facts or may be different.

2.1

2.2

2.3

MS. KERNS: Sure, Your Honor. The facts are actually related. The Clerk's officer had actually asked me to put them in five different petitions. That's the only reason that there are five different petitions before Your Honor. I had originally planned to just file one petition and list the different categories.

THE COURT: My question, Ms. Kerns, is: There are five categories, but each have distinct and different facts upon which you rely upon to object; is that correct?

MS. KERNS: Yes. There are five different categories of ballots, and in each category, there's a different issue. Just by way of example, category 3 is where the voter had only signed and not provided any other information, and then each category has a description as to something that the voter did not do on the declaration. But, it's five different categories.

THE COURT: Having read your moving papers, would you agree with me that you are not proceeding based on allegations of fraud or

1	misconduct; is that correct?
2	MS. KERNS: I am not proceeding on
3	those allegations. I'm simply proceeding on
4	3157 of the election code as well as 3246.
5	THE COURT: All right. More directly
6	to my question, you are not alleging fraud or
7	irregularity as the basis, you are alleging an
8	error of law; is that correct?
9	MS. KERNS: I'm alleging that these
10	ballots were not filled out correctly, yes.
11	That's what I'm alleging.
12	THE COURT: It is important, and I'd
13	ask you to listen carefully if I'm not being
14	clear. You are alleging that the Board
15	committed an error of law in deciding to vote
16	to count these ballots; is that correct?
17	MS. KERNS: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Now I'll hear from
19	the other parties, and we will then proceed to
20	the petitioners' argument. Who would go first
21	in this group of esteemed colleagues?
22	MS. HANGLEY: I believe I would, Your
23	Honor, after Ms. Kerns.
24	THE COURT: Fine, thank you.
25	Ms. Hangley.