a little bit, I'd like to ask 6 you about your educational background and some of your work 7 experiences. You mention that you spent one year and then moved to Columbus State University. Can you briefly explain to the Court some of the activities and things you were involved in while you were a student in columbus?
A. Sure. The main thing was I was the -- the editor-in-chief of the student newspaper. That was The Saber. It's now called something else. It's called the Uproar now. But I started out as a staif writing and became an editor. I was elected editor-in Chief.

And during that time, you know, I was getting really involved in the life of the city. I was able to meet, you know, a lot of kind of movers and shakers in town. You know, I was able meet to Betsy Covington of the Communication Foundation, Yen King of Midtown, different people who were kind of involved in lots of cool things happening in the city and in the region. And my job was to kind of direct coverage and let people know what was going on.

So that was -- that was what I did most of the time in my undergraduate years. I had some other things. I was a tour

1 guide, a Segway tour guide for downtown. I loved the city so 2 much that I actually just cold called them one day and asked 3 if $I$ could be a tour guide. So I did that for a little while. And I also did some writing for Electric City Life, which promoting arts and culture and things like that. And I also

7 had some odd jobs as well at the school and at restaurant and

9 Q. So what did you do after you graduated college?
A. So I had gotten the newspaper job. $I$ had gotten an internship at the local daily people, the Ledger-Enquirer, that summer of 2017. And a little while into that, they offered me a full-time position. So I had been doing that that whole last semester, graduated, and I continued to work for the Ledger and its parent company eventually, McClatchy, after graduating.

17 Q. And how long did you work at the Ledger-Enquirer in 18 total?

19 A. I worked there from -- so sometime that summer of 2017 unti1 December of 2018.
Q. And, Mr. Berson, why did you choose to leave your job at the Ledger-Enquirer?
A. Part of my time there -- I had gotten into journalism because I cared about the city, I cared about Columbus, I cared about our community. That's why I was interested in

1 writing and journalism in the first place. And partway 2 through my employment there I had been kind of promoted to the national team, McClatchy, working remotely. I still went in

14 A. I decided to attend graduate school at Auburn University.
15 Q. And how long was that program?
16 A. That program a two-year program.
17 Q. What degree did you pursue when you started at Auburn?
18 A. It was a master of community planning.
19 Q. And can you just remind me, when did you begin that program?

21 A. I began the program in January of 2019. to the newsroom and helped where I could, but I was writing stuff that was of national news, viral, trending kind of news remotely. And I just wasn't happy. I wanted to be back in the community doing work that I wanted to, you know, for our area.

And in conversations with my editors and people at the time, it didn't seem like that possibility was going to be open. So at the end of that year I decided it was time for -time for me to do something else
Q. And what was that somethring else?
Q. So, Mr. Berson, you've previously spoken about your passion for Columbus and Muscogee County. Why did you choose to go to Auburn for grad school?
A. Well, there are two main reasons. One is just I really

1 wanted to leave that job at the time. I was really unhappy.
2 And the two schools that had comparable programs in Georgia, a 3 city planning, urban planning program, Georgia Tech and UGA at 4 the time, based on just the way that their enrollment system 5 worked and applying, I would have had to wait another six 6 months or even a year to get in or to apply. Auburn lets you 7 start every semester. So I was able to start, you know, as
A. I did.
Q. What state was issued your driver's license?

1 A. It was a Georgia driver's license.
2 Q. And when you started your master's program, did you
3 update your 1 icense or change it to a different state?
4 A. No.
5 Q. Did you have a car at the time?
6 A. I did have a car.
7 Q. Was it registered?
8 A. It was registered.
9 Q. And with what state?
10 A. In Georgia.
11 Q. When you started your master's program did you update or 12 change that registration at all?

13 A. I did not.
14 Q. Did you change your voter registration from Muscogee
15 County to Alabama?
16 A. I did not.
17 Q. And were you paying any bil1s when you started the 18 program?

19 A. When I started, yes, I was paying my auto insurance bil1, my phone bill, I imagine, and my car note at least.

21 Q. And what address did you have on file for those bills at 22 the time?

23 A. It would have been my Muscogee County address.
Q. Mr. Berson, when you moved to Auburn from Muscogee County, did you update anything as a result?

1 A. I did update my mailing address when I moved.
2 Q. And why did you feel the need to update your mailing 3 address when you started your program?

4 A. We11, the point of the program was -- it was -- it was a 5 residential program in the sense that you were working -- you 6 were supposed to be really involved. You were supposed to 7 work kind of late into the night on studios, work with your professors, kind of being involved with your cohort learning and doing things, writing plans and talking over solutions. And I -- I wanted to get full advantage of that and not have to spend all this time driving back and forth every single day to go to classes and things.

So I decided to change my mailing address so that $I$ could continue to get correspondisnce, packages. You know, I had stuff about student loans that I was -- that I needed to make sure I was getting mail from. And, you know, I just needed to have the ability to get mail and correspondence and packages and things without having to drive back to Georgia every single time $I$ needed to pick something up.
Q. Can you explain why you chose not to update your driver's 1icense, car registration, and things like your bills to Alabama when you started your master's program?
A. Sure. Frankly, it never crossed my mind. I always considered myself a Georgian, and a Columbusite is how they say it. I never intended to become an Alabama resident. You

1 know, I was in Auburn for school. And Auburn is great.
2 Auburn's fine. It's a good city. But, you know, I didn't 3 consider myself, you know, moving to Alabama permanently. I 4 was there for school and I had always just had the assumption 5 that I was going to go there for school and then return to 6 Columbus, hopefully, and find work in the city that I really 7 loved.

8 Q. While you were a student at Auburn, did you hold any 9 jobs?
A. I did very frequently, two to three times a month, I assistant for three of the four semesters. And then I had a freelance position in Columbus dofing some writing and copyediting for a monthly print arts and entertainment magazine called The Local. which is kind of an events calendar and different features on artists and things like that. And so $I$ was doing thet remotely. And $I$ still have that position today, actually. I still have it.
Q. During your time at Auburn, did you ever return to Georgia? would say. And during breaks, as much as I could.
Q. And why did you return to Georgia or Muscogee County with such frequency while you were in school?
A. You know, I really -- like I said Auburn -- Auburn is fine, Auburn's great, but I was there for school. I was there

1 for, you know, the experience of talking to my classmates.
2 And if that wasn't happening, I wanted to be back in Columbus.
3 I wanted to be back in the places that I cared about and with
4 my friends and my family. And that was where I was involved
5 and that's where, you know, I was familiar with and that's
6 where I wanted to go when I could, try to go back.
7 Q. When did you graduate from your master's program?
8 A. I graduated in December of 2020.
9 Q. And between January 2019 when you started your program
10 and December 2020, did you vote in any elections?
11 A. I did. I voted in the primary and general election.
12 Q. And what manner of voting did you use to vote in those
13 elections?
14 A. I voted in person.
15 Q. Did you ever run into any issues when you voted?
16 A. No issues, nc.
17 Q. I'd like to spend some time now discussing December of
18 2020. After you graduated from the program, where did you
19 choose to live?
20 A. I returned to Muscogee County.
21 Q. And do you recall approximately when that would have

23 A. Approximately -- I don't remember the exact date. I believe it was around December 10th.

## 1 County?

2 A. My intent was to move back to Muscogee County and begin 3 searching for work, hopefully and ideally in Muscogee County, 4 certainly in Georgia. That was the intent.

5 Q. And after you graduated, were you able to calmly search 6 for a job?

7 A. No. It was a very -- it was not a calm time. It was a very chaotic time.
Q. Can you briefly elaborate for the Courtowhat was going on at the time in your life?
A. Sure. It is difficult to think back now, but it was the height of the pandemic still. It was still very much a scary time. As somebody else has said, that the vaccines were not available, so it was stili-- widely available, so it was still a very stressfultime as far as the pandemic going on.

But it was a very chaotic time for me just as a person. I had finished graduate school and was trying to, you know, do the process of relocating back to Muscogee County. I was working with my professor on trying to finish a book chapter that whole time. It was the holidays. I was searching for work. I did not have -- I had this job at The Local, but it was not full-time employment, so I was trying to find work pretty quickly.

And then my partner, my long-term partner, had just started a job that previous couple months ago in Floyd County,

1 Georgia, teaching full-time during the pandemic. And she was 2 having a very difficult time. And so I was spending a lot of 3 time kind of traveling up there for short periods, trying to 4 help her out, coming back, trying to sort my own stuff out.

5 So it was -- there was a lot going on.
6 Q. And in the midst of all this, were you following what was 7 going on with the Georgia Senate runoff elections?

8 A. I was, yes.
9 Q. And why were you following the news?
A. I think I've always considered it kind of part of a person's civic duty to be following what's going on. Probably a lot of it is just aftereffects me being a journalist, but I always try to keep up-to-dete with things going on and especially things going otin Georgia. I really -- you know, I consider myself a Georgian. I read Georgia things. I 16 always assumed thet I was going to go back to Georgia and so that it was kind of new and it's important to Georgia as well. So I was aware.
Q. And were you following news reports about the 2020 presidential election?
A. I had been, yes.

25
Q. And can you describe what the atmosphere felt like to you

2 A. The atmosphere, both in Georgia and the county, felt 3 extremely fraught. I remember feeling -- in a way that I had 4 never experienced before. I remember feeling like it felt as 5 though the country was on the verge of flying off the handle. 6 I think the atmosphere had this kind of air of desperation 7 about it. And desperation, I think -- desperate people -times, become less sure.

13 Q. Given all of that, were you still planning to vote in the
14 Senate runoff elections?

16 Q. And how did you plan to vote in that election?
17 A. I planned to vote in person, as I always did.
18 Q. Did you have any issues voting in the runoff election?
19 A. I did, yes.
Q. And can you just briefly describe what those issues were?
A. In December I came across an article in the Ledger-Enquirer newspaper which described that a Mr. Alton Russell had filed a challenge to thousands of voters with out-of-state mailing addresses. I remember reading in that article a line that talked about some people who may be

1 affected, including college students or students who had been 2 living outside of the state but had maintained residency in 3 Columbus were possibly on the list. I remember reading that 4 and thinking, I sure bet that -- that is my case, so I sure 5 bet that maybe I'm on that list.

6 Q. And can you recall where you read the article?
7 A. I read it on the Ledger-Enquirer website.
8 Q. And when you read this article, Mr. Berson, how did you 9 react?

10 A. I remember reading it and my thought at the time was that
11 it seemed like it was targeting -- the challenge was an
12 attempt to kind of target people quilo, like me, were maybe
13 living in temporary situations and had to live outside the Q. Mr. Berson, you've stated that when you first read the article you had a suspicion that perhaps you were also

1 challenged. Were you ultimately challenged as a voter?
2 A. I was ultimately challenged, yes.
3 Q. And how did you discovery that information?
4 A. A few days after reading the article, I got a call from a 5 community organizing group, I don't remember who it was or 6 what the exact time was, but they told me -- or they asked me 7 if I was aware that I was on a list of challenged voters. And 8 I told them I was not sure but that $I$ had read an article and

9 that I had suspected I might be.
Q. And can you recall when you received that phone call?

11 A. I don't remember exactly when. It would have been a few
12 days after I had originally read the article.
13 Q. And on that phone call, they confirmed you were a
14 challenged voter?
15 A. They did, yes.
16 Q. Can you describe to the Court what your reaction was when you learned that you were a challenged voter on that call? A. When I actually learned that I had been challenged and that, you know, I was now unsure if I was going to be able to vote, I -- my reaction was, I think being overwhelmed, especially in that situation that $I$ was in, discouraged. It was -- it was a very discouraging and frustrating thing to hear.

And it was -- it was -- it was intimidating to me to be told that somebody had accused me of doing something wrong.

1 And that now $I$ had to prove myself in a way that, you know, 2 I've never -- I never had to do before. I'd done everything 3 the same way I always had. I've always voted in person. I
had always voted in Columbus. And I was now told that somebody had accused me of not being -- not being correct or that I had done something wrong. And that was very upsetting and discouraging.
Q. So, Mr. Berson, at this point in time, you've now found out that you're a challenged voter. So I'd (1ike to ask you a bit about your attempts to resolve those challenges.

You stated before you found out that you were challenged you were seeking to participate $\mathfrak{i r}_{i}$ the runoff elections in person. Did you actually go to vote in person?
A. I did, yes.
Q. And around when wヨs that?
A. I believe it was December 28th.
Q. And why did you take the time to go vote in person?
A. I usually do vote in person, but in this case, I specifically intended to vote in person. I think because -- I didn't know what this process was going to be. I didn't know what I needed. I didn't know what was going to happen. And I thought that the best thing to do would be to go in person, show my actual face, talk to actual people at the precinct which I was very familiar with at the citizens service center and, you know, try to resolve it there if I could, if there

1 was some way to resolve -- or at least get answers on how to 2 resolve it more clearly.

I was kind of unsure, you know, given the context of the

1 Q. And so did you vote a provisional ballot that day?
2 A. I did, yes.
3 Q. Can you describe what you did after you voted that 4 provisional ballot?

5 A. So I submitted the provisional ballot. I don't remember 6 exactly when, but some days later $I$ called the election office 7 and asked, you know, where do I send proof, where do I send 8 residency documents, what do I need to do. And they told 9 me -- they gave me Nancy Boren's e-mail address, she's the 10 elections supervisor, and told me to sená them to her.

11 Q. Did you end up reaching out to Mis. Boren?
12 A. I did.
13 Q. What did you do when youreached out to her?
14 A. I -- I sent her a photo of my driver's license, my
15 Georgia driver's licerse, and a copy of my insurance bill that 16 had the -- my Muscogee County address on them.

17 Q. And did you run into any hurdles trying to find those 18 pieces of documentation?

19 A. Yes. This was -- because of my situation, coming from address, it was shockingly difficult to find appropriate documents. I had my driver's license, which I always had been fine, you know, I've always used my state ID, it's always been up-to-date, it's been fine. But because I was out of state, everything had my mailing address on it at that time, because

1 I had just come back.

So it was very difficult, actually, to find what I thought would be appropriate documents that proved that same thing. I would go -- I didn't have utility bills, you know, I was living in apartments that were paid for by the landlord or something or split with other people. Leases were in Alabama. And when I went to go look at a lot of my bills, a lot of times there wasn't even an address on there. It's just like a list of payments and there's not really kindof an actual document that you can have.

So it was actually quite difficily to find something. And I struggled for quite a while hefore I finally was able to locate the insurance bill.
Q. What happened when you e-mailed Ms. Boren?
A. I e-mailed those to her and she responded that that would -- that I sumitted sufficient information.
Q. Mr. Berson, was being challenged as a voter during the runoff elections intimidating to you?
A. Yes. It was intimidating to me to be accused of doing something wrong, to be told I had done something wrong or that I was thought to have done something wrong when I didn't -just for participating the same way that I had always done in the city that I had always loved, the city that I always, you know, participated in in every other way.

And it was intimidating to me to be told that and not

1 know how I was going to resolve it. Given my situation at the time, and given kind of my period of crazy transition and not knowing what my future was going to be, it was -- it was -yes, it was a difficult -- it was a difficult thing.

MS. MENG MORRISON: We11, thank you, Mr. Berson, for sharing your story and coming here today.

No further questions, Your Honor. I pass the witness.

THE COURT: Thank you. Who will bedoing the questioning?

MR. EVANS: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: You may proçed, Mr. Evans.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. EVANS:
Q. Mr. Berson, it's $\mathfrak{\rho}$ pleasure to meet you. My name is Jake Evans. I represent the defendants in this case. I've got a couple questions for you.

So throughout your testimony you said multiple times that you're a Georgian, you're proud to be a Georgian, Georgia is where you're home.

But you're not from Georgia, are you?
A. I was not born in Georgia.
Q. And you didn't move to Georgia until 1999; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And your parents aren't from Georgia, are they?

1 A. That's correct.
2 Q. And when were you born?
3 A. 1994.
4 Q. So for your first five years of your life, you didn't
5 live in Georgia, did you?
6 A. I did not. But I have barely any memory of Connecticut 7 whatsoever. But yes.

8 Q. And you don't 1 ive in Georgia now, do you?
9 A. I do not.
10 Q. And did you live in North Carolina at any point?
11 A. I did, yes.
12 Q. When did you live in North Cairolina?
13 A. I lived in North Carolina from July of 2021 until this 14 past July where we moved it Pittsburgh.

15 Q. And why did you leave Georgia to go to North Carolina?
16 A. Well, sometimes life takes us in interesting places.
17 I -- my partner has a very specific career field. She trained 18 a very specific career at a Georgia university, in fact, and she has a strong desire to work only at specific institutions that would be supportive of her career.

She did work in Georgia, did not work out for other reasons and she -- we decided to move to North Carolina so that she could pursue her career of choice there. And similarly, similar reason, our recent move to Pittsburgh as wel 1.

1 Q. And when you left North Carolina you didn't go back to
2 Georgia, did you?
3 A. We did not.
4 Q. You went to Pennsylvania; is that right?
5 A. Pennsylvania, yes.
6 Q. So in this case, have you ever spoken to any of the
7 defendants?
8 A. Not that I'm aware of.
9 Q. Do you know any of the defendants named in this case?
10 A. I don't recall the exact times when may have heard 11 them, but I don't believe -- I don't helieve so before --

12 Q. Who are the defendants in this case?
13 A. I know True the Vote and then other parties, but...
14 Q. So is True the Vote the only defendant you know in this 15 case; is that right?

16 A. At this time, don't -- I don't recall the entire
17 specifics.
18 Q. So you haven't spoken to Catherine Engelbrecht at any
19 point in your life, have you?
A. Not that I'm aware of.

21 Q. And Ms. Engelbrecht's never directed a communication to 22 you, has she?

23 A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. And you've never spoken to Derek Somerville at any point in your life, have you?

1 A. Not that I'm aware.
2 Q. And Mr. Somerville's never directed a communication to 3 you, has he?

4 A. Not that I'm aware of.
5 Q. You've never spoken to Mark Williams at any point in your
6 1ife, have you?
7 A. Not that I'm aware.
8 Q. And Mr. Williams has never directed a communication to
9 you, has he?
10 A. Not that I'm aware.
11 Q. You've never spoken to Ron Johnson at any point in your
12 life, have you?
13 A. Not that I'm aware of.
14 Q. And Mr. Johnson has (liver directed a communication to
15 you, has he?
16 A. Not that I'm aware of.
17 Q. And you've never spoken to James Cooper at any point in
18 your life, have you?
19 A. Not that I'm aware.
20 Q. And Mr. Cooper has near directed a communication to you,
21 has he?
22 A. Not that I'm aware of.
23 Q. So, Mr. Berson, do you pay your own bills?
24 A. Currently? Yes.
25 Q. In 20-- well, yes. Currently, do you pay your own

1 bills?
2 A. Yes.
3 Q. In 2020 did you pay your own bills?
4 A. In 20 -- I paid some bills, yes.
5 Q. What bills did you pay in 2020?
6 A. I paid a phone bil1, auto insurance bil1 and my car note,
7 and I believe some of the other -- I lived in three different
8 apartments when $I$ was in Auburn. I don't recall the exact
9 split of utilities throughout those.
10 Q. So you paid your car note. What else?
11 A. My Progressive insurance bil1. And I imagine my cel1 12 phone bill.

13 Q. And when you signed up for Progressive insurance, what 14 all do you have to provide them to sign up to get insurance?

15 A. I had had that igsurance for a while. I don't remember 16 what exactly I subnitted to them.

17 Q. So you don t know what you have to sign up for to get 18 insurance -- car insurance, is that right?

19 A. I mean, I imagine I submitted information on the vehicle and the mailing address.
Q. So you would agree with me that you submitted your mailing address when you signed up for car insurance, right?

23 A. I would imagine so, yes.
24 Q. And whenever -- how did you pay your car insurance?
25
A. It was auto debited from a bank account.

1 Q. So did you have an online portal in order to automate the 2 automatic payment; is that right?

3 A. That's correct. That's where I got the statement from 4 eventually.

5 Q. And did you have a username and password to log on to 6 access your automotive insurance; is that right?

7 A. I imagine so, yes.
8 Q. So how difficult is it to log in and access a database or
9 your login and password for your automobile insurance? How 10 difficult is that? How long does that take?

11 A. I -- I was -- that on its own perhaps is not particularly 12 difficult. But I didn't know what was sufficient information 13 that on -- as far as proving my residency. I don't know if 14 that was good enough. That's what I eventually submitted.

15 But I attempted to find other things first. But just finding 16 your username and nassword, perhaps, is not the most difficult

18 Q. So did you ask the election supervisor what was needed in 19 order for you to prove you -- your place of residence?
A. Do you mean at the time when I cast the provisional

21 ballot?
Q. Yes.
A. I don't recall if I -- I don't believe so. I don't believe at the time that I asked for any additional information. I believe what happened was I filled out the
provisional ballot, she told me you'11 need to prove your residency at a later date. And then I was -- you know, that was it.

THE COURT: What address was on your driver's 1icense? Don't -- didn't you have to show your driver's 1icense?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
THE COURT: What address was on it?

THE WITNESS: It was my Muscogee County address.
BY MR. EVANS:
Q. And did you show her your driver-- well, so --

MR. EVANS: And that's a yood question, Judge.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. EVANS: You're doing my job better than I am.
BY MR. EVANS:
Q. So what address -- was the address on your driver's 1icense the same address that you were registered to vote for?
A. Yes.
Q. So what was the issue?
A. That wasn't good enough.
Q. So she said -- she told you she needed additional affirmation of what your address was; is that right?
A. That was my -- that was my assumption at the time.
Q. And you said --

THE COURT: Hold on, hold on. Ask that question

1 again, because I didn't hear his answer. She said she needed 2 more? I think that was the question. Ask it again, 3 Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Yeah.
5 BY MR. EVANS:
6 Q. She said she needed more than -- she said she needed more
7 to affirm your address than what was on your driver's license when it was the same address as on your voter registration?

9 A. I believe so, yes. Because I -- you give your driver's
10 1icense to vote.

BY MR. EVANS:
Q. If I told you that that was inappropriate election administration to require you to show an additional affirmation on address whenever your driver's licenses address matches your registration, would you have any reason to

1 disagree with that?
2 A. I don't believe so. But I don't recall specifics of what 3 was said at that time.

4 Q. Do you have any information or belief or testimony today
5 that any defendant in any way resulted in the election
6 administration official improperly requiring you to provide
7 additional layer of address affirmation?
8 A. I think that the fact that $I$ was challenged in the first
9 place resulted in these things happening, but I don't have
10 further information than anybody directly in that way caused 11 anything.

12 Q. So outside of having to $\log$ in to your insurance and 13 getting an address and e-mailing it to anyone, is there any 14 other inconvenience that vou felt in having to vote in the 15 runoff in 2020?

16 A. In this particular context, this was fairly difficult. I 17 had just moved Back to Georgia and was preparing -- was trying 18 to find work, was helping my partner, was helping my family.

19 The timeline between the challenge, the election and then when
20 I eventually had to accept work somewhere else in Georgia was extremely short. There were lots of things going on. There was lots of uncertainty. So that was a challenge. It was a difficult time. And I was not sure how I was going to resolve it. So, yes, the experience was -- was challenging.
Q. So I'm going to add a different layer to hopefully add in
what you just said.
Outside of living life and life's normal obligations and you having to log in and get your address and e-mail it to the elections supervisor, is this anything else that caused you inconvenience and frustration when you voted in 2020 in the Senate runoff?
A. I don't know if I dis -- I don't know if I would agree with that characterization.
Q. I'm asking is there anything else? I want to get -- if there's anything else, I want to hear what else it is.
A. Not that I can say.
Q. If I told you that a gentlemiai named Mr. Alton Russell filed election challenges in Miuscogee County, would that be consistent with your testimiony today?
A. Yes, I believe so
Q. If I told you that True the Vote and no defendant in this case was in any way affiliated with Mr. Alton Russell filing those challenges, would you have any testimony today to disagree with that?

THE COURT: Hold on before you answer. There's an objection.

MR. NKWONTA: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence and lacks foundation. It's asking the witness to agree to facts not in evidence and have not been demonstrated through any foundation.

MR. EVANS: If I can respond, Judge?
THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. EVANS: I'm asking him what evidence or testimony he has to disagree with that statement.

THE COURT: I'll allow that over objection.
THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that. I can't speak to that.

BY MR. EVANS:
Q. So just so we get a clean record on this. You have no testimony to connect any of the defendant's, True the Vote or any of the individual defendants, to Mr. Alton Russell's submission of vote challenges in Muscogee County; is that right?
A. I can't speak to it. I don't have any personal knowledge, no.

MR. EVANS So, Judge, I'm going to mark Defendants' Exhibit 1, whicin is the interrogatory responses from Mr. Berson.

If I may approach, Judge?
THE COURT: Yes, you may approach.
BY MR. EVANS:
Q. So, Mr. Berson, if you could flip through Defendants' Exhibit 1. Do you recognize that document?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And what is that document?
A. This is the interrogatory that I filled out in 2021 regarding this case.
Q. And do you recall putting together each of those responses?
A. Yes, I believe so. Yes.
Q. So I want to refer you to Interrogatory No. 3. Take your time.

THE COURT: Are you offering this?
MR. EVANS: Yes, Judge. I'11 tender that for admission.

THE COURT: Any objections?
MR. NKWONTA: No objection, other than the fact that it doesn't match up with Exhibit 1 on the defendants' list.

MR. EVANS: Oh, well, we can -- do we know what exhibit it is?

MR. NKWONTA: I just want to have a clear record of the exhibit number.

MR. EVANS: Yeah, that's fine.
THE COURT: Well, hold on. Do we have an exhibit
list for defendants? I need an exhibit list -- I have an exhibit up here for the plaintiffs.

MR. WYNNE: Your Honor, as to all of the exhibits in binders, we have them all printed out. It's about 4,000 pages. We'll bring them.

THE COURT: The rules for the Northern District of

Georgia require you before the start a trial to submit an exhibit list. So you need to have an exhibit list.

MR. WYNNE: Yes. We filed it yesterday, Your Honor, and provided a copy to opposing counsel.

THE COURT: Did you receive correspondence from Ms. Wright telling you that you need to have three copies of the exhibit list at the trial?

MR. WYNNE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. So where are they?
MR. WYNNE: We -- I beg my pardón, I don't -- I don't have that list. And I'm not so sure that plaintiffs have provided a list, either.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, they have.
MR. WYNNE: Oh, they have?
Then it's on me, Your Honor. And we're going to get it.

THE COURT: Well, here's what's going to happen. Mr. Evans is not the issue, because you're not the lead attorney in this case.

Unless I get a list I'm not going to accept this exhibit because it has to match.

MR. EVANS: Okay. Judge, well, we will get that as soon as possible.

MR. WYNNE: We can get it over the lunch hour, Your Honor. We -- I have one copy in my hands right now.

17 Q. And I believe we're on Interrogatory No. 3, if you had a 18 chance to look through that.

THE COURT: Well, he can't testify about something not in evidence. And this exhibit is not in evidence.

MR. EVANS: Okay.
THE COURT: He can't put his -- I'm not allowing you to put it in evidence without a list.
Q. Earlier you testified that you found out about a list

1 that was published in the Columbus Ledger by a community
2 activist that informed you of that; right?
3 A. I read the article myself. Nobody informed me of the
4 article, if $I$ understood your question correctly.
5 Q. Did you find out -- would you agree with me that you
6 found out about your name being in that list by someone
7 calling you; is that right?
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. And who was the person that called you?
10 A. I don't remember who it was.
11 Q. Was the person that called you in any way affiliated with
12 any of the defendants?
13 A. I couldn't say. I don't remember who it was.
14 Q. Where did the person iive?
15 A. I don't remember.
16 Q. Is the persor a named defendant in this case?
17 A. I could not say.
18 Q. So you can't offer any testimony today that a person that
19 called you that informed you that you were on this list was in any way affiliated with any defendant, can you?

21 A. I can't say who called me. I don't remember.
Q. In your interrogatory responses, you refer to being frustrating -- let me strike that.

Do you recall saying in your interrogatory responses that having to find suitable identification and proof of residency

1 over and over again to vote every time I use my temporary
2 mailing address to receive packages and correspondence would
3 be extremely frustrated and burdensome? Do you recall that?
4 A. I recall that, yes.
5 Q. Did you use the word "intimidating" anywhere in your 6 interrogatory responses?

7 A. I did not use that in the interrogatory response, no.
8 Q. And why did you not use it?
9 A. I think I was speaking to the process, not necessarily
10 the challenge itself. I think the process to prove your
11 residency over and over again is frustrating and burdensome.
12 But the idea of being accused its if is intimidating to me.
13 Q. Why was the first time you used the word "intimidating"
14 today in your testimony at trial?
15 A. I -- further thoyght? I don't know. I was -- that's
16 what I responded here was talking about the process.
17 Q. So the reason why you used intimidating for the first
18 time at trial not in your discovery was because you were
19 talking about the process; is that right?
20 A. Based on the questions that were asked in the
21 interrogatory, I suppose.
Q. So what are you talking about today at trial that you weren't talking about when you went to vote in your interrogatory responses?
A. I believe that the idea of being told you're doing

17 BY MR. EVANS:

19 A. Well, there's been time to sort of process it, I suppose.
something wrong is intimidating to me. And it's intimidating to not know the correct way to respond to that and to have to navigate that process.

THE COURT: I've got some question that are burdensome for the Court.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Why didn't you say it earlier when you were being questioned during interrogatories?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Why didn't you point that out then?
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: Why didn't you point out you felt intimidated when you were being questioned through the interrogatories?

THE WITNESS: I can't recall. I suppose the word didn't come to mind at that time.
Q. Well, why did it now come to mind?
Q. Did anyone tell you to use the word "intimidating"?
A. Nobody's directed me to use a specific word.
Q. When you went to vote, did anybody yell at you?
A. No, sir.
Q. You would agree with me that when you went to vote nobody threatened to take anything away from you, did you, as far as

1 property?
2 A. No.
3 Q. When you went to vote, did anyone threaten any type of 4 harm against you?

5 A. Any type of harm?
6 Q. Harm.
7 A. No, sir.
8 Q. Earlier you used the word that you felt as though someone
9 was telling you you did something wrong and that made you feel
10 intimidated; is that right?
11 A. That's right.
12 Q. What did someone tell you thisit you were doing wrong?
13 A. The challenge -- I think being challenged has an implicit 14 assumption that you've --Gre being accused of doing something 15 wrong. That you are you know, you are attempting to vote 16 illegally. That that was my feeling.

17 Q. And that wrong was what? What -- was the wrong -- would 18 you agree with me that the wrong was that you potentially 19 didn't live at an address in Muscogee County; is that right? 20 A. That I was accused of living in Alabama permanently but 21 was still voting in Georgia. That was what I was accused of. 22 Q. Uh-huh. But your driver's license said Muscogee County 23 right?

24 A. That's correct. It still did.
25 Q. So outside of them saying you may not live in Muscogee

1 County, would you agree with me that no other threat,
2 coercion, statement was made that you were doing anything
3 wrong; is that right?
4 A. With the idea being that you would not be able to vote,
5 that I would not be able to vote. But yes, no other --
6 Q. Were you able to vote?
7 A. I was ultimately able to vote, yes.
8 Q. Was your vote counted?
9 A. It was ultimately counted.
10 Q. Would you agree with me that you've moved a lot --
11 A. I would agree --
12 Q. -- in the past five to ten dears?
13 A. I would agree with you.
14 Q. How many places have jou lived in the past five to ten 15 years?

16 A. A good many. Seven or eight.
17 Q. Seven or eight?
18 A. Addresses.
19 Q. Where all have you lived in the past five to ten years?
20 Go over them again for the Court, just so Your Honor can hear 21 it.

22 A. Do you mean places within cities or do you want specific
23 locations?
24 Q. Everywhere.
25 A. I've lived in Columbus, Georgia. I moved within

1 Columbus, Georgia to different addresses. Moved to Auburn.
2 Had three different apartments in Auburn. Moved back to
3 Muscogee County briefly to look for work and hopefully to 4 stay. Was not able to stay. Wounded up moving to Glynn

5 County. Moved to North Carolina six or seven months later.
6 Lived in one address in Morganton, North Carolina. Moved
7 several months later to a different address in Morganton,
8 North Carolina. Stayed there for roughly two years. And have
9 now gone to Pittsburgh.
Q. So you can agree with me, just so t'é record is clear,

11 that you have lived at a lot of places in the past five to ten years, right?

13 A. I have. It's not been fun, but yes, I have had.
14 Q. And you would agree with me that it's probably pretty
15 difficult for someone, based upon how many places you've lived 16 in the past 10 to 15 years, where your domicile really is; 17 right?

18 A. I couldn't -- I don't know. I couldn't agree with that 19 necessarily.
Q. And why would you disagree with that?

21 A. It's not like every move was intended to be temporary.
Q. But how would -- how would the county board of elections know where you intend your residency to be?
A. I don't know how -- my intention, I think -- well, I mean, are we talking about right now? In the future? Are we
talking about back when this happened?
Q. I'm talking about in the past five to ten years, we got agreement here you've moved a lot of places.
A. That's true.
Q. You've lived -- a lot.

MR. NKWONTA: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Hold on, hold on.
BY MR. EVANS:
Q. What --

MR. EVANS: I'm in the middle of my question. I don't know how you're objecting when my question is not even out.

THE COURT: Excuse me.
MR. NKWONTA: It civas a long question. I thought he was done, but it looks but it was longer.

MR. EVANS I was in the middle of a sentence.
THE COURT: Well, hold on a second.
Do you have an objection?
MR. NKWONTA: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What's your objection?
MR. NKWONTA: My objection is relevance to the extent that they are talking about Mr. Berson's moves after, well after, the 2021 runoff. And the only relevant point in time here is when he was challenged during the runoff election.

THE COURT: He's saying why is the moves he made

```
after the '21 election relevant?
```

14 Q. And when you went to cote, nobody threatened you, did 15 they?

16 A. No individua? threatened me, that's correct.
17 Q. And when you went to vote, outside of someone asking you 18 to provide an affirmation of your address, nobody intimidated 19 you, did they?
A. No individual person, no.
Q. And you can't offer any testimony to in any way connect True the Vote or any of the individual defendants with any eligibility challenge that is alleged was made against you, can you?

1 But as far as I know, I know of what I read in the article 2 about Mr. Alton Russel1 and that's --

16 Q. Did you allow your voter registration in Georgia to go 17 deactivated?

18 A. At what time?
19 Q. At any point.
20 A. Yes, I imagine so. I did change my voter registration
21 when I moved to North Carolina.
22 Q. So right now you're not a registered voter in Georgia, 23 are you?

24 A. That's correct.
25
THE COURT: That's not responding to his question. Repeat the question again.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, please repeat the question.
MR. EVANS: Sure.
And, Madam Court Reporter, if you want to read it, I don't know if I'11 be able to get it out as good.

THE COURT: Do your best. She's got --
MR. EVANS: Okay. I got it. I 11 do it again.
BY MR. EVANS:
Q. You can't offer any testimon today to in any way connect True the Vote or any of the individual defendants with any alleged eligibility challerige made against you, can you?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Where are you a registered voter right now?

1 A. Currently registered in Pennsylvania. I try to be active 2 in the life of the places that I'm living, so...

3 Q. So when you went to vote -- and let me strike that, just 4 for clarity.

Earlier you testified that you're very familiar with the 6 community in Muscogee County; right?

7 A. That's correct.
8 Q. Are you very familiar with the poll workers and folks
9 that worked in election administration in Muscogee County?
A. Very familiar, like with them indiviúually?
Q. And is Ms. Boren who came in and told you that you needed
Q. Mr. Berson, I'd like to talk to you about your

1 interrogatory responses. Do you recall answering those 2 questions?

3 A. I do.
4 Q. And do you recall a question that said please describe
5 any and all documents or communications that 1 ed you to
6 believe that you would face future, quote, burdens associated
7 with being forced to reprove your residency?
THE COURT: Go ahead. Go ahead.
9 BY MS. MENG MORRISON:
10
Q. Do you recal1 that question?

11 A. Yes.
12 Q. And do you recall responding that there were challenges
13 to maintaining suitable proof of residency at the time?
14 A. I'm sorry, repeat that?
15 Q. Do you recall responding to that question, how
16 challenging it was to find suitable proof of residency?
17 A. I do.
18 Q. And was that the reason why you described the process of
19 being challenged a challenging and frustrating experience?
20 A. Yes.
21 Q. Do you recall being asked in your interrogatories whether or not you were intimidated by being challenged?
A. I don't recall being asked that specifically, no.
Q. Mr. Berson, were you ever deposed in this case?
A. I was not.

1 Q. So is this the first time you've testified in this
2 matter?
3 A. Yes.
4 Q. You previously were talking about getting your
5 Progressive bil1. To find the documentation you used to prove 6 your residency, was it a matter of just logging in?

7 A. It was more than just logging in. Like I said before, a 8 lot of the time on these websites all you have is just a list 9 of debits to your account. There's no address associated with

10 it. I know I ran into that problem with many of the other 11 kind of attempts that $I$ had made to try to find a suitable 12 address. And I know in this casei had to wind up finding a

17 A. No. I be1 ieve I tried other -- other -- other options.
18 Q. And what were those other options?
19 A. It would have been probably my -- my bank account, maybe my other bills, my car loan. And I don't remember exactly what the problems were with each of them, but I imagine it was the same issue that the mailing address had been updated. But it was different. So it was an Alabama address because they had my mailing address but no longer had my Muscogee County address.

1 Q. And do you know roughly how long it took you to find 2 proper documentation for residency?

3 A. It was several hours at least. I mean, I don't think I 4 spent a long, long time at one time trying to find them. But there was a lot of other things going on over this period of time where I had to handle other fires that were going on.

7 And so this was something else that I had to try to manage whilst all these other things were going on. So I think over 9 the entire span, it was certainly several hours, I think, trying to get things together.
Q. And speaking of this time in your life, counsel asked you beyond your normal life obligatioris if there were other things going on in your life. Was this period of life normal for 14 you?

15 A. No. It was a period of -- of -- it was a transition 16 period right after leaving graduate school and trying to find 17 full-time work in a new field.

18 Q. And just to be clear, Mr. Berson, what was your domicile 19 in 2020 when you voted in the runoff election?
A. In 2020?

21 Q. Yes.
22 A. I believe it was stil1 -- still in Muscogee County was my
23 legal domicile.
24 Q. Do you know all of Alton Russell's affiliations?
A. I do not.

1 Q. And if I told you that Alton Russell, the challenger who 2 submitted your list, had coordinated with True the Vote, do 3 you have any reason to dispute that?

4 A. I have no reason to dispute that.
5 Q. And, Mr. Berson, one final question. What about being
6 challenged did you find to be intimidating?
7 A. I just -- I -- I find the idea of being accused of doing something wrong to be intimidating. I -- especially, you know, someone -- where you don't have the ability to adequately defend yourself from any kind óf claim like that. I found -- I find the accusation that I had done something illegal or that $I$ had done sometring against -- that $I$ had done something wrong to be ar intimidating experience for me. I don't -- I like to do things correctly. And for somebody to come and say that I'd - - you know, had done something wrong or I was accused of doing something wrong, I find -- I find to be an intimidating experience for me.

MS. MENG MORRISON: No further questions, Your Honor.
Thank you.
THE COURT: Recross?
Thank you.
Recross?
RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. EVANS:
Q. So, Mr. Berson, you said that what was intimidating was

1 someone that said you did something wrong. Who said you did 2 something wrong?

3 A. The acc -- the challenge was -- was an accusation that I 4 had done something wrong in my eyes.

5 Q. We11, when you went to vote, who said you did something 6 wrong?

7 A. Nobody in particular said anything other than said I was 8 challenged, which I had already known.

9 Q. So they didn't tell you that you may not be able to vote 10 because you don't live in that county?

11 A. I was told that I had been chalienged and that I would 12 need to prove my residency at a Fiter date.

13 Q. And that made you feel intimidated?
14 A. The challenge was what made me feel intimidated. A
15 person at the election office did not.
16 Q. But who made the challenge? Do you know who made the 17 challenge?

18 A. I knew that Alton Russell had made the challenge.
19 Q. Okay. Why didn't you sue Alton Russel?
20 A. I was concerned with voting at the time. I did not think
21 about who was the one to sue at the time. the challenge. Why did you sue these defendants?
A. I only know what I -- what I've gone through.

1 Q. Why did you sue defendants, many of which you don't know 2 the name of?

3 A. I am -- I don't know of the specific connections between 4 these two, between Mr. Russell and the defendants, if there is 5 one. I don't -- I'm not aware at this time to speak to it. Q. I understand that, but I just want the record to be clear on this question. The record so far says you have nothing to connect True the Vote or any of these defendants with Mr. Alton Russell or his eligibility, alleged eligibility challenge against you. So why did you sué them?
A. I was aware of many accusations at the time of voter fraud and of different voter hotfines and things going on at the time, so I knew that there were organizations that were pursuing these kinds of challenges. I know that Alton Russell was the one who filed the challenge --

THE COURT You really can't be the one making the objections because you're not the one doing the questioning. I understand about a couple other times, but the person doing the questioning has to be the one doing the objecting. So thank you.

MR. NKWONTA: My apologies, Your Honor.
BY MR. EVANS:
Q. You can finish.
A. Okay. Yeah, let me --

THE COURT: Hold on. Now we have the person doing
the questioning objecting.
MS. MENG MORRISON: Your Honor, I just want to object to the extent that counsel is asking Mr. Berson about privileged attorney/client communications about this case. That's privileged.

THE COURT: I agree. He can't ask privilege, but he can ask him why did he sue someone. That's not privileged. You know, he had to make the decision why did you sue somebody. Any conversation -- you know, if he says, if I understand what he's going to say, if he says, well, my counsel told me to say it, that would be privileged, but if that's his answer. I don't know whit his answer is. But he can answer why he sued someone.

Do you have a foiriow up?
MS. MENG MORFTSON: I just object to the extent that counsel is characterizing Mr. Berson's testimony as to Alton Russell, they're mischaracterizing that testimony.

THE COURT: Say that again.
MS. MENG MORRISON: Just an objection to any mischaracterizations that counsel has made.

THE COURT: And what -- yeah, yeah.
MR. EVANS: Judge, may I respond?
THE COURT: Well, I don't think she's done. She's reading a note.

MS. MENG MORRISON: Your Honor, to the extent that
counsel is characterizing the record as containing evidence of -- about the lack of connection between Alton Russell and True the Vote, we would object to that. There's no foundation in the record at this point. Mr. Berson has not testified at all to the connections between True the Vote and Alton Russell.

MR. EVANS: That's --
THE COURT: Do you want to respond, Mr. Evans?
MR. EVANS: Well, first of all, Judge, that's not accurate. He has. I asked the question ábout making the connection, you asked a question about it, I'm on cross. To the extent he wants to correct my characterization, he can do it. But the question is a simple question.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection.
What's your other objection?
MS. MENG it̛RRISON: That's it, Your Honor, for now. BY MR. EVANS:
Q. You may have --

MR. EVANS: He may have forgotten the --
THE WITNESS: Yeah, please repeat.
MR. EVANS: I may need help remembering what that one was.

THE COURT: Basically, the way I understood the question was why he sued.

MR. EVANS: I think it was why he sued. That's

1 exactly right, Judge. That's exactly right.
2 BY MR. EVANS:
3 Q. So to try to rearticulate it, you testified earlier you
4 can offer no testimony connecting any True the Vote or any of
5 the individual defendants to the alleged eligibility challenge
6 against you. You don't know the individual defendant's name.
7 I gave you the opportunity to name them, you couldn't do it.
8 So why did you sue them?
9 A. May I -- may I say that I would like too- to defer to my

11 Q. There -- this isn't privileged.
Q. Why you sued a party is not privileged.

14 A. I -- I was aware of various groups that were -sir.

BY MR. EVANS:
Q. So you -- to be clear for the record, you can't offer anything about why you sued these defendants and hauled them into court today, right?
A. I do -- I know that Fair Fight (sic) was involved in
these challenges. That's what I know.
MS. MENG MORRISON: Your Honor, I'd just like to renew my objection to the extent that Mr. Berson would reveal any privileged communications. And he's already said that, you know --

THE COURT: The only way I could -- excuse me for interpreting. I'm sorry. Go ahead and finish.

MS. MENG MORRISON: Mr. Berson already said that he defers to any privileged conversations he had with counsel about the filing of this case.

THE COURT: Here's my resporise to both counse1, both you all. The only way I can resclve this is to ask him -- ask Mr. Berson, did his counsel teil him who to sue. And that's the only way I can resolve that.

If the answer is, yes, my counsel told me to sue, then we're talking about privilege. But if the answer is, no, my counsel did hot tell me who to sue, then Mr. Evans keeps going. Now, of course, Mr. Evans might want to follow up on something else.

MR. EVANS: All right. And I do have follow up, Judge.

THE COURT: Yeah. But I got -- that's the only way I can resolve it.

MR. EVANS: And I can ask that question simply. BY MR. EVANS:
Q. Did --

THE WITNESS: I understand.
3 BY MR. EVANS:
4 Q. Did your counsel tell you to sue these defendants?
5 A. My counsel is the one who advised me, yes, correct.

BY MR. EVANS:
Q. And did you review the pleadings in this case before they went out with your name or them?
A. The pleadings? Lhat do you mean by --

16 Q. The legal filings, the documents in this case, did you
17 review them before they were filed?
18 A. I don't recall what specific documents I reviewed. I did
19 review documents, but I don't remember what specifics ones at
Q. So when documents were filed in this case, did you review
Q. You believe you did?
A. I don't know which documents you're referring to. I

1 remember my -- my documents that I submitted I've certain1y 2 reviewed, yes.

3 Q. And the documents that you reviewed with your name on 4 them, did you approve those documents to be filed?

5 A. The documents that I have signed? I believe so, yes. If
6 I've signed them, yes, I would have reviewed them.
Q. How did you find out about this case? How did you find -- strike that.

What led you to file -- again, to be clear, you are a plaintiff -- and I'm sure your counsel has told you this. You're a plaintiff that has sued deferdants. That is something that shouldn't be taker iightly. Why did you sue these defendants?

MS. MENG MORRISON: Objection, Your Honor.
MR. EVANS: No --
MS. MENG ioRRISON: Asked and answered.
MR. EVANS: This is not.
THE COURT: Well, he has answered. He sued the defendants because his lawyers told him to sue the defendants. But the question I have was is that would you have sued anybody if somebody hadn't told you to.

MR. EVANS: That's right, Judge. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. EVANS:
Q. So you would not have -- just to be clear for the record, you would not have sued these defendants had someone not told you to sue them; is that right?
A. I felt as though something had been done -- that something was -- had been done wrong. And I was advised by my attorney -- by counsel as to how to proceed. I would not sue anybody for any reason.
Q. Who told you to sue these defendants?

THE COURT: Well, I think he's answered that. He's answered that. His lawyers told him to sue them. BY MR. EVANS:
Q. How did you be -- how were yu connected -- how did you find your lawyers?
A. I was contacted over the phone.
Q. By who?
A. I don't -- I don't remember exactly by who at the time.
Q. What organization was that person affiliated with he?
A. It's gone through -- there's been several developments. I don't remember exactly what it was at the time. It was the firm -- it was -- at one point it was a firm called Perkins Coie.

THE COURT: Say that last name again? Perkins?
THE WITNESS: Perkins Coie --
THE COURT: Coie?
THE WITNESS: -- I believe.

BY MR. EVANS:
Q. So Perkins Coie just called you out of the blue and said hey?
A. That's my recollection.

THE COURT: Let me make sure I understand what you're testifying to here. Because this is important. All of your testimony is important, excuse me.

You would not have sued anyone if you hadn't been contacted and told to sue.

THE WITNESS: I had thought that something had been done wrong and people --

THE COURT: Hey, hey. Thiat's not my question.
THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, sir.
THE COURT: You would not have sued anyone if you had not been contacted by Someone, this Perkins group, and told to sue.

THE WITNESS: I -- at that time, probably not. I probably would not have initiated it myself.

THE COURT: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
BY MR. EVANS:
Q. Not waiving anything about privilege, what did the person tell you that led you to file --

THE COURT: Wait a minute.
MR. EVANS: Okay. I'11 strike that. I'11 strike

1 that. That's fine. That's fine, Judge. I think we've gotten
2 a lot out of this already.
3 BY MR. EVANS:
4 Q. Getting to you having to prove your residence, you
5 said -- you had your own bank account; right?
6 A. Yes.
7 Q. And what address was that bank account registered at?
8 A. It was -- when I opened it, it was in Muscogee County.
9 But I remember having trouble getting documents that showed it
10 was still in -- the mailing address had changed.
11 Q. Did you check your bank account every week?
12 A. Relatively often.
13 Q. Do you check it every day?
14 A. No.
15 Q. Do you check it Every other day?
16 A. No.
17 Q. You checked it every three days?
18 A. I couldn't tell you the exact frequency of when I check
19 my bank account.
20 Q. Okay. I'm just asking.
And on your bank account does it indicate where your
Q. It indicates your mailing address, right.
A. That's correct.

1 Q. And that is just when you $\log \mathrm{in}$, you go to your account 2 information, and there's your mailing addresses, correct?

3 A. It is in your account information, correct, yes.
4 Q. And that mailing address, you just testified, is your
5 Muscogee County mailing address, right?
6 A. It was when I began the program, yes.
7 Q. Was it in 2020 whenever you were asked to provide your 8 residency?

9 A. The mailing address I believe had been updated. The
A. Sorry.
Q. Let me just --
A. I understand the question.

THE COURT: Well, let him finish his answer. Let him mailing address had been updated to Alabaria, so I could receive correspondence from the bank.
Q. So where -- where -- what a? -- let's -- that's a good point.

What all did you update to your Alabama address? Where al1 -- so you said you were having difficulty identifying Georgia address because you had moved to A1abama. What al1 had you moved to Alabama?
A. I had -- it was just -- it was my mailing address and --
Q. No, well --
finish his answer.
THE WITNESS: I understand the question.

The only things that I can recall, the bank account address had been changed to a new mailing address so I could receive correspondence from the bank. That may be all I can recall. BY MR. EVANS:
Q. Where was your car loan -- car loan registered at? Was your car loan registered in Alabama?
A. The loan itself? I believe the car loan itself was -the mailing address for the loan was -- had been changed to Alabama. I don't recall whether I had done it or if they had done it automatically somehow through the change of address form.
Q. And your insurance was still in Muscogee County?
A. That one was, correct.
Q. What other paymerits -- well, let me strike that just for -- I want to get this clean.

What other institutions do you recall that you had to provide an address to in December 2020?

MS. MENG MORRISON: Objection, Your Honor. This is outside the scope of the redirect.

MR. EVANS: This is directly into --
THE COURT: I thought he did testify to that on direct before he moved --

MS. MENG MORRISON: He testified to his Progressive bill and that was it.
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