	ELECTRONICALLY SERVE	D	
	12/11/2020 12:35 PM	Electronically Filed	
		12/11/2020 12:35 FM	
1			
2			
3			
	DISTRICT COU	TRT	
4	CLARK COUNTY, N		
5			
6			
7	THE ELECTION INTEGRITY	CASE NO. A-20-820510-C	
8	PROJECT OF NEVADA, a Nevada LLC; SHARRON ANGLE, an individual,	DEPT. NO. 26	
9	Plaintiffs,		
10	V.	HEARING DATE:	
11	THE STATE OF NEVADA, on	November 20, 2020	
12	relation of BARBARA CEGAVSKE, in her official capacity as Nevada Secretary of State,	HEARING TIME: 1:30 pm	
13	Defendants,		
14	and		
15	INSTITUTE FOR A PROGRESSIVE NEVADA; and PROGRESSIVE LEADERSHIP ALLIANCE		
16	OF NEVADA,		
17	Intervenor-Defendants.		
18	2		
19			
20	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION		
21		ation Internity Desired of M. 1	
22	On November 16, 2020, Plaintiffs the Election Integrity Project of Nevada, a		
23	Nevada limited-liability company, and Sharron Angle, an individual, by and through their		
24	counsel, Joel F. Hansen Esq., filed an application for an emergency permanent injunction		
	(Application or App.). Plaintiffs challenge the constitutionality of Assembly Bill No. 4		
25 26	of the 32nd Special Session (2020) of the Nevada Legislature (AB 4). See Act of August		
26 27	3, 2020, ch. 3, 2020 Nev. Stat. 18, §§ 1-88. Among other things, AB 4 adopted a vote-		
28			
GLORIA J. STURMAN DISTRICT JUDGE DEPT XXVI LAS VEGAS, NV 89155	1		

Case Number: A-20-820510-C

by-mail election process that was used for the 2020 general election. Plaintiffs requested an order enjoining the Nevada Secretary of State from certifying the results of the general election, enjoining the presidential electors from casting their votes for president, and preventing any Nevada candidate from taking office. Plaintiffs also requested that the Court order a new election under the law as it existed prior to AB 4's enactment.

On November 19, 2020, oppositions to Plaintiffs' Application were filed by Defendants State of Nevada, on relation of Barbara Cegavske, in her official capacity as Nevada Secretary of State; Intervenor-Defendants Institute for a Progressive Nevada and Progressive Leadership Alliance of Nevada; and Proposed-Intervenor-Defendants DNC Services Corporation/Democratic National Committee, and Nevada State Democratic Party. On November 20, 2020, Plaintiffs filed a reply and moved to amend their Application to request a preliminary injunction rather than a permanent injunction.

The Court held a hearing on Plaintiffs' emergency application on November 20, 2020. The hearing was conducted by videoconference. Joel F. Hansen, Esq., argued on behalf of Plaintiffs. Deputy Solicitor General Craig A. Newby, Esq. argued on behalf of Defendants. Abha Khanna, Esq. with the law firm Perkins Coie, LLP, argued on behalf of Intervenor-Defendants. Felicia Ellsworth, of the law firm Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP argued on behalf of Proposed-Intervenor-Defendants. The purpose of the hearing was to address the merits of Plaintiffs' request for an emergency permanent injunction. At the hearing, the Court confirmed with the parties that there was no objection to treating Plaintiffs' request as one for a preliminary injunction. The Court also addressed Proposed-Intervenor-Defendants' motion to intervene, and no party objected to intervention. The Court further confirmed that no party objected to Ms. Ellsworth's appearance prior to her filing of a motion to appear *pro hac vice*.

Upon review of the papers and pleadings on file herein, the arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing, Plaintiffs' motion to amend their Application to request a preliminary injunction is GRANTED; and Plaintiffs' Application is DENIED.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Plaintiffs filed their complaint on September 1, 2020. Plaintiffs requested an order enjoining the mailing of ballots in advance of the November 3, 2020 general election. Plaintiffs' primary argument was that AB 4 is unconstitutional because it opens Nevada's election system to voter fraud and that voter fraud would have the effect of diluting votes of honest and legally authorized voters lawfully cast by Nevada voters.

 Plaintiff Sharron Angle is a long-time Nevada resident, a Nevada registered voter, a former Nevada legislator, a former Republican Party nominee and candidate for U.S.
Senate, and the head of Plaintiff the Election Integrity Project of Nevada, a non-profit organization which advocates for measures to protect the integrity of Nevada's elections.

3. On September 3, 2020, Plaintiffs filed an application for an emergency preliminary injunction requesting an order enjoining the implementation of AB 4. The Court held a hearing on Plaintiffs' initial application on September 17, 2020, and issued an order denying the application on September 29, 2020, but finding that Plaintiffs had standing to bring their suit.

4. Plaintiffs challenged the denial by filing an emergency petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition with the Nevada Supreme Court. The Nevada Supreme Court denied Plaintiffs' writ relief request by order dated October 7, 2020. *Election Integrity Project of Nevada, LLC v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Ct.*, No. 81847, 2020 WL 5951543 (Nev. Oct. 7, 2020) (unpublished disposition).

5. The 2020 general election was held on November 3, 2020. Mail-in ballots were sent to Nevada voters beforehand pursuant to AB 4. Votes were cast in the 2020 general election in Nevada via mail-in ballots as well as in-person early and day-of voting.

6. On November 16, 2020, Plaintiffs filed their Application. Together with their reply brief, Plaintiffs argue that AB 4 is unconstitutional under Article 4, Section 21 of the Nevada Constitution because it resulted in voter fraud, thereby impermissibly diluting

J. STURMAN

the lawful votes of Nevada residents. Plaintiffs acknowledge that the equal protection guarantees of the Nevada Constitution are coextensive with the guarantees of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Plaintiffs contend that if the Court finds AB 4 is unconstitutional, the results of the 2020 general election are void and a new election must be held.

7. In support of their argument of voter fraud stemming from AB 4, Plaintiffs rely principally on declarations they contend establish that votes were cast unlawfully. In the November 11, 2020 declaration of Ellen Swensen, Ms. Swensen describes an analysis she conducted based on a comparison of voter registration rolls from Nevada and California. Ms. Swensen contends that that she potentially identified 1,411 individuals who registered in California after having registered in Nevada. Plaintiffs assert that these 1,411 individuals cast votes in Nevada in the 2020 general election but should not have been able to based on their subsequent California registrations. Plaintiffs did not submit a copy of the list of 1,411 individuals with their filings.

8. In the November 16, 2020 declaration of Plaintiff Sharron Angle, Ms. Angle represents that she is coordinating with a team of canvassers to conduct an ongoing investigation of 8,027 voters who Plaintiffs contend should have been listed as inactive on the voter rolls. The canvassers prepared declarations of their findings based on field investigations that included going to the addresses listed in these voters' registrations and reporting what witnesses said about the voters.

9. In the November 20, 2020 declaration of Ms. Swenson, Ms. Swenson represents that she worked on the initial list of voters who purportedly should have been listed as inactive, and she summarizes her conclusions about potential improper votes based on canvasser declarations she has reviewed. Ms. Swensen opines that she has identified 127 unlawful votes. Plaintiffs did not submit the 127 declarations purportedly supporting this analysis with their filings.

10. To the extent any finding of fact is more appropriately characterized as a conclusion of law, it is incorporated as such.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. Preliminary Injunction Standard of Review

A preliminary injunction is extraordinary relief to which no party is entitled as a matter of right. *Dep't of Conservation & Nat. Res., Div. of Water Res. v. Foley*, 121 Nev. 77, 80, 109 P.3d 760, 762 (2005). To obtain a preliminary injunction, Plaintiffs must show "(1) a likelihood of success on the merits; and (2) a reasonable probability that the non-moving party's conduct, if allowed to continue, will cause irreparable harm for which compensatory damage is an adequate remedy." *Univ. & Cmty. Coll. Sys v. Nevadans for Sound Gov't*, 120 Nev. 712, 721, 100 P.3d 179, 187 (2004). "In considering preliminary injunctions, courts also weigh the potential hardships to the relative parties and others, and the public interest." *Id.* Plaintiffs have failed to meet their burden to be entitled to the truly extraordinary relief—the overturning of the Nevada 2020 general election—that they seek.

B. Likelihood Success on the Merits

1. Plaintiffs have little likelihood of success on the merits of their equal protection vote dilution claim.

2. Plaintiffs likely lack standing. In connection with Plaintiffs' September 2020 request for a preliminary injunction, the Court found that Plaintiffs had standing. The Nevada Supreme Court, however, declined to reach the issue on appeal. *Election Integrity Project of Nev.*, LLC, 2020 WL 5951543, at *2. Given the change in posture since Plaintiffs' September 2020 request, the Court concludes that it is appropriate to revisit the question of Plaintiffs' standing. This Court concludes that the Plaintiffs' lack standing because Plaintiffs do not identify any election result that would have changed as

a consequence of the alleged illegal votes which they identified. For example, President-Elect Joe Biden won the presidential election in Nevada by more than 33,000 votes, but Plaintiffs have alleged substantially fewer potentially improper votes. And many candidates ran unopposed. Plaintiffs fail to explain how overturning any election result would remedy their supposed vote dilution injury when they do not suggest that absent the vote dilution they allege, the results would have been different.

3. Plaintiffs have failed to identify any case in which their vote dilution based on mail-in ballots theory has succeeded. Mail-in ballots are used throughout the United States, and Nevadans wishing to vote during a pandemic were entitled to choose the best option for them to promote safety. With the variety of methods available for voting, Nevadans made their individual choices on how to vote, and voted in record numbers on Election Day. Given these circumstances, there is a low likelihood that Plaintiffs can succeed on their equal protection claim.

4. There was no reasonable ground to believe that the Plaintiffs would succeed on the merits.

C. Irreparable Harm

Plaintiffs contend that they have suffered irreparable harm due to improper voting. Even if this were true, Plaintiffs have not exhausted the appropriate remedy for this harm: providing all evidence they gather to the Secretary of State and the Attorney General, who can pursue civil and (if warranted) criminal actions against any fraudsters.

D. Balance of the Hardships and the Public Interest

Plaintiffs have identified several thousand *potentially* improper votes. This is out of a total of over 1.4 million votes cast in Nevada. Rather than promote democracy, on this record devoid of concrete evidence of fraud, Plaintiffs' requested relief would undermine it instead. The harms to the state and the public's interest cannot be

overstated. It is not in the interest of Nevadan voters to have their votes tossed out. If this election is declared void, unless another election is held before the end of the year, no candidate will be able to assume office come January 1, 2021.

The harms of declaring the election void, with no concrete plan for how to bridge the gap come January 1, 2021, far outweigh any purported harm to Plaintiffs, who can have their assertions of fraud investigated civilly and, if warranted, prosecuted criminally.

To the extent any conclusion of law is more appropriately characterized as a finding of fact, it is incorporated as such above.

NOW THEREFORE, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' motion to amend their Application to request a preliminary injunction; and **DENIES** Plaintiffs' Application for REFERENCED FROM DEMOCRACYDC a preliminary injunction.

Dated this 11th day of December, 2020 DIŠTRICT COURT JUDGE

9F9 8AB 62DF F320 **Gloria Sturman** District Court Judge

1	CSERV		
2			
3	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
4			
5			
6	The Election Integrity Project of Nevada LLC, Plaintiff(s)	of CASE NO: A-20-820510-C	
7	vs.	DEPT. NO. Department 26	
8	The State of New Jacob Deletie		
9	The State of Nevada on Relatio of Barbara Cegavske,	n	
10	Defendant(s)		
11		, com	
12	AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
13	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District		
14	Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:		
15			
16	Service Date: 12/11/2020	ROM	
17	Bradley Schrager	chrager@wrslawyers.com	
18	Dannielle Fresquez	resquez@wrslawyers.com	
19		pravo@wrslawyers.com	
20	Christie Rehfeld cr	ehfeld@wrslawyers.com	
21	Abran Vigil vi	gila@ballardspahr.com	
22	Las Vegas Docket L'	VDocket@ballardspahr.com	
23 24	Las Vegas Intake L	VCTIntake@ballardspahr.com	
25	Sandra Geyer sg	eyer@ag.nv.gov	
26	Holly Priest pr	iesth@ballardspahr.com	
27	Joel Hansen ef	ile@hansenlawyers.com	
28			

1 2	Docket Clerk	DocketClerk_LasVegas@ballardspahr.com	
3	Gregory Zunino	gzunino@ag.nv.gov	
4	Marc Elias	melias@perkinscoie.com	
5	Courtney Elgart	celgart@perkinscoie.com	
6	Abha Khanna	akhanna@perkinscoie.com	
7	Reina Almon-Griffon	ralmon-griffin@perkinscoie.com	
8	Jonathan Hawley	jhawley@perkinscoie.com	
9	Seth Waxman	seth.waxman@wilmerhale.com	
10 11	Daniel Volchok	daniel.volchok@wilmerhale.com	
11	Felicia Ellsworth	felicia.ellsworth@wilmer.hale.com	
13	Seth Waxman	seth.waxman@wilmerhale.com	
14	Daniel Volchok	daniel.volchck@wilmerhale.com	
15	Felicia Ellsworth	felicia.elisworth@wilmerhale.com	
16		CRON.	
17 18	If indicated below, a copy of the above mentioned filings were also served by mail via United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below at their last known addresses on 12/14/2020		
	KIIOWII addresses oli 12/14/202	0	
19 20	Bradley Schrager	Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP 3556 E. Russsell Rd.	
20		Las Vegas, NV, 89120	
21	Joel Hansen	Hansen & Hansen, LLC	
23		Attn: Joel Hansen, Esq 9030 W. Cheyenne Ave. #210	
24		Las Vegas, NV, 89129	
25	LTJG Gregory Zunino	Naval Legal Svc. Ofc. Detach. NAS Lemoore, CA, 93246-5015	
26			
27			
28			